Determining Spatial Distribution and Physical Properties of the Vashon Advance Outwash near Mountlake Terrace, WA

Kendra Pivaroff-Ward

A report prepared in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of:

Master of Science Earth and Space Sciences: Applied Geosciences

University of Washington

June 2015

Project Mentors: Galan McInelly, GeoEngineers, Inc. Bridget August, GeoEngineers, Inc.

> Internship Coordinator: Kathy Troost

Reading Committee: Juliet Crider Kathy Troost Steven Walters

MESSAGe Technical Report Number: 026

© Copyright 2015

Kendra Pivaroff-Ward

Executive Summary

This study is aimed at determining the spatial distribution, physical properties, and groundwater conditions of the Vashon advance outwash (Qva) in the Mountlake Terrace, WA area. The Qva is correlative with the Esperance Sand, as defined at its type section; however, local variations in the Qva are not well-characterized (Mullineaux, 1965). While the Qva is a dense glacial unit with low compressibility and high frictional shear strength (Gurtowski and Boirum, 1989), the strength of this unit can be reduced when it becomes saturated (Tubbs, 1974). This can lead to caving or flowing in excavations, and on a larger scale, can lead to slope failures and mass-wasting when intersected by steep slopes. By studying the Qva, we can better predict how it will behave under certain conditions, which will be beneficial to geologists, hydrogeologists, engineers, and environmental scientists during site assessments and early phases of project planning.

In this study, I use data from 27 geotechnical borings from previous field investigations and C-Tech Corporation's *EnterVol* software to create three-dimensional models of the subsurface geology in the study area. These models made it possible to visualize the spatial distribution of the Qva in relation to other geologic units. I also conducted a comparative study between data from the borings and generalized published data on the spatial distribution, relative density, soil classification, grain-size distribution, moisture content, groundwater conditions, and aquifer properties of the Qva.

I found that the elevation of the top of the Qva ranges from 247 to 477 ft. I found that the Qva is thickest where the modern topography is high, and is thinnest where the topography is low. The thickness of the Qva ranges from absent to 242 ft. Along the northern, east-west trending transect, the Qva thins to the east as it rises above a ridge composed of Pre-Vashon glacial deposits. Along the southern, east-west trending transect, the Qva pinches out against a ridge composed of pre-Vashon interglacial deposits. Two plausible explanations for this ridge are paleotopography and active faulting associated with the Southern Whidbey Fault Zone. Further investigations should be done using geophysical methods and the modeling methods described in this study to determine the nature of this ridge.

The relative density of the Qva in the study area ranges from loose to very dense, with the loose end of the spectrum probably relating to heave in saturated sands. I found subtle correlations between density and depth. Volumetric analysis of the soil groups listed in the boring logs indicate that the Qva in the study area is composed of approximately 9.5% gravel, 89.3% sand, and 1.2% silt and clay. The natural moisture content ranges from 3.0 to 35.4% in select samples from the Qva. The moisture content appears to increase with depth and fines content.

The water table in the study area ranges in elevation from 231.9 to 458 ft, based on observations and measurements recorded in the boring logs. The results from rising-head

and falling-head slug tests done at a single well in the study area indicate that the geometric mean of hydraulic conductivity is 15.93 ft/d (5.62×10^{-03} cm/s), the storativity is 3.28×10^{-03} , and the estimated transmissivity is 738.58 ft²/d in the vicinity of this observation well. At this location, there was 1.73 ft of seasonal variation in groundwater elevation between August 2014 and March 2015.

Table of Contents

1.0	Intro	duction	1
1.1	Stu	dy Area	1
2.0	Scope	e of Work	2
3.0	Back	ground	2
3.1	Pre	vious Investigations	2
3.2	Vas	hon Advance Outwash	4
3	.2.1	Hydrogeology	5
4.0	Geolo	ogic Setting	6
4.1	Reg	ional Geology	6
4.2	Loc	al Geology	7
4.3	Stru	ıctural Geology	7
5.0	Meth	ods	9
5.1	Dat	a Acquisition	9
5	.1.1	Unit Thickness	9
5	.1.2	Relative Density	10
5	.1.3	Laboratory Test Results	10
5	.1.4	Groundwater Conditions	11
5.2	Dat	a Analysis	11
5.3	Mo	deling	11
5	.3.1	Cross-Sections	12
5	.3.2	Three-Dimensional Models	12
6.0	Obse	rvations	13
6.1	Spa	tial Distribution	13
6.2	Phy	vsical Properties	13
6	.2.1	Relative Density	13
6	.2.2	Grain Size	14
6	.2.3	Moisture Content	14
6.3	Gro	undwater Conditions	14
7.0	Analy	/sis & Discussion	15
7.1	Spa	tial Distribution	15

7.	.1.1 Variability in Unit Thickness	16
7.2	Physical Properties	17
7.3	Groundwater Conditions	17
8.0	Conclusions	18
9.0	Limitations and Assumptions	20
9.1	Software Limitations	20
10.0	Recommended Future Studies	21
10.1	1 Local Characterization of the Vashon Advance Outwash	21
10.2	2 Locating Southern Whidbey Island Fault Traces	21
11.0	References Cited	22
12.0	Figures	28
13.0	Tables	52
14.0	Appendices	57

List of Figures

Figure 1	Vicinity Map
Figure 2	Regional Map
Figure 3	Generalized Quaternary Geologic Section
Figure 4	Transect 1 Cross-Section
Figure 5	Transect 2 Cross-Section
Figure 6	Transect 3 Cross-Section
Figure 7	Transect 4 Cross-Section
Figure 8	Transect 5 Cross-Section
Figure 9A	EnterVol Block Diagram
Figure 9B	EnterVol Block Diagram with Vicinity Map Overlay
Figure 10	EnterVol Fence Diagram
Figure 11A	Qva with EnterVol Fence Diagram (From Above)
Figure 11B	Qva with EnterVol Fence Diagram (From Below)
Figure 12	Isopach Map of Qva Thickness
Figure 13	Density vs Fines Content
Figure 14	Elevation vs Density
Figure 15	Depth vs Density
Figure 16A	Summary of Soil Groups within the Qva
Figure 16B	Volumetric Analysis of Soil Groups within the Qva
Figure 17	Depth and Elevation vs Fines Content
Figure 18	Depth and Elevation vs Moisture Content
Figure 19	Fines Content vs Moisture Content
Figure 20	Groundwater Observations at Boring LLE-B11P

List of Tables

Table 1	Summary of Geotechnical Boreholes
Table 2	Geologic Contacts Database
Table 3	Volumetric Analysis of Soil Groups within the Ova
Table 4	Comparative Results

List of Appendices

Summary of Hydraulic Conductivity Data for Glacial
Sediments and Conceptual Hydrogeologic Model
Boring Logs
Borehole Data Summary Sheet
Unified Soil Classification Guide

Acknowledgments

I would like to give thanks to GeoEngineers, for hosting me as an intern, and to Galan McInelly and Bridget August for their mentorship. I would also like to acknowledge Dan Campbell and Tim Bailey for allowing me to participate in the Sound Transit – Lynnwood Link Extension Project, and for their general support of this project. Gene Lohrmeyer deserves special recognition for his contribution to the modeling efforts, for which I am very appreciative. Additionally, I would like to thank Eliya Hogan and Johanna Neff for their support throughout the project.

I would also like to thank Dave McCormack at Aspect Consulting for providing me with boring logs and geotechnical reports for both phases of the Brightwater Treatment Plant Conveyance System Project.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge my reading committee, Juliet Crider, Kathy Troost, and Steven Walters, for their support of this project and dedication to the MESSAGe Program. I would like to give a special thanks to Kathy for helping formulate this project, providing references, taking me in the field, and sharing her wealth of knowledge on the geology and stratigraphy of the Puget Lowland.

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
BGS	Below ground surface
Brightwater Project	Brightwater Treatment Plant Conveyance System
CL	Lean clay
D&M	Dames & Moore
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
GeoEngineers	GeoEngineers, Incorporated
GP	Poorly-graded gravel
GP-GM	Poorly-graded gravel with silt
GM	Silty gravel
GW	Well-graded gravel
ID	Inner Diameter
ML	Lean silt
Mw	Moment magnitude
Муа	Million years ago
N-Value	Standard Penetration Resistance
NAVD	North American Vertical Datum
OD	Outer Diameter
Qaf	Quaternary artificial fill
Qpfnmw	Interglacial mass wasting deposits (pre-Vashon)
Qpg	Glacial, grouped (pre-Vashon)
Qpgf	Glacial, fluvial (pre-Vashon)
Qpgl	Glacial, lacustrine (pre-Vashon)
Qpn	Interglacial, grouped (pre- Vashon)
Qpnf	Interglacial fluvial (pre- Vashon)
Qpnl	Interglacial lacustrine (pre- Vashon)

Qva	Vashon advance outwash
Qvlc	Vashon Lawton Clay
Qvr	Vashon recessional outwash
Qvt	Vashon till
SFZ	Seattle Fault Zone
SM	Silty sand
SP	Poorly-graded sand
SP-SM	Poorly-graded sand with silt
SPT	Standard Penetration Test
ST-LLE	Sound Transit Lynnwood Link Extension
SW	Well-graded sand
SWIF	Southern Whidbey Island Fault Zone
SW-SM	Well-graded sand with silt
USGS	United States Geological Survey
UW	University of Washington

1.0 Introduction

The purpose of this research project is to characterize the spatial distribution and physical properties of the Vashon advance outwash (Qva) near Mountlake Terrace, WA, and to compare these characteristics to more extensive and generalized properties of the Qva, as defined in previous works. The information and methods provided in this report will be beneficial to geologists, hydrogeologists, engineers, and environmental scientists during site assessments and early phases of project planning.

In general, Qva is a granular, well-sorted, and permeable glaciofluvial unit (Mullineaux et al., 1965). According to Troost et al. (2005), the Qva is equivalent of the Esperance Sand of Mullineaux et al. (1965). Although the Qva has high frictional shear strength and low compressibility as the result of glacial consolidation (Gurtowski and Boirum, 1989), some of the unit's properties can facilitate increased geologic or environmental risks. For instance, the development of water pressure in the pore space between granular particles may significantly decrease the shear strength of the unit; this is a contributing factor to landslides in western Washington (Tubbs, 1974). The permeable properties of the Qva also pose difficulty to excavations where dewatering, shoring, or other procedures are required to prevent water-bearing sands from caving, flowing, or collapsing (Laprade and Robinson, 1989). Additionally, the Qva forms an extensive, unconfined aquifer that may act as a conduit for chemical pollutants (Bjerg and Christensen, 1993; Golder Associates, 2008). The properties described above make this hydrostratigraphic unit susceptible to contamination, erosion, seepage, and landslides. Understanding of both the spatial distribution and physical properties of Qva in a specific context can aid in developing improved mitigation practices and prediction of slope failures, more informed decisions in building design and practices, improved groundwater modeling, and more accurate mapping of areas susceptible to both geologic hazards and environmental contamination.

1.1 Study Area

The study area is in a mixed residential and commercial area in proximity to the community of Mountlake Terrace. Geographically, it is 12 mi north of Seattle's metropolitan center, 2.5 mi northwest of Lake Washington, and 1.5 mi east of the Puget Sound shoreline. Lake Ballinger is located towards the center of the study site. The topography of this area consists of gently rolling drumlin hills from the last glaciation. The relief at the study site ranges from approximately 289 to 492 ft in elevation. Geologic hazards at this site include landslide-prone areas and erosion/sedimentation hazards as defined by the City of Mountlake Terrace ordinance codes (City of Mountlake Terrace, 2015; GeoEngineers, 2015). The project site is about 2.95 sq mi, and includes 5 transect lines, totaling 8.84 lineal miles (Figure 1). The southern edge of the study area is approximately 75 ft south of the King/Snohomish County

border. The eastern border of the study area is Interstate-5. The location and boundaries of the study site were determined based on the availability of quality data.

2.0 **Scope of Work**

This report characterizes and refines the spatial distribution, physical properties, and groundwater conditions of the Qva in the Mountlake Terrace area by:

- 1) documenting the spatial extent and thickness variations of Qva in cross-sections and three-dimensional models.
- 2) describing the spatial variation in density using standard penetration test (SPT) data from existing geotechnical boring logs,
- 3) describing variations in grain size and moisture content, using lab analyses of available soil samples, and
- 4) describing aquifer properties and groundwater conditions where data is available.

The work described above consisted of the following tasks:

- 1) obtaining and reviewing existing technical reports, geologic maps, topographic maps, soil survey data, laboratory test results, groundwater data, geospatial imagery, and other publications that aided in characterizing the subsurface conditions of the project site,
- 2) creating a database that includes the locations of the boreholes and the elevation of every geologic contact within each borehole,
- 3) generating cross-sections and three-dimensional models, using information in the above described database and C-Tech Corporation's EnterVol software, to illustrate the lateral and vertical distributions of the advance outwash, and
- 4) evaluating variations in the unit thickness, elevation, physical properties, and groundwater conditions.

3.0 Background

In this section, I discuss the previous investigations that have been done within the study area and give a general overview of the Qva.

3.1 **Previous Investigations**

This study incorporates data from 27 existing geotechnical borings, summarized in Table 1, and their corresponding geotechnical reports. These data were collected by local consulting firms and government agencies for various geotechnical investigations. The boring logs and geotechnical reports are publicly available through the Freedom of Information Act, and I **Pivaroff-Ward** ESS 601 p. 2

obtained the data by directly contacting the firms and agencies that house the documents. The following paragraphs describe the investigations that were previously conducted in the study area, and the data from them that I used to supplement my research.

A large investigation was conducted in King and Snohomish Counties for the Brightwater Treatment Plant Conveyance System (Brightwater Project), which was completed in 2012. This project consisted of two geotechnical studies, which are discussed in the next two paragraphs.

In 2002, Shannon & Wilson and HWA Geoscience began the initial investigation for the Brightwater Project, which consisted of 27 geotechnical borings and laboratory testing of select soil samples (King County, 2002). The purpose of this investigation was to determine the subsurface conditions for conceptual engineering and environmental impact assessment. Shannon & Wilson used the mud rotary method to drill their borings, while HWA Geosciences used a combination of mud rotary and Becker Hammer methods. Both companies used a 2.42-inch inner diameter (ID), 3.25-inch outer diameter (OD), ring-lined Dames and Moore (D&M) split-barrel sampler with a 300 lb. hammer dropped 30-inches to obtain soil samples. The borings that I used from this study ranged from 352 to 446.5 ft in depth, and include MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, and MW-6 from HWA Geosciences, and BW-4, BW-5, and BW-6 from Shannon & Wilson (Table 1).

The second investigation for the Brightwater Project was completed by CDM Smith and various subconsultants in 2003. The purpose of this investigation was to provide geotechnical services for the design of the wastewater treatment facility. These services included land-based drilling, soil sampling, in-situ testing, hydrogeologic testing, gas monitoring, and geophysical explorations, as well as geologic, index, strength, and deformation testing in the laboratory (King County, 2004). This study included a total of 157 borings. The drilling methods used to complete the borings included hollow-stem auger, mud rotary, rotosonic coring, and wireline coring. Soil samples were collected using a D&M sampler with a 300 lb. or 140 lb. hammer. The borings that I used from this study include E-105, E-106, E-107, E-108, E-109, E110, and E211, which range from 260 to 566 ft in depth (Table 1). Index tests were not completed on any of the soil samples within the Qva from these six borings. However, geologic testing was done on select samples to determine the stratigraphic relationships between the soil units. This testing included radiocarbon dating, optically-stimulated luminescence dating, tephrochronology, x-ray diffraction mineral analysis, bulk geochemistry, and micro- and macro-paleontological analyses (of shells, diatoms, and pollen).

In 2008, Golder Associates conducted a study to provide an overview of the geology and hydrogeology near Mountlake Terrace for OTAK, Inc., and published their findings in a technical memorandum. They used a compilation of existing data, including the Brightwater Environmental Impact Statement, nearby monitoring wells, and city and county data, to Pivaroff-Ward p. 3 ESS 601

determine the groundwater conditions in their study area. This study was particularly important for the groundwater analysis of my research because not a lot of groundwater data were readily available in my study area; however the Golder Associates (2008) study overlapped with a portion of my study area.

Another large investigation was completed in the Mountlake Terrace area. During the summer of 2014, GeoEngineers, Inc. (GeoEngineers) provided geotechnical consulting services for the Sound Transit Lynnwood Link Extension¹ (ST-LLE), which will extend the Link light rail from Seattle to Lynnwood. This investigation used hollow-stem auger and mud rotary methods to drill 84 boreholes ranging from 40 to 101.5 ft in depth (GeoEngineers, 2015). Samples were collected using a 2-inch OD split-barrel standard penetration test (SPT) sampler in accordance with the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standard D 1586, or with a 3-inch diameter Shelby tube sampler in accordance with ASTM D 1587. The SPT samples were obtained by driving the sampler 18 inches into the soil with a 140 lb. hammer free-falling 30-inches. The data that I used from this investigation includes: index test results, groundwater measurements, and boring logs from 13 geotechnical borings (Table 1).

3.2 Vashon Advance Outwash

In general, glacial advance outwash is a thick unit of fluvial sediments that are deposited by high-energy meltwaters ahead of an advancing glacier (Koloski *et al.*, 1989). These glaciofluvial sediments are then overridden by the glacier, resulting in post-depositional compaction (Easterbrook, 1969). Advance outwash is mostly composed of clean sand, although it often contains a wide range of grain sizes (Tubbs, 1974). As with other fluvial systems, coarser materials are deposited close to the source, and finer materials are sorted and carried away from the source; this process results in a coarsening-up sequence, by which silty sands are deposited closer to the glacier (Moses, 2008). However, this coarsening up sequence is only a simplified facies model, and in actuality braided streams, point bars, and other fluvial features complicate the stratigraphy of these deposits (Troost and Booth, 2008).

The Qva is described as well-sorted, dense to very dense, fine to medium sand with lenses of gravel, silt, and clay (Mullineaux *et al.*, 1965). The Qva type section is an outcrop in the cliffs at Fort Lawton, in Discovery Park, Seattle, WA (Troost and Booth, 2008). Here, the unit is defined as outwash related to the advance of the Vashon Glacier and includes the transitional zone from the underlying Lawton Clay (Qvlc) (Mullineaux *et al.*, 1965). The transitional zone between the Qva and the Qvlc is typically tens of feet thick, and contains interbedded sand and silt/clay representing the transition from a proglacial lake to a stream environment

¹ I contributed to various aspects of the project while interning at GeoEngineers. Tasks that I helped with included logging borings, taking piezometer and barometer measurements, observing slug tests, surveying, and conducting laboratory analysis of field samples.

(Kathy Troost, University of Washington (UW), personal communication, 2015). In areas where the Qvlc is absent, the onset of the Vashon Stade is marked by the Qva, which may be in contact with pre-Vashon glacial (Qpg) or interglacial deposits (Qpn) (King County, 2002; King County, 2004; Troost and Booth, 2008; GeoEngineers, 2015). About 50% of Qva is capped by Vashon glacial till (Qvt) (Kathy Troost, UW, written communication, 2015). The contact between the Qva and the Qvt varies between sharp and gradational (Laprade and Robinson, 1989; Troost and Booth, 2008). Exposures of Qva can be found bluffs and steep gullies that reach the upland (Kathy Troost, UW, written communication, 2015).

There is some variability in the bedding and depositional setting of the Qva; studies suggest that the Qva was deposited subaerially to subaqueously (Troost and Booth, 2008). Subaerial sedimentation is indicated by remnants of channels, gravel bars, and fine-grained lenses from braided streams, whereas subaqueous sedimentation is recognized by remnants of deltas, turbidites, and horizontal bedding in proglacial lakes, at the terminus of outwash streams (Troost and Booth, 2008). The Qva that is considered to have been subaqueously deposited contains foreset beds and cross-bedding that are steeply dipping at 30-40° (Kathy Troost, UW, personal communication, 2015). Cross-bedding is also common in subaerial deposits, but is generally not as tall as in the deltas (Kathy Troost, UW, written communication, 2015).

3.2.1 Hydrogeology

In the Mountlake Terrace area, the Qva forms an extensive, unconfined aquifer with a saturated thickness of about 100 ft, and an unsaturated thickness ranging from 20 to 100 ft (Golder Associates, 2008). The groundwater in the Qva discharges to surface water, primarily to Hall Creek and Lake Ballinger, via hydraulic connection with recessional outwash or alluvium (Golder Associates, 2008). Golder Associates (2008) states that Lake Ballinger appears to be underlain by Lawton Clay or pre-Fraser deposits, which have low permeability. Additionally, groundwater from the Qva may be recharging deeper aquifers in the area (Golder Associates, 2008). The rate of groundwater recharge ranges from 15 to 20 in/yr in areas where permeable outwash is exposed at the surface, and is less than 10 inches per year in areas that are capped by till or are urbanized (Golder Associates, 2008). Typical hydraulic conductivity data for glacial sediments, and a conceptual hydrogeologic model of geologic units located in the Mountlake Terrace area can be found in Appendix A.

As mentioned above, the basal portion of the Qva is often saturated with groundwater, which is retarded by the underlying less-permeable Qvlc, Qpf, or Qpg geologic units (Tubbs, 1974; Appendix A). If the contact between these units is exposed at the surface, the saturated zone in the Qva can be identified by seeps and springs (Miller, 1989). The contact between these hydrostratigraphic units has been identified as the location of frequent landslides in the Puget Lowland (Tubbs, 1974).

4.0 Geologic Setting

The following sections describe the regional, local, and structural geology in relation to the study area.

4.1 Regional Geology

The study area is located in the Puget Lowland section of the Salish Lowland physiographic province (Haugerud, 2004). The Puget Lowland is a structural and glacially-eroded trough centered between the Cascade Range to the east and the Olympic Mountains and Willapa Hills to the west (Troost and Booth, 2008; Moses, 2013; Figure 2). Major geographic features of the Puget Lowland include the San Juan Islands, the Puget Sound, and the Strait of Juan de Fuca. The Puget Lowland is characterized by a dynamic landscape that has been largely shaped by continental glaciations, tectonic activity, and volcanism (Troost and Booth, 2008). The geomorphic processes that occurred during glacial and interglacial periods have greatly influenced the modern topography of this region (Booth, 1994).

The Cordilleran Ice Sheet was a continental ice sheet that extended from southeastern Alaska, to northern Washington, and across to northwestern Montana during the Quaternary (about 2.59 million years ago (Mya) to present); there were been at least seven glacial advances during this time (Booth *et al.*, 2003; Troost and Booth, 2008). The Cordilleran Ice Sheet included the Puget, Okanogan, Columbia River, Purcell Trench, and Flathead Lobes, which extended into western Washington, north-central Washington, eastern Washington, northern Idaho, and northwestern Montana, respectively (Booth *et al.*, 2003). During the Vashon Stade of the Fraser Glaciation, the Puget Lobe extended farther south than Olympia, WA, and occupied the area between the Cascade Range and the Olympic Mountains (Thorson, 1979; Porter and Swanson, 1998; Troost and Booth, 2008; Figure 2). At its maximum extent, the Puget Lobe was as much as 3,300 ft (1,000 m) thick in the Seattle area, and 6,600 ft (2,000 m) in British Columbia (Porter and Swanson, 1998; Clague and James, 2002).

The surficial geology of the Puget Lowland consists predominantly of Vashon-aged (about 15,000 to 13,000 ya) glacial sediments, with intermittent exposures of Tertiary bedrock of Paleocene (about 66 to 56 Mya) to Oligocene (about 33.9 to 23 Mya) age (Moses, 2013). The generalized Quaternary section in the Puget Lowland consists of pre-Vashon glacial and interglacial deposits overlain by glaciolacustrine clays and silts, advance outwash sands, glacial till, and recessional outwash from the Vashon Stade (Galster and Laprade, 1991; Savage *et al.*, 2000; Figure 3). At least seven glacial advances have been documented in the Puget Lowland (Troost and Booth, 2008). Glacial loading has resulted in the overconsolidation of glacial and interglacial sediments, with the exception of Vashon recessional outwash, which was deposited as the glacier retreated (Galster and Laprade, 1991).

The slopes in the Puget Lowland are prone to landslides and other slope stability issues (Mullineaux *et al.*, 1965; Tubbs, 1975). There are several contributing factors for this, which include geologic and climatic conditions, as well as anthropogenic influences (Tubbs, 1974). The contact between the Qva and the less-permeable underlying units has been identified as the "slip-surface" for several large landslides in the Seattle area (Tubbs, 1974). Sixty-four percent of all historical (between 1909 and 1999) landslides in Seattle occurred within 150 ft of the Qva/Qvlc contact (Coe *et al.*, 2004). During periods of heavy precipitation, water can accumulate above silt and clay lenses within the Qva and above the confining layers that underlie the Qva (Miller, 1989). This occurrence consequently decreases the stability of the soils by elevating the pore fluid pressures between the grains in the Qva (Tubbs, 1974). The stratigraphic placement of the Qva, which is an aquifer, above less-permeable units, which create an aquitard, is a key factor in the landslides in this area (Tubbs, 1974).

4.2 Local Geology

The surficial geology in the vicinity of the project site is predominantly Vashon Stade glacial deposits, as is documented in the boring logs used in this study (King County, 2002; King County, 2004; GeoEngineers, 2015). A conceptual hydrogeologic model of the geologic units in the Mountlake Terrace area can be found in Appendix A (revised from Golder Associates, 2008). Glacial deposits of the Vashon Stade found in the study area include the following units: recessional outwash (Qvr), glacial till (Qvt), advanced outwash (Qva), and proglacial lacustrine deposits, which are formally referred to as the Lawton Clay (Qvlc). Also within the study reach is: Holocene alluvium (Qal), artificial fill (Qaf), and peat (Qp); pre-Fraser interglacial fluvial (Qpfnf), lacustrine (Qpfnl), wetland deposits (Qpfnw), and mass wastage deposits (Qpfnmw); and pre-Olympia glacial outwash (Qpogf), glaciolacustrine deposits (Qpogd). For the purposes of this paper, I amalgamated the pre-Fraser interglacial deposits together and labeled them as Qpn. Similarly, I grouped the pre-Olympia glacial deposits together and labeled them as Qpg. In summary, the geology documented in the boring logs used in this study exemplify cycles of glacial and interglacial erosion and deposition.

4.3 Structural Geology

Tectonic activity in this area is occurring at both regional and local scales (Atwater *et al., 1995;* Pratt *et al.,* 1997). At the regional scale, the convergence of the Juan de Fuca plate and the North American plate form the Cascadia Subduction Zone, which is capable of producing up to moment magnitude (Mw) 9.0 earthquakes (Wells *et al.,* 1998; Nedimovic *et al.,* 2003). Additionally, the northward movement of the Pacific plate is causing complex seismic strain (north-south shortening) to accumulate throughout western Washington and Oregon (Pratt *et al.,* 1997; Wells *et al.,* 1998; WA-DNR, 2015). This strain, in combination with glacial isostatic adjustment, has created several large fault systems in western Washington (Figure 2). These faults produce more than 1,000 earthquakes each year (Lasmanis, 1991). Thick

Pivaroff-Ward

Quaternary deposits of glacial and interglacial sediments conceal many of these faults. Furthermore, dense vegetation and widespread urbanization obscure active fault traces, making it difficult to study faults in this area.

There are two major fault zones in proximity to the study site, the Seattle Fault Zone (SFZ) to the south, and the Southern Whidbey Island Fault Zone (SWIF), which may run through the project site (Blakely *et al.*, 2004; Troost and Booth, 2008; Barnett *et al.*, 2010). Both of these fault zones are poorly located, for reasons described above.

The SFZ is composed of a series of west-trending, south-dipping thrust faults that have resulted from north-south compression due to the convergence of the Pacific, Juan de Fuca, and North American plates (Johnson *et al.*, 2004; Lamb *et al.*, 2012). The Seattle fault is thought to be around 30 Myrs old, and is considered an active fault (Nelson *et al.*, 2014). There is evidence for several significant ruptures in the past 15,000 years, including 20 feet of vertical displacement during an earthquake dated 1,100 years ago (Atwater and Moore, 1992). The SFZ is about 31 mi long and is capable of producing up to Mw 7.0 earthquakes (Blakely *et al.*, 2002; Nelson *et al.*, 2014). The SFZ is recognized by Eocene (about 56 to 33.9 Mya) bedrock juxtaposed against Quaternary (about 2.59 Mya to present) glacial deposits, and large geophysical anomalies (Lasmanis, 1991; Blakely *et al.*, 2002). Three east-trending strands of the fault have been identified, although the exact boundaries of the SFZ are still under investigation (Johnson *et al.*, 1999; Blakely *et al.*, 2002).

The SWIF is another active fault in the Puget Lowland, and is capable of producing up to Mw 7.1 earthquakes (Sherrod et al., 2008). Unlike the Seattle fault, the SWIF has a northwesttrend and a steep northeast-dip (Johnson et al., 1996). The SWIF is thought to have originated in the early Eocene (about 56 to 47.8 Mya) as an arc-parallel strike-slip fault (Liberty and Pape, 2006). The SWIF may be as long as 93 mi long, originating in Victoria and extending to Seattle, where it may merge with the SFZ (Sherrod et al., 2008). It has been identified through the use of seismic-reflection surveys, borehole data, and gravity and magnetic anomalies (Sherrod *et al.*, 2008). The SWIF is composed of several strands across a zone that is 3.75 to 6.8 mi wide (Johnson et al., 1996). The strands have inferred dextral strike-slip, reverse, and thrust displacement (Johnson et al., 1996). The SWIF was conceptualized by Johnson *et al.*, (1996) as an oblique, right-lateral strike-slip fault, that sometimes form transpressional flower structures, and by Brocher et al., (2005) as an advancing wedge bound by roof and floor thrusts. Paleoseismological evidence suggests that the SWIF last ruptured about 2,700 years ago, and has produced at least four significant earthquakes since the retreat of the Vashon Glacier (Sherrod et al., 2008). Evidence for recent activity includes stratigraphic offset and disruption, structural relief, displacement in Quaternary sediments, Quaternary folds, liquefaction features, and minor historical seismicity (Johnson et al., 1996).

5.0 Methods

In this section I describe the methods that I used to obtain and analyze data, and create twoand three-dimensional subsurface models. In addition to studying the Qva in the study area for this project, the modeling methods described in this section can be applied to various other subsurface studies.

5.1 Data Acquisition

I began this study by reviewing existing data about the geology, topography, and hydrogeology of the area. During this stage, I gathered LiDAR images (U.S. Geological Survey, 2001), aerial photographs (Google Earth Pro, 2012), geologic maps (Booth et al., 2004), and technical reports (King County, 2002; King County, 2004; Golder Associates, 2008; GeoEngineers, 2015). I used the Subsurface Geology Information System² published by the Washington State Department of Natural Resources (WA-DNR) to view available borehole information. I used the locations of boreholes with sufficient data to determine the boundaries of the study area and to draw the transect lines for the cross-sections. I contacted the appropriate consulting firms and government agencies to obtain the boring logs and geotechnical reports that were pertinent to my study. The boring logs and geotechnical reports that I used in my study were from GeoEngineers for the ST-LLE Project, and from Shannon & Wilson, HWA Geosciences, and CDM Smith for the Brightwater Project. These projects are described in detail in Section 3.1, and a summary of the borings used in this study can be found in Table 1. From the boring logs, I was able to obtain data on stratigraphic unit descriptions, soil classifications, elevations, blow counts, laboratory index test results, and groundwater observations. However, not all boring logs had this complete set of information. The boring logs also identified the geologic units that were observed while drilling, based on visual-manual classifications, laboratory testing, and/or age-determination. I used the labels found in the boring logs as a reference for identifying the Qva in my study.

5.1.1 Unit Thickness

To determine the thickness and variability of the Qva, I created a table of geologic contact elevations (Table 2) based on the information from the boring logs, which can be found in Appendix B. The vertical extent of this study was bound between the ground surface and 100 ft elevation, though not all borings reached this depth and many went deeper. Although the lower limit of the Qva is much shallower than 100 ft elevation, I chose to terminate the vertical extent of the study at this elevation because it provides sufficient context for the paleotopography that might influence the thickness of the Qva. I used Table 2 to develop five cross-sections, a block diagram, and a fence diagram, which are described in *Section 5.3*.

 $^{^2}$ The Subsurface Geology Information System originated from a database compiled by Kathy Troost and Aaron Wisher at the Pacific Northwest Center for Geologic Mapping Studies (GeoMapNW) at the University of Washington.

5.1.2 Relative Density

The soil density values that I used in this study were estimated using field data (N-values³) that were recorded at various depths during previous investigations. The N-values recorded for the ST-LLE Project were acquired using a SPT sampler, and were done in accordance with ASTM D 1586. However, the N-values recorded for the Brightwater Project were acquired using a D&M sampler. Although the D&M sampling method is different from the standard test, the blow counts still provide a relative indication of soil density and consistency (King County, 2002). SPT and D&M sampling are considered to be correlative with respect to blow counts, so long as the hammer weight is adjusted to the sampler size, so that the energy delivered to the subsurface is equivalent (Kathy Troost, UW, personal communication, 2015).

There are several variables that affect the integrity of N-value data; this includes drilling method, sampling method, and soil conditions. Different types of drilling methods influence the disturbance in the soil samples in different ways. For the purposes of this study, I analyzed N-value data from samples that were acquired during mud rotary drilling for the following reasons. Soil heave often occurs while drilling in water-bearing sands that are under confining pressures; this compromises the integrity of blow counts and soil samples (Nielsen, 2005). Mud rotary drilling reduces the pressure gradient by adding mud inside the auger, which minimizes heave in water-bearing sands, such as the Qva (Munch and Killey, 1985). Sampling method also effects the N-value. In this study, I use data collected by SPT and D&M sampling methods. Additionally, soil conditions influence the accuracy of blow counts. An inaccurate measure of the soil density can be measured if the soil sampler hits a large gravel or boulder that prevents the sampler from being driven into the soil. Also, if the refusal was met (i.e. the sampler did not penetrate 6-inches into the soil after 50 blows by the hammer), the N-value is recorded as the inches driven per 50 blows (ex: 50 blows for 4inches), rather than the number of blows taken to drive the sampler the final 12- of 18inches. For these reasons, I did not include N-values for samples that hit refusal. Only 14 of the 196 SPT and D&M samples were collected during mud rotary drilling, and were not met with refusal. These 14 samples provide the most reliable N-value data.

5.1.3 Laboratory Test Results

I used the information provided in the boring logs from previous investigations and their corresponding geotechnical reports to obtain laboratory test results of select Qva samples (King County, 2002; King County, 2004; GeoEngineers, 2015). The tests on these samples

³ Standard penetration resistance (N-value) is the number of blows it takes a 140 pound hammer, free-falling 30 inches, to drive a 2-inch OD SPT sampler the final 12- of 18-inches. The N-values provide a general understanding of the resistance to penetration and is a measure of the relative soil density.

were completed using the following standards: ASTM D 1140, for Percent Fines⁴ Determination; ASTM D 422 for Grain Size Distribution; ASTM D 2216, for Moisture Content Determination; and ASTM D 2487, for Classification of Soils.

5.1.4 Groundwater Conditions

My analysis of the groundwater conditions is limited by the amount of data that were readily available. I used information from the boring logs and geotechnical reports to obtain groundwater elevations. Some of the groundwater data in the boring logs and geotechnical reports were based on observations while drilling, while other data were measured with a vibrating wire piezometer (VWP), pressure transducer, or other measuring instruments. The approximate groundwater elevations, dates of record, and methods of measurement are annotated on the cross-sections (Figures 4-8) where data was available.

Single-well field hydraulic conductivity tests (slug tests) were performed at two wells in the study area, BW-6 and LLE-B11P, by Shannon & Wilson for the Brightwater Project and by GeoEngineers for the ST-LLE Project, respectively. The semi-log plots of water level versus time for the BW-6 slug test were provided in the King County (2002) geotechnical report. However, no interpretation or analysis of the test was provided.

5.2 Data Analysis

I used scatter plots to examine if the density, fines-content, and moisture content directly influenced each other. I plotted the N-values obtained during mud rotary drilling (see *Section 5.1.2*) against various other variables (percent fines, depth, and elevation) to determine if any of these variables directly influence the density of the soil. As a comparison, I evaluated the N-values obtained during mud rotary, Becker hammer, and hollow-stem auger drilling methods. I also evaluated moisture content and percent fines in relation to each other, to elevation, and to depth from ground surface. In addition, I conducted a volumetric analysis of the soil classifications within the Qva for all 27 borings.

5.3 Modeling

One of the main purposes of this research is to determine the three-dimensional (lateral and vertical) extent of the Qva. To accomplish this, I used *ArcGIS* and *EnterVol* to add a third dimension to previously completed two-dimensional studies. The following sections describe the methods that were used to generate a cross-section for each of the five transect lines, a block diagram, a fence diagram, and an isopach map of the Qva thickness. These models help illustrate the spatial variability of the Qva in the study area.

 $^{^4}$ Fine grained sediments are defined by ASTM D 1140 as material finer than 75 μm , or as particles that can pass through a No. 200 sieve.

5.3.1 Cross-Sections

I used borehole data and various extensions of *ArcGIS* to create five cross-sections. The purpose of creating the cross-sections is to illustrate the spatial variability in twodimensions along a transect line. I began this phase of modeling by marking and exporting the location of each borehole and transect line in *Google Earth* (Figure 1). I then collaborated with Gene Lohrmeyer at GeoEngineers to complete the following steps using *ArcGIS*. We first imported the locations of the borings and transect lines in *ArcGIS*. We then created a shapefile for each borehole and transect line, which we projected to the *NAD 1983 State Plane Washington North (ft)* coordinate system. We used a 10-meter digital elevation model (DEM) from the U.S. Geological Survey as an elevation datum (U.S. Geological Survey, 2001). We then interpolated the lines using their positions along the DEM to create an elevation profile for each of the five transects. I then digitally drew the stratigraphy for each cross-section, using data from the boring logs to infer the subsurface geology. I did this for each of the five cross-sections, shown in Figures 4-8.

5.3.2 Three-Dimensional Models

I collaborated with Gene Lohrmeyer at GeoEngineers to create block and fence diagrams, and an isopach map of the Qva, using *EnterVol*, which is an extension of *ArcGIS*.

To create the block diagram, we first georeferenced the borehole locations to the *NAD 1983 State Plane Washington North (ft)* coordinate system in *ArcGIS-ArcScene*, ESRI's threedimensional viewing platform, and exported the data into our *EnterVol* map. We then created two new models to define the lateral and vertical extents of the study area. The first model used a shapefile with an xy-grid to define the two-dimensional (lateral) extent of the area to be analyzed. Next, we used elevation data to define the three-dimensional (vertical) extent of the area to be modeled; the top of the borings served as the upper extent and the bottom of the borings as the lower extent. We then added a third model to assign stratigraphic values to the three-dimensional model, based on the borehole data in Table 2. We automated the block diagram in *EnterVol* using an inverse distance weight algorithm and the three models described above. We then created a fence diagram by making slices of the block diagram along each of the five transect lines.

We also created an isopach map of the unit thickness. We did this by first isolating the Qva in the block diagram. Then we converted this segment of the diagram to a point cloud, and saved it as a shapefile. Next, we opened the shapefile in *ArcMap*, and added x and y values to the points. We used the natural neighbor interpolation to create a surface from these points based on elevation, using a 10 m grid cell size to match the DEM used in earlier steps. Finally, we converted the interpolated raster surface to 25 ft vector contours to illustrate the thickness of the Qva, as modeled by *EnterVol*.

6.0 Observations

6.1 Spatial Distribution

The surface of the Qva in the study area is found at a maximum elevation of 477 ft at boring LLE-B17 and at a minimum elevation of 247 ft at boring E-108 (Table 2, Figures 4-8). The depth to the top of the Qva varies from 0 to 109.8 ft below ground surface (bgs). The bottom of the Qva ranges in elevation from 406 ft at boring LLE-B17 to 178.5 ft at boring BW-4. The depth to the bottom of the Qva ranges from 53 to 279 ft bgs. The thickness of this unit varies from 21 to 242 ft in the three-dimensional models (Figures 9-12), and from 0 to 242 ft in the geotechnical boring logs (Table 1). The Qva thins to the east between borings BW-4 and BW-6, along Transect 1 (Figure 4). The thickness and continuity of the Qva is impacted by an apparent ridge at boring E-109, along Transect 2 (Figure 5). At this location the Qva pinches out, and pre-Fraser interglacial deposits (Qpn) are exposed at the surface. Along Transects 3 through 5, the Qva is thickest where the modern topography is high, and is thinnest where the topography is low (Figures 6-8, respectively).

The block diagram in Figure 9A shows the extent of the Qva, as modeled in *EnterVol*, and Figure 9B shows the block diagram with a vicinity map overlay for reference. There are discrepancies between the three-dimensional models created in *EnterVol* and the cross-sections (Figures 4-8). Similar to the cross-sections, the block and fence diagrams shows the Qva thinning to the east (Figures 9A and 10). However, there is no surface expression of the ridge that pinches out the Qva in the three-dimensional models. Similar to the cross-sections, the block and fence diagrams is thickest where the topography is greatest (Figures 9A and 10). Figures 11A and 11B combine the Qva unit from the block diagram with the fence diagram to help illustrate the extent of the Qva in relation to other geologic units.

The three-dimensional models show that the Qva is thickest at the southwestern extent of the study area, as is illustrated in Figure 12. The Qva appears to thin to the east along Transects 1 and 2, to the north along Transect 3, and to the south along Transects 4 and 5 (Figure 12). The thickness of the Qva appear to decrease as a function of elevation along Transects 3, 4, and 5, which trend north-south. The Qva is thinnest in valleys and other topographic lows, and is thickest at modern topographic highs.

6.2 Physical Properties

6.2.1 Relative Density

The relative density of the Qva in the study area was evaluated based on review of SPT and D&M blow count data collected during the drilling of geotechnical borings. The relative density ranges from dense (N-value range: 30-50) to very dense (N-value: 50+), based on the 14 N-values collected during mud rotary drilling, described in *Section 5.2* (Appendix C). As a comparison, the relative density data collected during all drilling methods ranged from loose

(N-values range: 4-10) to very dense (N-values: 50+). There appears to be a slight correlation between density and fines content (Figures 13). I did not find a correlation between density and elevation (Figure 14). However, relative density appears to increase with depth from the ground surface (Figure 15). All N-Values recorded within the Qva can be found in the boring logs (Appendix B). Data regarding fines content, elevation, and depth can be found in the boring logs in Appendix B and in the borehole data summary sheet in Appendix C.

6.2.2 Grain Size

A wide range of grain sizes were recorded for the soil samples that had sieve analyses. The following is a summary of the content of soil samples that were collected from the Qva: gravel content ranged from 0 to 48.2%, the sand content ranged from 40.9 to 97.6%, and the fines content ranged from 1.3 to 36%. Silt and clay lenses are located throughout the Qva. The sample with the highest fines content (36%) was located 40 ft bgs and 7 ft below the top of the Qva, at boring LLE-10S. Results from sieve analyses can be found in Appendix C.

A volumetric analysis of the Qva show that this unit is composed of the following soil groups: 6.6% well-graded gravel (GW), 1.9% poorly-graded gravel (GP), 0.9% poorly-graded gravel with silt (GP-GM), 0.1% silty gravel (GM), 3.5% well-graded sand (SW), 2.7% well-graded sand with silt (SW-SM), 33% poorly-graded sand (SP), 37.3% poorly-graded sand with silt (SP-SM), 12.8% silty sand (SM), 0.9% lean silt (ML), and 0.3% lean clay (CL) (Figure 16A). The soil classifications follow ASTM D 2487, which is summarized in Appendix D. A volumetric analysis of the soil groups within the Qva of each boring is shown in Figure 16B and is summarized in Table 3.

I found a slight correlation between the fines content and the relative soil density (Figure 13). Additionally, all samples with greater than 10% fines content were dense to very dense. I did not find a correlation between fines content and depth nor elevation (Figure 17). Lab test results from sieve analysis and fines content determination can be found in the boring logs (Appendix B), and in the borehole data summary sheet (Appendix C).

6.2.3 Moisture Content

The natural moisture content of select samples from the Qva range from 3.0 to 35.4% natural moisture, by weight. There is a slight trend (exponential, $R^2 = 0.3226$) correlating depth from the ground surface to an increase in moisture content (Figure 18). Likewise, there is a slight linear trend ($R^2 = 0.2730$) correlating elevation and moisture content (Figure 18). The moisture content also appears to increase with an increase in fines content (Figure 19).

6.3 Groundwater Conditions

I found that the top of the water table in the Qva aquifer ranged from 231.9 to 458 ft in elevation, based on the 16 groundwater measurements that were recorded in the

geotechnical boring logs (Appendix B). Rising head and falling head slug tests⁵ were done at LLE-B11P on August 04, 2014. The results of the slug tests indicate that the geometric mean of hydraulic conductivity is 15.93 ft/d (5.62×10^{-03} cm/s), the storativity is 3.28×10^{-03} , and the estimated transmissivity is 738.58 ft²/d in the vicinity of this observation well (GeoEngineers, 2015). The groundwater at LLE-B11P changed from an elevation of 354.83 ft on August 12, 2014 to an elevation of 355.04 on March 13, 2015. During this time period, the lowest groundwater elevation was recorded at 354.74 ft on September 23, 2014, and the peak groundwater elevation was recorded on February 08, 2015 at 356.47 ft, for a difference of 1.73 ft between the summer and winter seasons. The groundwater elevation at LLE-B11P increased after significant precipitation events (Figure 20).

7.0 Analysis & Discussion

In this section I discuss the observations from this study in relation to data found in published literature (Table 4). I will also discuss how and why my findings deviate from published data on the Qva. These comparisons will help geologist, hydrogeologists, engineers, and environmental scientists conceptualize local variations in the Qva that may affect slope stability, groundwater dynamics, engineering properties, and migration of contaminants.

7.1 Spatial Distribution

In a previous study, it was determined that the Qva ranges from 50 to 200 feet in thickness in proximity to Lake Ballinger (Golder Associates, 2008). Additionally, Mullineaux *et al.* (1965) commented that glacial advance outwash is typically greater than 100 ft thick, and Troost and Booth (2008) found that the Qva ranges from absent to 400 ft thick. I found that the thickness of the Qva in the study area ranges from 0 to 242 ft. This is comparable to findings from published data (Table 4), although the thickness is greater than reported by Golder Associates (2008).

The elevation of the top of the Qva in the study area ranges from 247 to 477 ft. Troost and Booth (2008) found that the top of the Qva was deposited between elevations of 400 and 600 ft, and that the top of this unit is locally lower where subsequent erosion has occurred. At boring E-108, where the top of the Qva is at 247 ft elevation, there is a thick deposit of Qvr overlying the Qva (Figures 5 and 11A). This depression in the Qva may be an erosional feature formed by the advancing glacier, and later filled in with recessional outwash as the glacier retreated. It is also possible that this abnormality was caused by geomorphic or tectonic processes.

The automated three-dimensional models in this study show that the base of the Qva is not flat due to preexisting topography (Figures 4-9A). Troost and Booth (2008) found that the

⁵ I observed these tests, which were part of the ST-LLE project, while interning at GeoEngineers. Pivaroff-Ward p. 15

Qva fills paleotopographic valleys and channels, some of which are below sea level. The bottom elevation of the Qva in the study area ranges from 178 to 406 ft. Figures 11A-11B show the extent of the Qva in relation to other units.

The thickness of the Qva is greatest at topographic highs (Figures 4-8); Troost (2006) found this to be true over much of the Puget Lowland. This is likely due to a combination of preexisting topography at the time of deposition and preservation from erosional forces. According to the models generated in this study, the topographic highs in the study area are capped by till, which is conceivably shielding the Qva from erosion. However, further explorations are needed to verify the location of the till in the study area.

7.1.1 Variability in Unit Thickness

The thickness of the Qva is impacted by an apparent ridge at boring E-109 on Transect 2 (Figure 5). There are two plausible explanations for the nature of this ridge. The first possibility is that the ridge represents a paleotopographic high, and that the Qva was either eroded or was never deposited at this location. Evidence for erosion includes the contact between Qvr and Qva, and the absence of Qvt at boring E-108 on Transect 2. A second hypothesis is that this ridge represents vertical displacement from a conjugate of the SWIF, which is proximal to the study area. Supporting evidence for this hypothesis includes: indications of off-set and movement recorded in boring logs, differences in stratigraphy between Transects 1 and 2, and geologic mapping of the SWIF close to the study sight. Slickensides, fractures, and other indications of movement are documented in boreholes BW-4, BW-5, and BW-6, on Transect 1, and in E-105, MW-4, E-106, E-107, E-108, MW-5, E-109, E-110, and E-211 on Transect 2. Interglacial mass wasting deposits (Qpfnmw) are also recorded in boring E-108 on Transect 2 (Figure 5, Appendix B). Slickensides represent past shearing displacement between two surfaces, and may indicate faulting, persistent landslide movement, or stress relief from isostatic rebound as the result of glacial ice melting (Miller, 1989). The slickensides found in this area could have formed under any one of the three conditions listed above, or by a combination of those conditions. However, it is also possible that the slickenslides, which were recorded in the Qvlc, Qpn, and Qpg, were created while drilling. The stratigraphic relationships along Transects 1 and 2 are not consistent (Figures 4 and 5, respectively). Transect 1 shows that the bottom of the Qva is in contact with Qvlc and Qpg, and that Qpg overlies Qpn. However, in Transect 2, the bottom of the Qva is in contact with Qvlc and Qpn, which overlie Qpg. The ages of the Qpn and Qpg have not been determined, so it is unclear if the stratigraphic relationship between the Qpn and Qpg in these two transects is undisturbed, or if it represents an unconformity or off-set. Finally, Sherrod et al. (2008) identified lineaments of the SWIF close to the study site using magnetic and gravity anomalies. However, the exact locations of the lineaments are not wellconstrained. In summary, there are two possibilities to define the nature of the ridge that the

Qva pinches out against. However, further research is needed to determine the nature of this ridge.

7.2 Physical Properties

The relative density of the 14 Qva samples measured in the study area are consistent with values published by Glaster and Laprade (1991). I found that the density of the Qva generally increases with increasing fines content. Theoretically, this makes sense. "Clean" sands could have a lower blow count than "dirty" sands, because fine-grained sediments can fill void space and give the sands cohesion. However, the sample size that I used in this study was limited, and this correlation may be due to random chance. I also found that the density of the Qva generally increases with depth. This correlation can be explained by the increase in compressive forces on the sediment with depth, making them more compact. However, not all of the very dense (N-value of 50+) soil samples contained a significant percentage of fine-grained material, and some of the samples were located near the surface. Therefore, factors other than fines content and depth influence the density of the Qva. Weathering, bioturbation, stress relief, and downslope movement are a few factors that may reduce soil density, while cobbles and boulders may prevent the sampler from advancing or may increase blow counts.

I found that the Qva in the study area is composed of about 89.3% sand, 9.5% gravel, 0.9% silt, and 0.3% clay. I did not find a correlation between fines content and depth. Despite the coarsening-up facies model of the Qva, I would not expect there to be a correlation between fines content and depth because the depositional environments (high-energy braided streams with subaqueous termini) were dynamic and complex; therefore, the sediments were not uniformly distributed based on grain size.

I found that the natural moisture content of samples collected for the Qva in the study area increase with an increase in fines content and depth, and a decrease in elevation. I would expect these correlations for the following reasons: the fines content likely aids in water retention via adsorption and cohesion, and moisture content probably increases with depth and decreases with elevation as the result of gravity and proximity to groundwater.

7.3 Groundwater Conditions

Variations in the top and bottom elevations of the Qva will influence the flow patterns of groundwater. The thickness of the Qva may also influence the depth to water (see boring E-107, Figure 5). I found that the saturated thickness of the Qva ranges from 0 to 102 ft within the study area. This is comparable to the findings in Golder Associates (2008), which states that the saturated thickness ranges from 10 to 100 ft in the Mountlake Terrace area (Table 4). I found that the depth to the saturated Qva aquifer ranged from 7 to 221 ft bgs in the study area. It was reported in the King County (2002) geotechnical report that the groundwater

elevation varies, although they found that soils 20 to 70 ft bgs were generally saturated (King County, 2002).

Golder Associates (2008) states that the potential for infiltration is good in areas where the Qva is exposed at the surface and a sufficient unsaturated thickness exists. At boring LLE-B11P, the Qva is exposed at the ground surface. However, the groundwater was recorded at 7.4 ft bgs on August 12, 2014. The Qva at this location is 46.5 ft thick; therefore, approximately 84% of the total thickness of the unit is saturated at LLE-B11P. The groundwater elevation at boring LLE-B11P peaked following large precipitation events (Figure 20). This suggests that the aquifer is responding to meteoric water. However, the seasonal variations in water level are less than 2 ft at this location. This low seasonal flux is likely attributed to the aquifer being semi-confined, either by silt lenses within the Qva or by a nearby Qvt cap. The Qva at this location ranges in group classification from SP-SM to SM; the silt content may attribute to the semi-confined aquifer conditions. These data were recorded between August 12, 2014 and February 08, 2015; a longer study may show larger seasonal variation in groundwater flux.

8.0 Conclusions

The characteristics of the Qva make it an important geologic unit. The Qva has high frictional shear strength and low compressibility, which provides good support for foundations and other developments (Gurtowski and Boirum, 1989). The Qva is also an important hydrostratigraphic unit because it forms an extensive, unconfined aquifer (Golder Associates, 2008). However, the Qva is also susceptible to slope instability, erosion, seepage, and contamination. Studying the spatial distribution and physical properties of the Qva will benefit geologists, hydrogeologists, engineers, and environmental scientists with respect to decision making, prediction, and mitigation.

Understanding the spatial distribution of the Qva is significant to geologists, hydrogeologists, engineers, and environmental scientists. The contact between the Qva and the Qvlc is a known "slip-surface" for several large landslides in the Seattle Area (Tubbs, 1974), so documenting the location of this contact is important for geologists working on slope stability issues. Additionally, anomalies in the Qva, such as the one found at boring E-109, may provide insight to other geologic concerns. The top and bottom elevations of the Qva significantly influence the flow patterns of groundwater, and consequently, the migration of any contaminants that leach into the groundwater. Likewise, the variability in thickness of the Qva will directly affect the hydraulic conductivity, storativity, and transmissivity of groundwater in the aquifer. Knowledge of the spatial distribution of the Qva is also significant to engineers for the purposes of construction design and feasibility planning.

The spatial distribution of the Qva in the study area is comparable to generalized published data for the Qva across the Puget Lowland. The top of the Qva ranges in elevation from 247

Pivaroff-Ward

to 477 ft. This is consistent with Troost and Booth (2008), which states that the top of the Qva ranges from 400 to 600 ft in elevation, and at lower elevations where there has been subsequent erosion. The thickness of the Qva ranges from 0 to 242 ft in the study area, which is within the limits of Qva measured in other studies (Table 4). The three-dimensional models generated using *EnterVol* show that the Qva is not a homogeneous unit in terms of lateral and vertical distribution (Figures 9A-11B). The Qva thins to the east in Transect 1 (Figure 4), and pinches out against a ridge in Transect 2 (Figure 5). In addition to locally affecting groundwater flow patterns and aquifer properties, this apparent anomaly in the Qva on Transect 2 may have broader geologic implications relating to paleotopography or a regional fault system.

The physical properties (density, grain size distribution, and moisture content) of the Qva also have significant implications on the geology, hydrogeology, engineering, and environmental sciences. For example, the hydraulic conductivity of the Qva aquifer will be directly affected by the porosity of the soil it is traveling through. Additionally, silt and clay lenses within the Qva may create perched aquifers or cause seepage, which creates the potential for issues relating to slope stability and erosion. The density and grain size distribution will also affect engineering properties, such as excavatability, angle of repose, and cohesion.

I found that the relative density of samples analyzed in this study ranged from loose to very dense (Appendix C), with the loose end of the range probably resulting from heave. I found that all samples that had greater than 10% fines content were either dense or very dense (Figure 13). Although the Qva is primarily composed of sand, I found that this unit contains an assortment of grain sizes. Individual soil samples contained as much as 48.3% gravel, 97.6% sand, and as much as 36% fine sediment (Appendix C). A volumetric analysis of the soil groups show that the Qva is composed of the following classifications, listed from greatest to least volume: 37.3% SP-SM, 33% SP, 12.8% SM, 6.6% GW, 3.5% SW, 2.7% SW-SM, 1.9% GP, 0.9% GP-GM, 0.9% ML, 0.3% CL, and 0.1% GM (Figures 16A-B). I also found that the natural moisture content from samples collected in the study area range from 3.0 to 35.4%, and increase as a function of depth and fines content (Figures 18-19).

Groundwater dynamics considerably influence the geology and engineering properties of the Qva. Elevated pore-pressures caused by large precipitation events are known to destabilize slopes (Tubbs, 1975). Groundwater also affects the feasibility of developing in the Qva. Although groundwater data were scarce, I found that the elevation of the water table in the Qva ranges from 231.9 to 458 ft. The saturated thickness of the Qva (0-102.13 ft) is comparable to findings from other investigations (Table 4). However, I found that the depth to the saturated aquifer (7.59-221.3 ft bgs) was much greater in the study area than was reported in the King County (2002) geotechnical report, which states that the depth to groundwater is generally 20-70 ft bgs. The results of rising- and falling-head slug tests at

Pivaroff-Ward

boring LLE-B11P determined the following groundwater characteristics at this location: mean hydraulic conductivity (15.93 ft/d), storativity (3.28 x 10^{-3}), and transmissivity (738.58 ft²/d). The seasonal variation in groundwater elevation at boring LLE-B11P was 1.73 ft, as recorded between August 12, 2014 and March 13, 2015. The Qva at this location is silty. The silt content at this location could be partially confining the aquifer, and thus minimizing the seasonal flux. However, data from a pressure transducer installed at this location shows that the aquifer is responding to precipitation events.

Subsurface models can be used to gain a better understanding the relationships between geologic units. In this study, I used *EnterVol* to try to model the spatial variability of the Qva. While this program has some limitations, I found that it useful for creating three-dimensional models that illustrate the relationships between the Qva and the other geologic units. Overall, *EnterVol* produced what I needed, and I would recommend it for other subsurface studies.

9.0 Limitations and Assumptions

This study is limited to publicly available data, and the accuracy of those data. The data include, but are not restricted to: geotechnical boring logs, geologic maps, technical reports, memorandums, aerial photographs, and geospatial data.

Although the available subsurface data were sufficient, this study could have benefited from additional borehole data. Transects 1, 2, and 5 are the most reliable, because these transects have a greater concentration of borehole data. Transects 3 and 4 incorporate only 2 boreholes each, leaving much more room for interpretation. Additionally, groundwater data were not as abundant nor as readily available as I had anticipated; my analysis could have been made stronger by additional data in this field.

I assume in my analysis of soil density that the blow counts recorded using SPT and D&M sampling methods are correlative, although the hammer weight, sampler size, and sample depth may affect the consistency of the data.

The final product of this research project will be applicable to the Qva only within the study area, and should not be considered representative of the Qva elsewhere in the Puget Lowland. However, the methods used in this study could be applied to other investigations.

9.1 Software Limitations

While the usability and viability of *EnterVol* was satisfactory for this study, the software has some limitations. This program automates subsurface models based on borehole data input by the user. However, the ability for the user to make interpretations or add corrections to the models is somewhat limited. This issue was encountered in my models, at boring E-109. Although the data that I input showed that the Qpn was exposed at the surface in boring E-

109, the automated model showed the Qva at the surface instead. Additionally, the isopach map (Figure 12) show "bulls-eye" patterns; this is an unusual geologic pattern that might actually be an artifact of the interpolation algorithm used to make the models. It is possible that the geology was too complex to be modeled in this area. It is also possible that the algorithm used to interpolate the geology did not capture every fine detail. Discrepancies between the actual and modeled values of the thickness of the Qva could have been reduced if more borehole information were available. "Dummy" borings can be used as an aid to increase the user's ability to make interpretations, or to fill in voids where borehole information is scarce; however, I did not use this approach because I wanted to compare the automated models to the hand-drawn cross-sections. I am uncertain of the complexity of the models that *EnterVol* is able to produce. I am also uncertain of the full capabilities of this program, which should be explored in future studies.

10.0 Recommended Future Studies

10.1 Local Characterization of the Vashon Advance Outwash

Additional studies should be conducted to compare the engineering properties (bulk density, coefficient of friction, cohesion, etc.) of the Qva locally to that of generalized published data (Table 4). Additional engineering properties that could be tested include: triaxial shear strength, residual strength, dry and wet densities, and stability of cut slopes. It would also be useful to determine the angle of internal friction with relation to fines content. The angle of internal friction is significantly less in silts than it is in sands (Koloski *et al.*, 1989). This information would be useful to engineers who have project designs in the Qva, so that they can determine how the fines content may affect the stability of a slope or excavation.

10.2 Locating Southern Whidbey Island Fault Traces

There is potential evidence for a segment of the Southern Whidbey Island Fault in Transect 2, where the Qva pinches out along a ridge. This hypothesis is supported by the presence of slickensides, brecciated textures, shear zones, and mass wasting deposits along the same transect (Figure 5). However, locating strands of the SWIF was not in the scope of my research, so I did not investigate this in great detail. I recommend that future studies be done using new and existing geotechnical borings, and the *ArcGIS/EnterVol* modeling methods described above, to locate strands of the SWIF near the King/Snohomish County border or elsewhere. Future research should also incorporate geophysical methods to identify and locate the fault, should it exist here. Ideal locations for the geophysical research would be in the Holyrood Cemetery, which intersects Transect 2, and at the Nile Golf Course, which is located between Lake Ballinger and Transect 5. These areas are minimally developed, and will not have much interference from underground utilities. Since the SWIF has obscure boundaries and is an active fault capable of producing up to Mw 7.1 earthquakes, I think it is in the best interest of the community to locate and constrain the lineaments of this fault.

11.0 References Cited

- American Society for Testing and Materials, 1985, D 422, Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils: <u>Annual Book of ASTM Standards</u>, v. 04.08, p. 8.
- American Society for Testing and Materials, 1985, D 1140, Standard Test Methods for Determining the Amount of Material Finer than 75-μm (No. 200) Sieve in Soils by Washing: <u>Annual Book of ASTM Standards</u>, v. 04.08, p. 6.
- American Society for Testing and Materials, 1985, D 1586, Standard Test Method for Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils: <u>Annual Book of</u> <u>ASTM Standards</u>, v.. 04.08, p. 9.
- American Society for Testing and Materials, 1985, D 1587, Standard Practice for Thin-Walled Sampling of Soils for Geotechnical Purposes: <u>Annual Book of ASTM Standards</u>, v. 04.08, p. 4.
- American Society for Testing and Materials, 1985, D 2216, Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass: <u>Annual Book of ASTM Standards</u>, v. 04.08, p. 7.
- American Society for Testing and Materials, 1985, D 2487, Standard Practice for Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes (Unified Soil Classification System): <u>Annual Book of ASTM Standards</u>, v. 04.08, p. 11.
- Atwater, B. F. and Moore, A. L. (1992). A Tsunami About 1000 Years Ago in Puget Sound, Washington. *Science*, v. 258, p. 1614-1617.
- Atwater, B. F., Nelson, A. R., Clague, J. J., Carver, G. A., Yamaguchi, D. K., Bobrowsky, P. T., Bourgeois, J., Darienzo, M. E., Grant, W. C., Hemphill-Haley, E., Kelsey, H. M., Jacoby, G. C., Nishenko, S. P., Palmer, S. P., Peterson, C. D., & Reinhart, M. A. (1995). Summary of coastal geologic evidence for past great earthquakes at the Cascadia subduction zone. *Earthquake spectra*, *11*(1), 1-18.
- Barnett, E. A., Haugerud, R. A., Sherrod, B. L., Weaver, C. S., Pratt, T. L., & Blakely, R. J. (2010). Preliminary atlas of active shallow tectonic deformation in the Puget Lowland, Washington. U. S. Geological Survey.
- Bjerg, P. L., & Christensen, T. H. (1993). A field experiment on cation exchange-affected multicomponent solute transport in a sandy aquifer. *Journal of contaminant hydrology*, *12*(4), 269-290.

- Blakely, R. J., Sherrod, B. L., Wells, R. E., Weaver, C. S., McCormack, D. H., Troost, K. G., & Haugerud, R. A. (2004). *Cottage Lake Aeromagnetic Lineament: A Possible Onshore Extension of the Southern Whidbey Island Fault, Washington*. US Department of the Interior, US Geological Survey.
- Blakely, R. J., Wells, R. E., Weaver, C. S., & Johnson, S. Y. (2002). Location, structure, and seismicity of the Seattle fault zone, Washington: Evidence from aeromagnetic anomalies, geologic mapping, and seismic-reflection data. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 114(2), 169-177.
- Booth, D. B. (1994). Glaciofluvial infilling and scour of the Puget Lowland, Washington, during ice-sheet glaciation. *Geology*, 22(8), 695-698.
- Booth, D. B., Cox, B. F., Troost, K. G., & Shimel, S. A. (2004). Draft Composite Geologic Map of the Sno-King Area, 1:24,000. Map.
- Booth, D. B., Troost, K. G., Clague, J. J., & Waitt, R. B. (2003). The Cordilleran ice sheet. *Developments in Quaternary Sciences*, 1, 17-43.
- Brocher, T. M., Blakely, R. J., Wells, R. E., Sherrod, B. L., & Ramachandran, K. (2005, December). The transition between NS and NE-SW directed crustal shortening in the central and northern Puget Lowland: New thoughts on the southern Whidbey Island Fault. In *AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts* (Vol. 1, p. 06).
- City of Mountlake Terrace. Mountlake Terrace Municipal Code: Title 16 Environment, Chapter 16.15 Critical Areas. City of MLT, Apr. 2015. Web. Accessed March 2015. http://www.codepublishing.com/WA/MountlakeTerrace/
- Coe, J. A., Michael, J. A., Crovelli, R. A., Savage, W. Z., Laprade, W. T., & Nashem, W. D. (2004). Probabilistic assessment of precipitation-triggered landslides using historical records of landslide occurrence, Seattle, Washington. *Environmental & Engineering Geoscience*, 10(2), 103-122.
- Easterbrook, D. J. (1969). Pleistocene chronology of the Puget Lowland and San Juan Islands, Washington. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 80(11), 2273-2286.
- Clague, J. J., & James, T. S. (2002). History and isostatic effects of the last ice sheet in southern British Columbia. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 21(1), 71-87.
- Galster, R. W., & Laprade, W. T. (1991). Geology of Seattle, Washington, United States of America. *Bulletin of the Association of Engineering Geologists*, 28(3), 235-302.

Pivaroff-Ward

- GeoEngineers, Inc. Geotechnical Considerations Report: Lynnwood Link Extension Project. Seattle, WA: *GeoEngineers*, 2015. Print.
- Golder Associates. Technical Memorandum: Hydrogeologic Conditions, Greater Hall Lake, Hall Creek, Chase Lake, Echo Lake, Lake Ballinger and McAleer Creek Watershed. Redmond, WA: *Golder Associates*, 2008.
- Google Inc. Google Earth Pro (Version 7.1) [Software]. (2012). Available from: https://www. google.com/earth/download/gep/agree.html
- Gurtowski, T. M., & Boirum, R. N. (1989). Foundations and Excavations for High-Rise Structures in Downtown Seattle. *Engineering Geology in Washington, Volume II*. Ed.
 William T. Laprade and William D. Evans, Jr. Olympia, WA: Washington Dept. of Natural Resources Division of Geology and Earth Resources. p. 651-665. Print.
- Haugerud, R.A. (2004). Cascadia Physiography, U.S. Geological Survey Geological Investigation Series I-2689, scale: 1:2,000,000. Web. Accessed May 2015. http://pubs. usgs.gov/imap/i2689
- Johnson, S. Y., Blakely, R. J., Stephenson, W. J., Dadisman, S. V., & Fisher, M. A. (2004). Active shortening of the Cascadia forearc and implications for seismic hazards of the Puget Lowland. *Tectonics*, 23(1).
- Johnson, S. Y., Dadisman, S. V., Childs, J. R., & Stanley, W. D. (1999). Active tectonics of the Seattle fault and central Puget Sound, Washington—Implications for earthquake hazards. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 111(7), 1042-1053.
- Johnson, S. Y., Potter, C. J., Miller, J. J., Armentrout, J. M., Finn, C., & Weaver, C. S. (1996). The southern Whidbey Island fault: an active structure in the Puget Lowland, Washington. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 108(3), 334-354.
- King County. Dept. of Natural Resources. Wastewater Treatment Division. "CSI Geotechnical Data Report, Brightwater Project Conveyance System." *King County*, May 2002. PDF.
- King County. Dept. of Natural Resources. Wastewater Treatment Division. "Predesign Geotechnical Data Report, Conveyance System." *King County*, February 2004. PDF.
- Koloski, J. W., Schwarz, S. D., & Tubbs, D. W. (1989). Geotechnical properties of geologic materials. *Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources Bulletin*, *78*.
- Lamb, A. P., Liberty, L. M., Blakely, R. J., Pratt, T. L., Sherrod, B. L., & van Wijk, K. (2012). Western limits of the Seattle fault zone and its interaction with the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. *Geosphere*, 8(4), 915-930.
- Laprade, W. T., and Robinson, R. A. (1989). Foundation and Excavation Conditions in Washington. *Engineering Geology in Washington, Volume I.* Ed. Richard W. Galster. Olympia, WA: Washington Dept. of Natural Resources Division of Geology and Earth Resources. p. 19-26. Print.
- Lasmanis, R. (1991). The geology of Washington. Rocks and Minerals, 66(4), 262-277.
- Liberty, L. M., and Pape, K.M. (2006) Seismic characterization of the Seattle and southern Whidbey Island fault zones in the Snoqualmie River Valley, Washington.
- Miller, J. A. (1989). Landslide Stabilization in an Urban Setting, Fauntleroy District, Seattle, Washington. *Engineering Geology in Washington, Volume II.* Ed. William T. Laprade and William D. Evans, Jr. Olympia, WA: Washington Dept. of Natural Resources Division of Geology and Earth Resources. p. 681-690. Print.
- Moses, L. J. (2013). The Geology of Washington State. Olympia, WA. Washington State Department of Natural Resources. PDF.
- Moses, L. J. (2008). The Ross Point landslide: An instrumental record of landslide reactivation. *Reviews in Engineering Geology*, *20*, 167-181.
- Mullineaux, D. R., Waldron, H. H., & Rubin, M. (1965). Stratigraphy and Chronology of Late Interglacial Time in the Seattle Area, Washington. *Geological Survey Bulletin*, 1194-0.
- Munch, J. H., & Killey, R. W. (1985). Equipment and methodology for sampling and testing cohesionless sediments. *Groundwater Monitoring & Remediation*, *5*(1), 38-42.
- Nedimović, M. R., Hyndman, R. D., Ramachandran, K., & Spence, G. D. (2003). Reflection signature of seismic and aseismic slip on the northern Cascadia subduction interface. *Nature*, *424*(6947), 416-420.
- Nelson, A. R., Personius, S. F., Sherrod, B. L., Kelsey, H. M., Johnson, S. Y., Bradley, L. A., & Wells,
 R. E. (2014). Diverse rupture modes for surface-deforming upper plate earthquakes in
 the southern Puget Lowland of Washington State. *Geosphere*, GES00967-1.
- Nielsen, D. M. (Ed.). (2005). *Practical handbook of environmental site characterization and ground-water monitoring*. CRC press.
- Porter, S. C., & Swanson, T. W. (1998). Radiocarbon age constraints on rates of advance and retreat of the Puget lobe of the Cordilleran ice sheet during the last glaciation. *Quaternary Research*, *50*(3), 205-213.

- Pratt, T. L., Johnson, S., Potter, C., Stephenson, W., & Finn, C. (1997). Seismic reflection images beneath Puget Sound, western Washington state: The Puget Lowland thrust sheet hypothesis. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth (1978–2012)*, 102(B12), 27469-27489.
- Savage, W. Z., Morrissey, M. M., & Baum, R. L. (2000). *Geotechnical properties for landslideprone Seattle; area glacial deposits* (No. 2000-228). US Department of the Interior, US Geological Survey: Open File Report 00-228, 2000.
- Sherrod, B. L., Blakely, R. J., Weaver, C. S., Kelsey, H. M., Barnett, E., Liberty, L., Meagher, K. L., & Pape, K. (2008). Finding concealed active faults: Extending the southern Whidbey Island fault across the Puget Lowland, Washington. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth (1978–2012)*, *113*(B5).
- Schuster, J. Eric. Compiler. *Geologic Map of Washington.* Map. 1:2,252,800, Olympia, WA: Washington State Department of Natural Resources, 2013. Web.
- Thorson, R. M. (1979). Ice-sheet glaciation of the Puget Lowland, Washington, during the Vashon Stade (late Pleistocene). *Quaternary Research*, *13*(3), 303-321.
- Troost, K. G. (2006). Spatial predictability of Quaternary deposits in the central Puget Lowland. *Proceedings, SAGEEP Conference, April 2006*, Seattle, WA. p 260-273.
- Troost, K. G, and Booth, D. B. (2008). Geology of Seattle and the Seattle area, Washington.
 Landslides and Engineering Geology of the Seattle, Washington Area: Geological Society of America Reviews in Engineering Geology. Ed. Baum, R. L., Godt, J. W., and Highland, L. M. Boulder, CO: The Geological Society of America, Inc., 20, p. 1-36.
- Troost, K.G., Booth, D.B., Wisher, A.P., and Shimel, S.A. (2005). The geologic map of Seattle a progress report: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report, 2005-1252, scale 1:24,000. http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2005/1252/
- Tubbs, D. W. (1974) *Landslides in Seattle*. Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources Information Circular, 52 (1974): 15.
- Tubbs, D. W. (1975). *Causes, mechanisms and prediction of landsliding in Seattle* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Washington).
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). "Edmonds East 7.5' Quad." 10-meter Digital Elevation Model.
 U.S. Geological Survey: WA-DNR Number 1022 (2001). Accessed Feb. 2015. http://gis.ess.washington.edu/data/raster/tenmeter/byquad/seattle/index.html.

- Washington State. Dept. of Natural Resources. Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources. *Earthquakes in Washington. Geologic Hazards and Mapping. WA-DNR*, 2015.
 Web. Feb. 2015. Accessed at https://fortress.wa.gov/dnr/geology/?Theme=subsurf
- Washington State. Dept. of Natural Resources. Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources. (2015). *Subsurface Geology Information System. Washington State Geologic Information Protal.* T. J. Walsh, W. J. Gerstel, P. T. Pringle, and S. P. Palmer. Preparers. *WA-DNR*, 2015. Web. Feb. 2015.
- Wells, R. E., Weaver, C. S., & Blakely, R. J. (1998). Fore-arc migration in Cascadia and its neotectonic significance. *Geology*, *26*(8), 759-762.
- Zhou, Y. (2006, Dec). *Soils and Foundations: Reference Manual Volume I.* U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration. Publication No. FHWA NHI-06-088.

12.0 Figures



Figure 1, Vicinity Map. Transect lines are shown in red and significant borings are represented by a yellow cross in a circle. Borings prefixed with MW- were completed by HWA Geosciences for the Brightwater Project in 2002, with a BW- by Shannon & Wilson for the Brightwater Project in 2002, with an E- by CDM Smith for the Brightwater Project in 2003, and with an LLE-B by GeoEngineers for the Sound Transit-LLE Project in 2014. Lake Ballinger is located near the center of the study area. Interstate-5 is located at the eastern edge of the study area, along Transect 5.



Figure 2, Regional Map. The study area is outlined in red. Highly populated cities are shown in green. The extent of the Puget Lobe of the Cordilleran Ice Sheet is indicated by the dark red, dashed line. Quaternary fault traces and lineaments are labeled and shown as gray lines. Notice the proximity of the Southern Whidbey Island Fault Zone (SWIF) to the study area. Other abbreviations listed on the map are: CS – Chimacum spillway, DMF – Devils Mountain fault zone, HCFZ – Hood Canal Fault Zone, LCBC – Lake Creek-Boundary Creek fault, RP – Restoration Point, SFZ – Seattle fault zone, SMF – Saddle Mountain fault, SPF – Strawberry Point fault, TFZ – Tacoma fault zone, and UPF – Utsalady Point fault. (Image modified from Troost and Booth, 2008)



Figure 3, Generalized Quaternary Geologic Section. (Image from Galster and Laprade, 1991)



Figure 4, Cross-Section 1. Transect 1 is approximately 3.1 mi in length, and includes borings MW-3, BW-4, BW-5, and BW-6. The Qva thins to the east, where there appears to be a paleotopographic ridge composed of pre-Olympia glacial deposits.



Figure 5, Cross-Section 2. Transect 2 is approximately 2.56 mi in length, and includes borings E-105, MW-4, E-106, E-107, E-108, MW-5, E-109, E-110, MW-6, and E-211. The Qva pinches out against a ridge composed of pre-Fraser interglacial deposits. There is significant evidence for soil disturbance along this transect, including slickensides, brecciated textures, shear zones, mass wasting deposits, and fractures. It is unclear whether this ridge is a paleotopographic feature, or whether it was formed from active tectonics. Further research should be done to determine the nature of this ridge.



Figure 6, Cross-Section 3. Transect 3 is approximately 0.88 mi in length, and includes borings MW-3 and MW-4.



Figure 7, Cross-Section 4. Transect 4 is approximately 1.1 mi in length, and includes borings BW-4 and MW-5.



Figure 8, Cross-Section 5. Transect 5 is approximately 1.20 mi in length, and includes borings BW-5, LLE-B19, LLE-B17, LLE-B11, LLE-B09, LLE-B08, and LLE-B06. The apparent bend at boring LLE-B11P may represent a fold.



Figure 9A, Block Diagram. This block diagram was produced using EnterVol and the geologic contacts database (Table 2) that I constructed using borehole data. The units on the y- and x- axes are northing and easting, respectively. The unit on the z-axis is elevation (ft). The geologic units shown are: pre-Olympia glacial (Qpg) in blue, pre-Fraser interglacial (Qpn) in pink, Lawton clay (Qvlc) in teal, Vashon advance outwash (Qva) in yellow, Vashon till (Qvt) in green, and recessional outwash (Qvr) in orange. This view shows Transect 2 paralleling the x-axis, and Transect 3 paralleling the y-axis.



Figure 9B, Block Diagram with Vicinity Map Overlay. This block diagram was produced using EnterVol and the geologic contacts database (Table 2) that I constructed using borehole data. The units on the y- and x- axes are northing and easting, respectively. The unit on the z-axis is elevation (ft). The geologic units shown are: pre-Olympia glacial (Qpg) in blue, pre-Fraser interglacial (Qpn) in pink, Lawton clay (Qvlc) in teal, Vashon advance outwash (Qva) in yellow, Vashon till (Qvt) in green, and recessional outwash (Qvr) in orange. This view shows Transect 2 paralleling the x-axis, and Transect 3 paralleling the y-axis. Lake Ballinger is located near the center of the map, with Interstate-5 located east of the lake.



Figure 10, Fence Diagram. This fence diagram was produced using EnterVol and the geologic contacts database (Table 2) that I constructed from borehole data. The units on the y- and x- axes are northing and easting, respectively. The unit on the z-axis is elevation (ft). The geologic units shown are: pre-Olympia glacial (Qpg) in blue, pre-Fraser interglacial (Qpn) in pink, Lawton clay (Qvlc) in teal, Vashon advance outwash (Qva) in yellow, Vashon till (Qvt) in green, and recessional outwash (Qvr) in orange. The black bars show the location and depth of each of the boreholes used in this study. This view shows Transect 1 as the northern-most cross-section, Transect 2 paralleling the x-axis, Transect 3 paralleling the y-axis, Transect 4 in the center, and Transect 5 on the far right.



Figure 11A, Qva with Fence Diagram (From Above). This diagram was produced using EnterVol and the geologic contacts database (Table 2) that I constructed from borehole data. The units on the y- and x- axes are northing and easting, respectively. The unit on the z-axis is elevation (ft). The geologic units shown are: pre-Olympia glacial (Qpg) in blue, Vashon advance outwash (Qva) in yellow, Vashon till (Qvt) in green, and recessional outwash (Qvr) in orange. The black bars show the location and depth of each of the boreholes used in this study. This view shows Transect 1 as the northern-most cross-section, Transect 2 paralleling the x-axis, Transect 3 paralleling the y-axis, Transect 4 in the center, and Transect 5 on the far right. This view helps illustrate the extent of the Qva in relation to the Qvr and Qvt.



Figure 11B, Qva with Fence Diagram (From Below). This diagram was produced using EnterVol and the geologic contacts database (Table 2) that I constructed from borehole data. The units on the y- and x- axes are northing and easting, respectively. The unit on the z-axis is elevation (ft). The geologic units shown are: pre-Olympia glacial (Qpg) in blue, pre-Fraser interglacial (Qpn) in pink, Lawton clay (Qvlc) in teal, and Vashon advance outwash (Qva) in yellow. The black bars show the location and depth of each of the boreholes used in this study. This view shows Transect 1 as the northern-most cross-section, Transect 2 paralleling the x-axis, Transect 3 paralleling the y-axis, Transect 4 in the center, and Transect 5 on the far right. This view helps illustrate the extent of the Qva in relation to the Qvlc, Qpn, and Qpg.



Figure 12, Isopach Map of Qva Thickness. The thickness of the Qva is mapped using 25 ft contours, which are based on the model produced in EnterVol. The Qva is thickest in the western corner of the study area, and thins to southeast. There is a steep gradient intersecting Transect 2, between Transects 3 and 4; at this location, there is a thick deposit of Qvr. The Qva is thickest where modern topography is high. The circular contours on the isopach map may indicate topographic highs and lows; however, they could also be artifacts of the model, which would indicate that the EnterVol did not accurately depict the thickness of the Qva.





Figure 13, Density vs. Fines Content. These figures show the relative density of select soil samples as a function of fines content in the soil. Only samples collected using mud rotary drilling methods, which provide the most reliable density data in water-bearing sands, are shown in the top figure. Samples collected using mud rotary, Becker hammer, and hollow stem auger drilling methods are shown in the lower figure. For both of these figures, I used a linear regression line to show the trend in these datasets.





Figure 14, Elevation vs. Density. These figures show the relative density of select soil samples as a function of elevation (NAVD88 datum). Only samples collected using mud rotary drilling methods, which provide the most reliable density data in water-bearing sands, are shown in the top figure. Samples collected using mud rotary, Becker hammer, and hollow stem auger drilling methods are shown in the lower figure.





Figure 15, Depth vs. Density. These figures show the relative density of select soil samples as a function of depth from the surface of the ground. Only samples collected using mud rotary drilling methods, which provide the most reliable density data in water-bearing sands, are shown in the top figure. Samples collected using mud rotary, Becker hammer, and hollow stem auger drilling methods are shown in the lower figure. For both of these figures, I used an exponential regression curve to show the trend in these datasets.



Figure 16A, Summary of Soil Classifications within the Qva. This chart summarizes the percentages of the soil groups found within the Qva, as recorded in the geotechnical boring logs. Gravel comprises about 9.5% of the total volume of the Qva, sand about 89.3%, and silt/clay about 1.2%. The abbreviations are as listed: well-graded gravel (GW), poorly-graded gravel (GP), poorly-graded gravel with silt (GP-GM), silty gravel (GM), well-graded sand (SW), well-graded sand with silt (SW-SM), poorly-graded sand (SP), poorly-graded sand with silt (SP-SM), silty sand (SM), lean silt (ML), and lean clay (CL).



Figure 16B, Summary of Soil Classifications within the Qva. This chart summarizes the percentages of the soil groups found within the Qva, as found in each borehole. Gravel comprises about 9.5% of the total volume of the Qva, sand about 89.3%, and silt/clay about 1.2%. The abbreviations are as listed: well-graded gravel (GW), poorly-graded gravel (GP), poorly-graded gravel (GP), poorly-graded sand (SW), well-graded sand (SW), well-graded sand with silt (SW-SM), poorly-graded sand (SP), poorly-graded sand with silt (SP-SM), silty sand (SM), lean silt (ML), and lean clay (CL).











Figure 18, Depth and Elevation vs. Moisture Content. These figures show the relationship between depth and moisture content (top), and elevation and moisture content (bottom), in select samples from within the Qva. In the top chart, I used an exponential regression curve to show the relationship between depth and moisture content. In the bottom chart, I used an order-two polynomial line to show the trend.



Figure 19, Fines Content vs Moisture Content. This figure shows the relationship between fines content and moisture content in select samples from within the Qva. This chart is best viewed on a log-log scale. I used a logarithmic trend line to show the subtle relationship between fines content and moisture content.



Figure 20, Groundwater Observations at Boring LLE-B11P. Groundwater observations were recorded using a pressure transducer at a well that was installed at boring *LLE-B11P.* The well is positioned in the advance outwash, and the top of the screen is 10 ft bgs. The surface elevation is 362.49 ft at this location. The chart shows that the peak groundwater elevation at this location was recorded at 356.47 ft on February 08, 2015, and the minimum groundwater elevation was recorded at 354.74 ft on September 23, 2014. The groundwater elevation appears to increase following large precipitation events. (Image from GeoEngineers, 2015).

13.0 Tables

Boring ID	Surface Elevation (NAVD88, ft)	Borehole Depth (ft)	Drilling Method	Qva Thickness (ft)	Contractor, Project (Year)		
BW-4	368.0	366.4	Mud Rotary	191.0	Channen & Milleon		
BW-5	400.0	391.2	Mud Rotary	68.0	Brightwater Project (2002)		
BW-6	450.0	430.5	Mud Rotary	42.0	Brightwater Project (2002)		
E-105	452.7	535.0	Rotosonic Coring	242.0			
E-106	485.6	566.0	Wireline	238.0			
E-107	453.2	548.0	Wireline/Mud Rotary	235.0	CDM Smith and Subconsultants		
E-108	356.8	346.0	Wireline Coring	23.0	Brightwater Project (2003)		
E-109	298.7	260.0	Wireline Coring	0.0	Brightwater Project (2003)		
E-110	348.4	438.0	Wireline Coring	33.0			
E-211	317.1	280.0	Wireline Coring	21.0			
LLE-B06	329.0	80.4	Mud Rotary				
LLE-B08	317.0	81.5	Hollow Stem Auger	25.0			
LLE-B09	331.0	81.5	Hollow Stem Auger	52.5			
LLE-B10S	354.0	81.5	Mud Rotary		Con Frankrans Inc.		
LLE-B11P	362.5	362.5 81.5 Mud Rotary 46.0 394.0 81.0 Hollow Stem Auger		46.0			
LLE-B12	394.0						
LLE-B13	395.0	81.5	Hollow Stem Auger		GeoEngineers, Inc.,		
LLE-B14	398.0	41.0	Hollow Stem Auger		Sound Transit - LLE (2014)		
LLE-B15	400.0	41.5	Hollow Stem Auger				
LLE-B17	484.0	101.5	Hollow Stem Auger	70.0			
LLE-B18	390.0	61.0	Hollow Stem Auger				
LLE-B19	392.0	101.5	Hollow Stem Auger	68.5			
LLE-B20	432.0	41.5	Hollow Stem Auger				
MW-3	331.0	369.0	Becker Hammer	133.0			
MW-4	387.0	446.5	Becker Hammer	178.0	HWA Geosciences,		
MW-5	305.0	352.0	Becker Hammer	61.0	Brightwater Project (2002)		
MW-6	314.0	360.5	Becker Hammer	32.0			

Table 1, Summary of Geotechnical Borings. This table is a summary of the existing geotechnical borings that were used in this study.

Table 2, Geologic Contacts Database. This table shows the location of each boring, and the elevation of the bottom of each geologic unit with respect to each boring. I terminated this study at an elevation of 100 ft, although not all borings reached this depth. Blank spaces in the table indicate the absence of a geologic unit within a boring. Notice the anomalous nature of boring E-109, which does not contain any deposits from the Vashon Stade, but instead shows that Qpn is observed in the first 71 ft of the boring.

Boring ID	Northing	Easting	Surface Elevation (ft)	Qvr	Qvt	Qva	Qvlc	Qpg_1	Qpn_1	Qpnmw	Qpn_2	Qpg_2	Bottom Elevation (ft)
BW-4	292702.53	1270571.18	368			177	136	100					100
BW-5	292558.92	1275711.57	400			331		100					100
BW-6	292329.00	1280284.89	450		407	366		164	100				100
E-105	287350.73	1264077.49	453		438	196	193		100				100
E-106	287281.71	1266085.31	486		445	207			100				100
E-107	287286.32	1268070.00	454			214			100				100
E-108	287250.64	1270073.56	357	247		224			141	116	101	100	100
E-109	287168.00	1272288.00	299						228			100	100
E-110	286963.17	1274157.64	349		297	272			213			100	100
E-211	286326.37	1277098.94	317	295	274	253			164			100	100
LLE-B08	287645.34	1275729.20	317		279	254	236						236
LLE-B09	288336.35	1275804.25	331	318	308	256	250						250
LLE-B11P	289308.55	1276263.13	363			310	281						281
LLE-B17	290943.92	1275989.00	484			406	382						382
LLE-B19	291584.06	1275750.55	392			320	291						291
MW-3	292217.63	1264080.88	331			198	194	181	129			100	100
MW-4	287602.69	1264800.59	387			201	197		100				100
MW-5	287165.87	1271424.47	305			242	201					100	100
MW-6	287239.93	1276263.15	314	295	292	260			181			100	100

Table 3, Summary of the soil groups found within the Qva in each boring. The abbreviations are as follows: well-graded gravel (GW), poorly-graded gravel (GP), poorly-graded gravel with silt (GP-GM), silty gravel (GM), well-graded sand (SW), well-graded sand with silt (SW-SM), poorly-graded sand (SP), poorly-graded sand with silt (SP-SM), silty sand (SM), lean silt (ML), and lean clay (CL).

Boring ID	Qva Measured in Boring (ft)	GW	GP	GP-GM	GM	SW	SW-SM	SP	SP-SM	SM	ML	CL
BW-4	191.0								105.0	70.0	16.0	
BW-5	68.0								68.0			
BW-6	42.0			19.0						23.0		
E-105	242.0	5.0			2.5	40.0		174.0	10.5	10.0		
E-106	238.0	29.0					31.0	120.0	58.0			
E-107	235.0	103.5	40.0		-			18.5	73.0			
E-108	23.0							23.0				
E-109	0.0				-							
E-110	33.0							33.0				
E-211	21.0	-	-		-	-		21.0	-			
LLE-B06	32.5				1			-	20.0	12.5		
LLE-B08	25.0				-			5.0		20.0		
LLE-B09	52.5				-			10.0	15.0	27.5		
LLE-B10S	48.5				-				5.0	38.5		5.0
LLE-B11P	46.0				-	-			31.0	15.0		
LLE-B12	58.0				-			5.0	38.0	15.0		
LLE-B13	58.5							5.0	40.0	13.5		
LLE-B14	35.0					-		3.0	32.0			
LLE-B15	40.0						15.0	8.5	16.5			
LLE-B17	70.0					-	5.0	10.0	50.0	3.5		1.5
LLE-B18	56.5								56.5			
LLE-B19	68.5					-	5.0		58.5	5.0		
LLE-B20	6.0					-			2.5	3.5		
MW-3	133.0				1			69.5	63.5	-		
MW-4	178.0				-	34.0		127.0	6.0	11.0		
MW-5	61.0							59.0			2.0	
MW-6	32.0								32.0			
Total Feet:	2094.0	137.5	40.0	19.0	2.5	74.0	56.0	691.5	781.0	268.0	18.0	6.5
Percent Total:	100.0%	6.6%	1.9%	0.9%	0.1%	3.5%	2.7%	33.0%	37.3%	12.8%	0.9%	0.3%

Parameter with Respect to Qva	Findings From This Study	Published Data (Source)	Notes
Elevation Range (Qva Surface)	477-247 ft	400-600 ft (Troost and Booth, 2008)	General glacial stratigraphy
Unit Thickness	0 - 242 ft	>100 ft (Mullineaux, 1965); 50-200 ft (Golder Associates, 2008); 0-400 ft (Troost and Booth, 2008)	General glacial stratigraphy; As observed in the Mountlake Terrace area; General glacial stratigraphy
Relative Density	dense to very dense	dense to very dense (Galster and Laprade, 1991)	General glacial stratigraphy
Friction Angle	N/A	30-40° (Koloski, <i>et al</i> , 1989); About 32° (Miller, 1989)	General glacial outwash properties; General glacial outwash properties
Cohesion	N/A	0-1000 psf (Koloski, et al , 1989)	General glacial outwash properties
Dry Density	N/A	115-130 pcf (Koloski, <i>et al</i> , 1989); 110 pcf (Miller, 1989)	General glacial outwash properties; General Qva properties
Wet Density	N/A	120 pcf (Miller, 1989)	General Qva properties
Relative Erodability	N/A	Low-Medium (Koloski, et al , 1989)	General glacial outwash properties
Excavation Difficulty	N/A	Low-Medium (Koloski, <i>et al</i> , 1989); Easy (Laprade and Robinson, 1989)	General glacial outwash properties; General glacial outwash properties
Moisture Sensitivity	N/A	Low-Medium (Koloski, et al , 1989)	General glacial outwash properties
Foundation Support	N/A	1500-3000 psf (Koloski, <i>et al</i> , 1989)	General glacial outwash properties
Cut Slopes	N/A	50-70% (Koloski, et al , 1989)	General glacial outwash properties
Aquifer Depth	7.59-221.3 ft bgs	20-70 ft bgs (King County, 2002)	General depth to saturated soils along Brightwater alignment
Saturated Thickness	0-102.13 ft	10-100 ft (Golder Associates, 2008)	Aquifer thickness in the Mountlake Terrace area during a 2008 study
Mean Hydraulic Conductivity	15.93 ft/day	40 ft/d (Golder Associates, 2008) 0.33-328 ft/d (Galster and Laprade, 1991)	As measured in the Mountlake Terrace area; General Qva properties
Groundwater Recharge Rate	N/A	15-20 in/yr where Qva exposed and <10 in/yr where Qva is capped by till or pavement (Golder Associates, 2008)	Recharge rate in the Mountlake Terrace area during a 2008 study
Permeability	N/A	0.01-10 ft/min (Koloski, <i>et al</i> , 1989) 0.0001-0.2 ft/min (Laprade and Robinson, 1989)	General glacial outwash properties

 Table 4, Comparative Results.
 This table summarizes the findings from this study, and compares them to published sources.

14.0 Appendices

Appendix A

Summary of Hydraulic Conductivity Data for Glacial Sediments and Conceptual Hydrogeologic Model (Adapted from Golder Associates, 2008) Appendix A, Summary of Hydraulic Conductivity Data for Glacial Sediments and Conceptual Hydrogeologic Model. (From Golder Associates, 2008)

	Hydrau	ivity (ft/d)	
Aquifer Unit	Minimum	Median	Maximum
Alluvium	3.6	88	3,200
Recessional Outwash	0.08	180	1,800
Advance Outwash	0.18	40	2,800
Pre-Vashon Aquifers	0.22	31	1,800

Summary of Hydraulic Conductivity Data for Glacial Sediments

Conceptual Hydrogeologic Model

		Saturated			Median Hydraulic	
		Thickness	Groundwater	Groundwater	Conductivity	
Geologic Unit	Hydrostratigraphic Unit	(feet)	Recharge (in/yr)	Discharge	(ft/d)	Potential for Infiltration
Alluvium	Alluvia and Recessional	0.40(2)	20.24	To Streams and Lake	180	Low - Limited unsaturated thickness and
Recessional Outwash	Outwash Aquifer	0-40(?)	20-24	Ballinger	100	good connection to surface water
Vashon Till	Aquitard	na	<10 where exposed on surface	na	53	Low - Low Permeability
Advance Outwash	Aquifer	10-100	15-20 where exposed at surface, <10 when under till	To Streams and to Pre-Vashon Aquifers	40	Good where exposed at ground surface and sufficent unsaturated thickness exists. Low where present under till unless till removed.
Lawton Clay	Aquitard	na	na	na	7	Low - Low Permeability
Pre-Vashon	Aquifers and Aquitards	Variable	<10	Puget Sound	31	Low - deep, water supply aquifer

Appendix B

Geotechnical Boring Logs
Log of Boring MW- 3

Sheet 1 of 7

Date(s) Drilled	1/3/02 - 1/7/02	Geotechnical Consultant	HWA GeoS	iciences Inc.	Logged B By	КН, ВWT	Checked MLR/SEG By
Drilling Met	thod/ Rig Type Becker Han	nmer/ Truck	Drilling Contractor	ayne Christensen	Company	Total Depth of Borehole	369.0 feet
Drill Bit Size/Type	Dual Wall Reverse Circ.		Hammer Weight/D)rop (lbs/in.)	300#, 30"	Ground Surfac Elevation/Datu	e 331 feet / NAVD88
Location	On Edmonds Way.		Coordinates	N. 47.79084	W. 122.36443	Elevation Sour	ce Plan



HWA GEOSCIENCES INC.



Figure: A-4.2



HWA GEOSCIENCES INC.



HWA GEOSCIENCES INC.



HWA GEOSCIENCES INC.



HWAGEOSCIENCES INC.



HWAGEOSCIENCES INC.

EXPLORATION DESIGNATION	sample vumber	DEPTH (ft)	VATER CONTENT (%)	VET DENSITY (PCF)	JRY DENSITY (pcf)	SODIUM CONTENT mg/kg dry)	JNCONF'D COMPR. TRENGTH (ksf)	COHESION (psi)	HI ANGLE (degrees)	ומחום רואוד	LASTIC LIMIT	6 GRAVEL	SAND	¢ FINES	IRGANIC CONTENT (%)	ASTM SOIL	
MW- 3	S-1	9.0 - 10.5	10.2				0	0	<u> </u>	<u></u>		<u> </u>	•`	- °`-	0	SP-SM	yellowish-brown, poorly graded SAND with sill and gravel
MW- 3	S-2	19.0 - 20.5	13.3													SM	yellowish-brown, silty SAND with gravel
MW- 3	S-3	29.0 - 30.5	9.4									1.1	93.7	5.1		SP-SM	grayish-brown, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 3	S-4	39.0 - 40.5	5.8		• • • • • • •											SP	grayish-brown, poorly graded SAND with gravel
MW- 3	S-5	49.0 - 50.5	4.7					·								SP	grayish-brown, poorly graded SAND
MW- 3	S-6	59.0 - 60.5	3.9							<u> </u>		6.3	89.0	4.7		SP	grayish-brown, poorly graded SAND
MW- 3	S-7	69.0 - 70.5	19.9													SP	dk. grayish-brown, poorly graded SAND
MW- 3	S-8	77.0 - 79.0	11.2													SP-SM	dk. grayish-brown, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 3	S-9	87.0 - 89.0	21.3									8.3	86.7	5,1		SP-SM	olive-brown, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 3	S-10	97.0 - 99.0	25.8			-										SP-SM	dk. grayish-brown, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 3	S-11	120.0 - 129.0	27.8									7.6	89.3	3.1		SP	grayish-brown, poorly graded SAND
MW- 3	S-12	143.0 - 146.0	31.2													SP-SM	dk. grayish-brown, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 3	S-13	150.0 - 159.0	29.5													SP-SM	gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 3	S-14	170.0 - 180.0	33.2											1		SP-SM	gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 3	S-15	180.0 - 190.0	39.1									0.1	7.7	92.1		CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 3	S-16	202.0 - 208.0	37.5													CL-ML	gray, silty lean CLAY
MW- 3	S-17	209.0 - 210.5	23.1							40	23		0.2	99.8		CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 3	S-18	219.0 - 220.5	29.3										1.5	98.5		CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 3	S-19a	229.0 - 229.5	26.4													SM	gray, silty SAND
MW- 3	S-19b	229.5 - 230.0	31.9	122.2	92.6									95.4		CL-ML	gray, silty CLAY
Notes:	1. This t with	able summarizes infor the report text, other g	mation pro	esented e I tables, a	Isewhere nd the exp	in the repor ploration log	t and shou is.	ld be us	ed in co	njunction							SUMMARY OF



PAGE: 1 of 3

PROJECT NO.: 99153-490

FIGURE: A-4.21

EXPLORATION	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH (ft)	WATER CONTENT (%)	WET DENSITY (PCF)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	SODIUM CONTENT (mg/kg dry)	UNCONF'D COMPR. STRENGTH (ksf)	COHESION (psi)	PHI ANGLE (degrees)	נוסטום נואוד	PLASTIC LIMIT	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	ASTM SOIL CLASSIFICATION	SAMPLE CLASSIFICATION
MW- 3	S-19c	230.0 - 230.5	27.9	120.4	94.1					39	20		20.7	79.3	3.6	CL	gray, lean CLAY with sand
MW- 3	S-20	254.0 - 259.0	29.2										6.9	93.1		CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 3	S-21	259.0 - 260.5	40.1							84	30			99.7		СН	gray, fat CLAY
MW- 3	S-22	269.0 - 270.5	30.8			644				68	26		5.3	94.7	7	СН	gray, fat CLAY
MW- 3	S-23	279.0 - 280.5	26.3							42	21			99.9		CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 3	S-24	285.0 - 286.5	27.7											100.0		CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 3	S-25	289.0 - 290.5	24.9							45	26		0.0	100.0	5.4	CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 3	S-26a	295.0 - 295.5	18.6													SP-SM	dark gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 3	S-26b	295.5 - 296.0	23.1	131.8	107.1			10	39.8				37.6	62.4		ML	gray, SILT, sand lenses
MW- 3	S-26c	296.0 - 296.5	25.2													SP-SM	dark gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 3	S-27	299.0 - 300.5	22.8									0.0	85.2	14.7		SM	gray, silty SAND
MW- 3	S-28	305.0 - 306.5	25.8											35.9		SM	gray, silty SAND
MW- 3	S-29	309.0 - 310.5	21.6											9.8		SP-SM	dark gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 3	S-30	314.0 - 315.0	27.4													SP-SM	dark gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 3	S-31	325.0 - 326.0	27.9													SP-SM	gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 3	S-32	328.0 - 329.0	23.8									0.8	97.5	1.8		SP	dark gray, poorly graded SAND
MW- 3	S-33	334.0 - 335.0	23.7													CL	dark olive gray, lean CLAY, sand layers
MW- 3	S-34a	335.0 - 335.5	20.8							40	22			97.8	5	CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 3	S-34b	335.5 - 336.0	22.2										3.0	97.0		CL	olive gray, lean CLAY
MW- 3	S-34c	336.0 - 336.5	24.5							29	26			97.6		ML	gray, SILT, interbedded with lean CLAY
Notes:	1. This t with	able summarizes infor the report text, other g	mation pro	esented e I tables, a	Isewhere	in the report	and shoul	d be use	ed in cor	ijunction							



SUMMARY OF MATERIAL PROPERTIES

PAGE: 2 of 3

99153 99153490.GPJ 4/29/02

PROJECT NO.: 99153-490

FIGURE: A-4.22

EXPLORATION DESIGNATION	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH (ft)	WATER CONTENT (%)	WET DENSITY (PCF)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	SODIUM CONTENT (mg/kg dry)	UNCONF'D COMPR. STRENGTH (ksf)	COHESION (psi)	PHI ANGLE (degrees)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	ASTM SOIL CLASSIFICATION	SAMPLE CLASSIFICATION
MW- 3	S-34d	336.5 - 337.0	23.2			472							1.3	98.7	2.3	ML	dark olive-gray, SILT
MW- 3	S-35	337.0 - 337.5	25.5													CL	olive gray, lean CLAY, sand layers
MW- 3	S-36	338.0 - 339.0	25.9													SP-SM	olive gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 3	S-37	347.0 - 348.0	26.2										92.3	7.7		SP-SM	gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 3	S-38	353.0 - 355.0	37.2							41	30		5.8	94.2	8.2	ML	olive-gray, SILT
MW- 3	S-39a	355.0 - 355.5	30.4							35	30		7.1	92.9	9.2	ML.	olive gray, SILT
MW- 3	S-39b	355.5 - 356.0	31.0											91.6	2.8	ML.	olive-gray, SILT
MW- 3	S-39c	356.0 - 356.5	29.1											97.8		ML	olive-gray, SILT
MW- 3	S-40	358.0 - 359.0	25.3									0.3	88.8	10.9		SP-SM	gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 3	S-41	364.0 - 365.0	32.4													SP-SM	olive gray, poorly graded SAND with silt, wood pieces
MW- 3	S-42	367.5 - 369.0															
Notori	1 Think																



SUMMARY OF MATERIAL PROPERTIES

PAGE: 3 of 3

PROJECT NO.: 99153-490

FIGURE: A-4.23

99153 99153490.GPJ 4/29/02

Log of Boring MW- 4

Sheet 1 of 8

Date(s) Drilled	1/25/02 - 2/1/02	Geotechnical Consultant	HWA Geo	Sciences Inc.	Logged E By	КН	Checked MLR/SEG By
Drilling Me	thod/ Rig Type Becker Han	nmer/ Truck	Drilling Contractor	ayne Christensen	Company	Total Depth of Borehole	446.5 feet
Drill Bit Size/Type	Dual Wall Reverse Circ.		Hammer Weight	/Drop (lbs/in.)	300#, 30"	Ground Surfac Elevation/Datu	e 387 feet / NAVD88
Location	Firdale Village		Coordinates	N. 47.77823	W. 122.36113	Elevation Sour	ce Plan

\square					SAMPLE	S			······································	1			
Elevation,	teet Doott	feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-38	80	5			10-12-			SM SM	Brown, silty, fine to coarse gravelly, fine to medium SAND, moist. Cobbles present and ocasional gravel lenses. Dense, olive brown, slightly silty, fine to coarse gravelly, fine to medium SAND, moist, A lense of		м	91	
-37	0	10		S-1	28 (40)	100			coarse sandy gravel is present at the bottom of the sample. (GLACIAL FLUVIAL, Qva)		SA	5.1	
Sig915349K.GPJ 5/1/02	0	20- - - 25- - -		S-2	19 - 24 - 21 (45)	100		SW .	Dense, olive brown, clean to slightly silty, fine to coarse gravelly, fine to coarse SAND to fine to coarse sandy, fine to coarse GRAVEL, moist.		M SA	6.0	
	0	30- - - 35- -		S-3	8 - 12 - 22 (34)	100		SP- SM SW	Dense, olive brown, slightly silty, fine to coarse gravelly, fine to medium SAND, moist. Lenses of silty SAND are present. Dense, brown, slightly fine gravelly, fine to coarse, clean SAND, moist.		M SA	10.5	
אאטיעראיאטיאטעראטיעראיטעארעראע		40		S-4	10 - 21 - 26 (47)	100					M SA	5.1	
-34	0	- - 50	.		13 - 44 -						м	5.3	



Log of Boring MW-4

Sheet 3 of 8



HWA GEOSCIENCES INC.



HWA GEOSCIENCES INC.

Log of Boring MW- 4

Sheet 5 of 8

Γ				SAMPLE	S							
Elevation,	feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-1	50	-	S-20	2 - 13 - 28 (41)	100			Dark gray, slightly silty, fine SAND, wet. Organics, including wood fragments, present.	// 	M SA	26.5	
	2	230-					ML	organics present. (NONGLACIAL LACUSTRINE, QpnI)				
-1	2	235						Cuttings: Sitty SAND to sandy SILT. Some organics				
	2	- 240 -	S-21	9 - 18 - 30 (48)	100		CL	Hard, dark gray, fat CLAY, moist.		M SA AL	24.2	
-14	2	- 245 - -						- - Cuttings: hard, dark gray, CLAY with light gray seams.				
	2	- 250 - -	S-22a S-22b	9 - 13 - 28 (41)	93 133		ML CL	Hard, dark gray, CLAY, moist. Dense, dark gray, fine sandy SILT, moist to wef. Light gray seams and fine sandy clay lenses presenf.		M SA AL HA M	27.7 27.5	
20/1/2 1-13	2	255- - -								SA		
ECTS/9915349K.(2	260	S-23	5 - 10 - 34 (44)	100			Cuttings: Clay with sand and silt lenses.		M SA HA	29.7	
HIGINTWPROL	2	- 265- - -						Cuttings: Hard, dark gray, lean to fat CLAY, moist.				
B-RWSP.GDT)	2	270- -	S-24	10 - 13 - 18 (31)	100			Hard, dark gray, lean CLAY, moist. Light gray seams present.		M AL	21.9	
-11	2 0	- - 275- - -						Cuttings are silty SAND, wet between 273 and 276 feet.				
{ Ver.1.1 Jan02	2	- 280 - - -	S-25a S-25b S-25c	8 - 8 - 12 (20)	100 100 100		CL SM ML SM	Very stiff, dark gray, CLAY, moist. Medium dense, dark gray, sitty fine SAND, wef. Very stiff, dark gray, fine sandy SILT, moist to wef. Dark gray, SILT, wet.		M SA AL HA M	31.0 27.7 30.6	
		-{		<u> </u>		77777	{		<u></u>			

Log of Boring MW- 4

Sheet 6 of 8

				SAMPL	ES								
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer	Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
		285-					CL	– Hard, dark gray, lean CLAY, moist. –		\otimes	SA M		
, , ,	-100	290-	S-26	8 - 12 - 18 (30)	100						M SA AL HA	22.7	
	-90	295-	S-27	7 - 11 - 21	100		SM	 Dense, dark gray, slightly silty, fine SAND, wet.			м	25.1	
		305		(32)			CL- ML	Cuttings: Dark gray, silty SAND, wet. Hard, dark gray, lean to fat CLAY, moisf.					
~	-80	310- -	S -28	9 - 12 - 18 (30)	100						M SA AL HA	22.8	Standpipe Piezometer ⊻
15349K.GPJ 5/1/0	70	315- - -						At 315, cuttings contain a thin lens of hard, greenish gray, laminated CLAY, moist. The Lens is very brittle.					1/5/2002_314 ft ⊽_
TWPROJECTS1991		- 320 - -	S-29	8 - 10 - 16 (26)	100			Very stiff, dark gray, CLAY, moist. Light gray seams present.			м	32.7	
SP.GDT) H.GIN	60	325-	S-30	7 - 12 - 16 (28)	100			Very stiff, dark gray, CLAY, moist. Light gray seamš present.			M SA AL HA	25.7	
GLB-RW		330-	S-31	8 - 18 - 40 (58)	100		ML -	Very dense, dark gray, fine sandy SILT to silty fine SAND, wet.			M SA	45.7	
P-RWSP			150 S-32					Very stiff, dark gray, lean CLAY, moist. Light gray			м	33.7	
1.1 Jan02RWS	50	335	S-33	8 - 11 - 18 (29)	100			contained organics.			M SA AL HA	26.0	
{ Ver.		340 –	S -34	9 - 13 - 18 (31)	100		-				м	26.4	
								HWA					

HWA GEOSCIENCES INC.

Log of Boring MW-4

Sheet 7 of 8

			SAMPLI	s							
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-40	345 - 350 -	S-35a S-35b S-35b	4 - 11 - 22 (33) 3 - 11 - 20 (31)	100 100		SP- SM ML ML	Dense, dark gray, silty fine SAND, wet. Hard, dark gray, SILT to fine sandy SILT, moist to wet. Dense to hard, dark gray, silty fine SAND to sandy SILT, wet.		MA SA HA SA	28.8 28.1 28.1	
-30	355 -	S-37a S-37b S-37b S-38a S-38a	5 - 13 - 23 (36) 15 - 25 - 47 (72)	93 133 100 100		SM CL ML	Dense, dark gray, silty fine SAND, wet. Lenses of organics present. Hard, dark gray, lean CLAY, moist. Hard, greenish gray, fine sandy SILT, moist to wef.		M SA M SA	24.3 23.4 17.1 23.4	
-20	- - - - - - - -	rt S-39				SP	Organics present. Dark gray, fine to coarse gravelly, slightly silty, fine to medium SAND, wet. Organics, including pieces of wood, present. Lenses of silt/clay present in sand. (NONGLACIAL FLUVIAL, Qpnf)		AL M SA HA M SA	23.8	
5349K.GPJ 5/1/02	370- 	S-40					5 feet of heave present at 369 feef. Dark gray, fine to medium SAND, wet. Pieces of wood present.		M M SA	23.8 25.0	
	- - 380- -										
	- 385 - - -	S-42 S-43	7 - 12 - 27 (39)	100		ML SM	Cuttings: Light greenish gray, SILT, moist with organics. Very dense, gray, silty fine SAND, moist. Abundant organics, including pieces of wood, present.		M SA HA M SA	35.9 31.0	
וסבתלעאר-הנע	390- - 395-	S-44	16 - 50 - 50/3 (100+)	100		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Dense, dark gray with light gray and greenish gray streaks, silty, fine SAND, moist. Abundant organics, including pieces of wood, present.		M SA HA	25.3	
	400	ଞ୍ଚ S-45				SP _	Heaving sand present. No SPT sample taken. Cuttings consist of dark gray, fine to medium SAND, wet.		M SA M	22.1	

Log of Boring MW- 4

Sheet 8 of 8

			SAMPLE	ES		<u> </u>					
Elevation, feet	Depth feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
20	405-	5-46 5-47 5-48				CL SP	Heaving sand present. No SPT sample taken. Cuttings consist of dark gray, fine to medium SAND, wet. Cuttings: Brown to gray, CLAY, moist. According to the driller, this clay lens is only 1.5 to 2 feet thick. 35 feet of heave present at 405 feet. No SPT sample taken. Cuttings: Gray, gravelly, fine to coarse SAND, wet. Gravel is fine.		SA HA SA M SA	32.9 14.8	
	410-	- - - - - -				CL- ML	Cuttings: Gray, SILT, moist. (NONGLACIAL LACUSTRINE, Qngl)		м	28.9	
30	415- 420-	S-50	11 - 35 - 50/5 (100+)	100		SP	Hard, gray, organic SILT, moist. Abundant compressed peat layers present. Compressed peat is platey and breaks into sheets. Heaving sands present at 420 feet.		M AL	32.7	
40	425-		18 - 36 -								
19K.GPJ 5/1/02	430- -	S-51a S-51b S51c S51c	50/5 (100+)	100 100 100		OL	Hard, gray, organic SILT, moist. Abundant wood fragments/organics present. Cuttings: Brown, compressed peat. Cuttings: Gray silt.		M MA SA MA SA HA M	25.6 34.9 32.7 47.5	
APROJECTS(991534	435-	S-53 S-54 S-55 S-56	35 - 50/2 (100+) 30 - 50/3 (100+)	83 83		GP	Cuttings: Dark gray, fine to coarse sandy, GRAVEL, wet. (NONGLACIAL FLUVIAL, Qpnf) Very dense, gray, fine to coarse GRAVEL, wet. Cuttings: Dark gray, fine to coarse sandy, fine to		M SA M SA	7.7 8.2 4.9	
WSP, GDT) H. GINTW	440 - - - - - - - - - - - -	S-57 S-58 S-59	7 - 16 16	100		-	coarse GRAVEL, wet.		M SA M	5.1 13.3	
02RWSP-RWSP.GLB-	- - 450 -						Bottom of hole at 446.5 feet. 2" piezometer installed from 404 to 424 feet. Vibrating wire piezometer installed at 226 feet.				
Ver.1.1 Janc	455										

EXPLORATION DESIGNATION	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH (ft)	WATER CONTENT (%)	WET DENSITY (PCF)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	SODIUM CONTENT (mg/kg dry)	UNCONF'D COMPR. STRENGTH (ksf)	COHESION (psi)	PHi ANGLE (degrees)	ימטום בואוד	PLASTIC LIMIT	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES	DRGANIC CONTENT (%)	ASTM SOIL CLASSIFICATION	SAMPLE CLASSIFICATION
MW- 4	S-1	9.0 - 10.5	9.1									<u> </u>		7.8	<u> </u>	SP-SM	Olive-brown, poorly graded SAND withsilt and gravel
MW- 4	S-2	19.0 - 20.5	6.0									33.4	59.6	7.0		SP-SM	olive-brown, poorly graded SAND with silt and gravel
MW- 4	S-3	29.0 - 30.5	10.5											7.1		SP-SM	Olive-brown, poorly graded SAND with site
MW- 4	S-4	39.0 - 40.5	5.1									16.4	79.3	4.3		SP	gravish-brown, poorly graded SAND with securit
MW- 4	S-5	49.0 - 50.5	5.3											12.4		 	give brown, poonly graded SAND with grave
MW- 4	· S-6	59.0 - 60.5	13.3									43	81.2	14.6		 	
MW- 4	S-7	69.0 - 70.5	4.8											26			
MW- 4	S-8	79.0 - 80.5	5.2									26	01 1	6.2		0F 	gravish-orown, poorly graded SAND
MW- 4	S-9	89.0 - 90.5	4.9				··· .			<u> </u>		2.0	31.1	5.0			it. Onve-brown, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 4	S-10	99.0 - 100.5	6.6											5.3		SP-SM	olive-gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 4	S-11	109.0 - 110.5	16.0									5.6	88.6	5.9		SP-SM	olive-gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
	C 12	110.0 120.5	10.0							<u> </u>				5.2		SP-SM	olive-gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
	0-12	119.0 - 120.3	17.3									2.4	95.2	2,4		SP	olive-gray, poorly graded SAND
MVV- 4	5-13	129.0 - 129.0	35.4											7.2		SP-SM	brown, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 4	S-14	133.0 - 136.0	26.1											3.6		SP	lt. grayish-brown, poorly graded SAND
MW- 4	S-15	155.0 - 160.0	23.6									0.6	95.9	3.6		SP	gray, poorly graded SAND
MW- 4	S-16	170.0 - 180.0	22.9									4.8	91.6	3.6		SP	gray, poorly graded SAND
MW- 4	S-17a	189.0 - 190.0	21.4							NP	' NP		6.6	93.4	2.9	ML	gray, SILT
MW- 4	S17b	190.0 - 190.5	23.5											7.3		SP-SM	gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 4	S-18	205.0 - 209.0	28.3									5.0	79.1	15.9		SM	gray, silty SAND
MW- 4	S-19	210.0 - 219.0	34.4											10.0		SP-SM	gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
Notes:	1. This ta with t	able summarizes infor the report text, other a	mation pre	esented el	sewhere i	n the report	and should	d be use	d in conj	junction	·		<u></u>	L	1		



SUMMARY OF MATERIAL PROPERTIES

PAGE: 1 of 4

99153 99153490.GPJ 4/29/02

PROJECT NO.: 99153-490

FIGURE: A-5.30

EXPLORATION DESIGNATION	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH (ft)	WATER CONTENT (%)	WET DENSITY (PCF)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	SODIUM CONTENT (mg/kg dry)	UNCONF'D COMPR. STRENGTH (ksf)	COHESION (psi)	PHI ANGLE (degrees)	רוסחום רואוב	PLASTIC LIMIT	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	ASTM SOIL CLASSIFICATION	SAMPLE CLASSIFICATION
MW- 4	S-20	226.0 - 228.5	26.5											92.5		ML	gray, SILT
MW- 4	S-21	239.0 - 240.5	24.2							36	27			100.0		ML	gray, SILT
MW- 4	S-22a	249.0 - 250.2	27.7							59	25		0.0	100.0		СН	gray, fat CLAY
MW- 4	S-22b	250.2 - 250.5	27.5											99.4		ML	olive-gray, SILT
MW- 4	S-23	259.0 - 260.5	29.7							NP	NP		0.0 [°]	100.0		ML	olive-gray, SILT
MW- 4	S-24	269.0 - 270.5	21.9							39	21				_	CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 4	S-25a	279.0 - 279.5	31.0							57	25		5.3	94.7		СН	gray, fat CLAY
MW- 4	S-25b	279.5 - 280.0	27.7											29.8		SM	gray, silty SAND
MW- 4	S-25c	280.0 - 280.5	30.6											99.3		ML	dk. olive-gray, SILT
MW- 4	S-26	289.0 - 290.5	22.7							46	22		0.0	100.0	2.5	CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 4	S-27	299.0 - 300.5	25.1													SP-SM	gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 4	S-28	309.0 - 310.5	22.8							43	22			100.0	3.2	CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 4	S-29	319.0 - 320.5	32.7													СН	gray, fat CLAY
MW- 4	S-30	325.0 - 326.5	25.7							48	26		0.0	100.0	2.8	CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 4	S-31	329.0 - 330.5	45.7										34.6	65.4		ML	dark gray, sandy SILT
MW- 4	S-32	332.5 - 333.0	33.7													CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 4	S-33	335.0 - 336.5	26.0							50	26		0.9	99.1		СН	gray, fat CLAY
MW- 4	S-34	339.0 - 340.5	26.4													CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 4	S-35a	345.0 - 345.5	28.8											31.0		SM	dark gray, silty SAND
MW- 4	S-35b	345.5 - 346.5	28.1										3.8	96.2		ML	dk. olive-gray, SILT
Notes:	1. This ta with t	able summarizes inform he report text, other g	mation pre raphs and	esented e tables, a	isewhere nd the exp	in the report ploration log	and shoul s.	d be use	ed in con	junction							



SUMMARY OF MATERIAL PROPERTIES

PAGE: 2 of 4

99153 99153490.GPJ 4/29/02

PROJECT NO.: 99153-490 FIGURE: A-5.31

EXPLORATION DESIGNATION	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH (ft)	WATER CONTENT (%)	WET DENSITY (PCF)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	SODIUM CONTENT (mg/kg dry)	UNCONF'D COMPR. STRENGTH (ksf)	COHESION (psi)	PHI ANGLE (degrees)	רומום רואוד	PLASTIC LIMIT	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES	DRGANIC CONTENT (%)	ASTM SOIL CLASSIFICATION	SAMPLE CLASSIFICATION
MW- 4	S-36	349.0 - 350.5	28.1							·				95.3	Ŭ	ML	dk. olive-gray, SILT
MW- 4	S-37a	355.0 - 356.2	24.3											43.3		SM	dark gray, silty SAND
MW- 4	S-37b	356.2 - 356.5	23.4													CL	dk. olive-gray, lean CLAY
MW- 4	S-38a	359.0 - 359.5	17.1							36	21			99.9		CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 4	S-38b	359.5 - 360.5	23.4										8.8	91.2		ML	gray, SILT
MW- 4	S-39	362.0 - 365.0	23.8									1.0	93.5	5.5		SP-SM	gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 4	S-40	369.0 -	23.8													SP	gray, poorly graded SAND
MW- 4	S-41	370.0 - 374.0	25.0									0.0	92.6	7.4		SP-SM	gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 4	S-42	383.0 - 384.0	35.9										18.6	81.4		ML	gray, SILT with sand
MW- 4	S-43	385.0 - 386.5	31.0											86.6		ML	olive-gray, SILT
MW- 4	S-44	390.0 - 391.5	25.3										23.8	76.2		ML	olive-gray, SILT with sand
MW- 4	S-45	395.0 - 397.0	22.1											17.4		SM	olivé-gray, silty SAND
MW- 4	S-46	399.0 - 401.0	20.8									0.8	89.7	9.6		SP-SM	gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 4	S-47	403.0 - 404.0	32.9											91.9		CL	olive-brown, lean CLAY
MW- 4	S-48	405.0 - 406.0	14.8									21.8	76.3	1.9		SP	gray, poorly graded SAND
MW- 4	S-49	411.0 - 412.0	28.9													ML	dk. grayish-brown, SILT with sand
MW- 4	S-50	415.0 - 416.5	32.7							45	38				12.2	ML	olive-gray, SILT with sand
MW- 4	S-51a	428.0 - 428.5	25.6							NP	NP		-			SP-SM	gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 4	S-51b	428.5 - 429.0	34.9									<u> </u>		77.1		ML.	dk. olive-gray, SILT with sand, thin interbedded organics
MW- 4	S51c	429.0 - 429.5	32.7										6.7	93.3	·	ML	dk. olive-gray, SILT, thin interbedded organic layers
Notes:	1. This t with	able summarizes infor	mation pre	esented e	Isewhere	in the report	and should	d be use	ed in con	junction			 -	•			·

HWA HWAGEOSCIENCES INC.

Brightwater Project King and Snohomish Counties Washington

SUMMARY OF MATERIAL PROPERTIES

PAGE: 3 of 4

PROJECT NO.: 99153-490

FIGURE: A-5.32

99153 99153490.GPJ 4/29/02

EXPLORATION DESIGNATION	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH (ft)	WATER CONTENT (%)	WET DENSITY (PCF)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	SODIUM CONTENT (mg/kg dry)	UNCONF'D COMPR. STRENGTH (ksf)	COHESION (psi)	PHI ANGLE (degrees)	רומחום רואוד	PLASTIC LIMIT	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES	DRGANIC CONTENT (%)	ASTM SOIL CLASSIFICATION	SAMPLE CLASSIFICATION
MW- 4	S-52	432.0 - 433.0	47.5													ML	olive-gray, SILT, thin interbedded organic layers
MW- 4	S-53	435.0 - 435.7	7.7							<u> </u>						GP	olive-gray, poorly graded GRAVEL with sand
MW- 4	S-54	436.0 - 437.0	8.2							<u>,</u>		68.0	31.1	1.0		GW	gray, well graded GRAVEL with sand
MW- 4	S-55	437.5 - 438.3														GW	olive-gray, well graded GRAVEL with sand
MW- 4	S-56	438.0 - 438.5	4.9									85.7	14.3	0.0		GW	gray, well graded GRAVEL with sand
MW- 4	S-57	444.0 - 444.5	5.1							·· .,		75.3	24.7	0.0		GW	gray, well graded GRAVEL with sand
MW- 4	S-58	444.5 - 445.0	13.3									32.2	67.0	0.8		SW	gray, well graded SAND with gravel
MW- 4	S-59	445.0 - 446.5														GP	olive-gray, poorly graded GRAVEL with silt and sand
Notes:	1. This t	able summarizes infor		senied e	Isewhere	in the report	and should										

.



Brightwater Project King and Snohomish Counties Washington SUMMARY OF MATERIAL PROPERTIES

PAGE: 4 of 4

99153 99153490.GPJ 4/29/02

PROJECT NO.: 99153-490

FIGURE: A-5.33

Log of Boring MW- 5

Sheet 1 of 7

Date(s) Drilled	11/27/01 - 12/7/01	Geotechnical Consultant	HWA G	eoSciences Inc.	Logged S By	EG, BKH	Checked MLR/SEG
Drilling Met	thod/ Rig Type Becker Han	nmer/ Truck	Drilling Contractor	Layne Christensen	Company	Total Depth of Borehole	352.0 feet
Drill Bit Size/Type	Dual Wall Reverse Circ.		Hammer Weig	ht/Drop (lbs/in.)	300#, 30"	Ground Surfac Elevation/Datu	e 305 feet / NAVD88
Location	Lake Ballinger Pump Statio	on	Coordinates	N. 47.77739	W. 122.33416	Elevation Sour	ce Plan

Depth,	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	zometer iematic	Tests	sture tent, %	REMARKS AND
5- 5-				h			Scree	Lab	Mois Con	OTHER TESTS
-					SP SP	Asphalf Sandy Fill. (FILL, f) Medium dense, gravish brown, fine SAND with trace silt and gravel, moist. (GLACIAL FLUVIAL, Qva) Occasional gravel and minor silt seams present.				
10 - - 15	S-1	8 - 8 - 8 (16)	100		SP SP	Medium dense, grayish brown, fine to medium SAND with occasional gravels, dry. Contains minor silt seams. Medium dense, grayish brown, medium SAND, with gravel, moist.		Μ	8.2	
- 20- - -	S-2	7 - 8 - 8 (16)	100		SP	Medium dense, grayish brown, medium SAND, trace gravel, moist.		м	4.8	
25 	S-3	8 - 14 - 15 (29)	100		SP	Becomes gray to brownish gray, medium SAND. 1 foot of heave present at 29 feet. Medium dense, dark gray, fine to medium SAND, wet. Trace fine gravel.		M SA	17.7	
35- - -		2 0 19								
40 - - - 45 -	■ S-4a ■ S-4b	(27)	100 100		ML SP	Stiff, grayish brown, fine sandy, SILT to SILT, moist. Laminated, non-plastic. Easy push of casing to 63 feet. Medium dense, gray, medium SAND, wet. Clean.		M	21.4 22.7	
- - - 50-	.	1-5-6				- 		M	21.1	
	$5 - \frac{5}{20} - \frac{10}{20} - \frac$	5 10 10 10 5 10 5 5 10 5 5 5 50 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	$5 - \frac{10}{10} - \frac{1}{10} - \frac{1}$	5 - 1 = 100 $10 - 5 - 1 = 100$ $15 - 1 = 100$ $15 - 1 = 100$ $15 - 1 = 100$ $20 - 5 - 2 = 7 - 8 - 8 = 100$ $25 - 100$ $30 - 5 - 3 = 8 - 14 - 15 = 100$ $35 - 100$ $35 - 100$ $35 - 100$ $35 - 100$ $35 - 100$ $35 - 100$ $35 - 100$ $35 - 100$ 100 $45 - 100$ 100 100 100 100 100	5 - 1 = 5 - 1 = 5 - 1 = 5 - 1 = 5 - 1 = 5 - 1 = 5 - 2 = 7 - 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1	5 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1	5 (GLACIAL FLUVIAL, Qva) 10 S-1 10 S-1 10 S-1 10 S-1 10 S-1 10 S-1 11 S-1 15 SP 16 SP 17 Medium dense, grayish brown, fine to medium SAND, with seams. 18 SP 19 S-2 7 8 10 SP Medium dense, grayish brown, medium SAND, with gravel, moist. 20 S-2 7 7.8 100 SP Medium dense, grayish brown, medium SAND, tracë gravel, moist. 25 Becomes gray to brownish gray, medium SAND, tracë 9 Medium dense, dark gray, fine to medium SAND, wet. Trace fine gravel. 36 SP 40 S-4a 2 9 100 Medium dense, dark gray, fine to medium SAND, wet. Clean. 40 S-4a 2 9 36 100 100 Medium dense, gray ish brown	5 - - GLACIAL FLUVIAL, Qva) 10 S-1 8 - 8 - 8 100 Occasional gravel and minor silt seams present. 10 S-1 8 - 8 - 8 100 SP Medium dense, grayish brown, fine to medium SAND with exams. 20 S-2 7 - 8 - 8 100 SP Medium dense, grayish brown, medium SAND, with gravel, moist. 20 S-2 7 - 8 - 8 100 SP Medium dense, grayish brown, medium SAND, with gravel, moist. 25 S-3 8 - 14 - 15 100 SP Medium dense, grayish brown, medium SAND, trace gravel, moist. 25 S-3 8 - 14 - 15 100 SP Medium dense, dark gray, fine to medium SAND. 30 S-3 8 - 14 - 15 100 I foot of heave present at 29 feef. SP 36 S-4 2 - 9 - 18 100 Integravel, non-plastic. SP Medium dense, dark gray, fine to medium SAND, wet. Clean. 40 S-4 2 - 9 - 18 100 Integravel, non-plastic. SP Easy push of casing to 63 feet. 41 I - 5 - 6 SP Easy push of casing to 63 feet. Medium dense, gray, medium SAND, wet. Clean. <	5 (GLACIAL FLUVIAL Ova) 10 S-1 8 - 8 (16) 10 S-1 8 - 8 (16) 10 SP Medium dense, grayish brown, fine to medium SAND 11 SP Medium dense, grayish brown, fine to medium SAND, with seams present. 11 SP Medium dense, grayish brown, medium SAND, with gravel, moist. 11 SP Medium dense, grayish brown, medium SAND, with gravel, moist. 11 SP Medium dense, grayish brown, medium SAND, tracé gravel, moist. 12 SP 13 SP 14 SP Medium dense, dark gray, fine to medium SAND, tracé gravel, moist. 15 SP 16 SP 16 SP Medium dense, dark gray, fine to medium SAND, wet. SA 16 SP Medium dense, gray, fine to medium SAND, wet. Clean. 16 SP Medium dense, gray, medium SAND, wet. Clean. 16 SP Medium dense, gray, medium SAND, wet. Clean. Medium dense, gray, medium SAN	5 - (CLACIAL FLUVIAL, Gva) Occasional gravel and minor silt seams present. - <

Log of Boring MW- 5

Sheet 2 of 7

ſ				SAMPL	ES							
	Elevation, feet	5 Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
			⊨ S-5	(11)	100			1' of heave present at 50 feef.		SA		
	-250	55-									-	
		60- -	S-6					5' of heave present at 60 feet. No sample taken.				
	240	65- -					ML	Stiff to hard, dark gray, massive to laminated, low plastic SILT, dry. (GLACIOLACUSTRINE, QvIc)				
		70- -	S-7	7 - 10 - 15 (25)	100		ML	Hard, dark gray, slightly fine sandy SILT, moist. Laminated, non to slightly plastic.		м	28.4	
	230	75- - -					-	Becomes drier, massive, more clayey with slightly wavy laminae.				
K.GPJ 5/1/02		80 	S-8	5-9-14 (23)	100		CL .	Hard, dark gray, lean CLAY, laminated, moist. low plastic.		м	25.3	
0JECTS/9915349	220	85- -					-	A few gravels present at 86 feet.				
T) H:/GINTWPR		90- - -	S-9	7 - 8 - 10 (18)	100		ML .	Hard, dark gray, SILT, moist. Laminated and minor slickensides present. Low plasticity.		M SA AL HA	26.1	
CCLB-RWSP.GD	210	95 - -					C	Stiff to hard, dark gray, massive to laminated. leañ				
n02RWSP-RWSF		- 100- - -	S -10		100			CLAY, dry.		м	24.4	
(Ver.1.1 Ja	200	105					SM	Very dense, gray, silty SAND with gravel (diamicton) with beds of interlaminated silty SAND and sandy SILT, wet. (GLACIAL TILL, Qpgt)				
								FN/A				



Log of Boring MW- 5

Sheet 4 of 7

[SAMPLE	S	·	I					
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
		-						dark draped laminations present. A few drop stones				1/30/2002 166.79 ft
			S_17		83			- (GLACIOLACUSTRINE, Qpgl) -		м	16.5	
		170- - -			65							
	-130	175- - -						Becomes more clayey at 175 feet.			26.9	
		180	S-18	6 - 14 - 17 (31)	100		ML	Hard, dark gray, slightly sandy SIL I, moist. Faintly laminated to massive. Low plasticity. Sand is fine to medium.		SA AL HA	26.8	
ł	-120	185- -						Becomes cobbley at 185 feet.				
		-					ML	Hard, dark gray, SILT, with trace fine sand, dry. Faintly laminated to massive.			12.0	
		190 - -	S-19	70	100					M	23.0	
~	-110	195-					SM	Between 194 and 196 feet, silty sand interbeds present.				
S\9915349K.GPJ 5/1/02		- - 200 — - -	S-20	10 - 18 - 27 (45)	100		СН	Hard, dark gray, fat CLAY with trace fine sand, dry. Laminations are contorted. Moderate plasiticity when wet. Appears carbonacous.		M SA AL HA	19.2	
TWPROJECT	-100	205 — -										
T) H./GI		- 210-	➡ S-21		83		SM	Very dense, dark gray, silty, fine to coarse SAND, moist. Some cobbles present. Till-like.		м	8.2	
WSP.GD		-					-	- (GLACIAL TILL, Qpgt) - 				
P-RWSP.GLB-R	-90	215-			-			 				
{ Ver.1.1 Jan02RWSF		- 220 - - -	∎ S-22	87	83			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		м	10.2	
╞	-80	225-										
Ľ												

Log of Boring MW- 5

Sheet 5 of 7

\square			SAMPLE	S							
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	230-	S-23				SM	At 227.5, soil becomes wet. Cuttings are soupy, dark gray, silty SAND. Blows counts decreased. (GLACIAL FLUVIAL, Qpgf) 7 feet of heave present at 229 feet. No sample taken.				
-70	235- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	∎ S-24	40/1.5 feet	100			1.5 feet of heave present. Drove sampler 2 feet. Dense, dark gray, slightly silty, fine to medium SAND, wet.		M SA	20.9	
-60	- 245 -	≕ S-25	50	83		SM	Very dense, dark gray, fine to coarse gravelly, silty, fine SAND, moist. TILL-LIKE. (GLACIALTILL, Qpgt)		м	9.1	
	- 250- - -	S-26	25 - 21 - 51 (72)	33		SM CL	Very dense, dark gray, silty, fine SAND, moist. – Hard, dark gray, lean CLAY, moist. Fine sand lenses – present. Between 250 and 254 feet, shells noted in cuttings.		M	18.6 18.6	
50 	255— -	■ S-27	50	83		SM	Very dense, dark gray, fine to coarse, silty fine to medium SAND, moist. Till like.		м	12.4	
EC 1 S1991 5349K. G	260- -	■ S-28	50	83		ML	Very dense, dark gray, fine to coarse gray, siltŷ SAND to sandy SILT, moist. TILL-LIKE.		M SA	12.6	
	265- -	⊐ S-29	50	83		SM	Very dense, dark gray, fine to coarse gravelly, silty fine to coarse SAND, moist to wet. Betwwen 265 and 269, cuttings are wet.		м	10.5	
a-kwsp.coll	270 -	S -30	33 - 50/5* (100+)	100		GP- GM	Very dense, dark gray, silty, sandy GRAVEL, wef. Abundant water.		м	13.5	
	275 	≕ S-31 ≕ S-32	50 50/3" (100+)	83 0			(GLACIAL FLUVIAL, Qpgf)		M SA	3.9	
							.				

Log of Boring MW- 5

Sheet 6 of 7

			SAMPLE	S						
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	USCS	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-20	285-	■ S-33	50	83	SP- SM	Very dense, dark gray, slightly silty to silty, fine to coarse gravelly, fine to coarse SAND, wet. (Drift-like)		M SA	13.2	
	290	S-34				12 feet of heave present at 289 feet. No sample taken.				
-10	295-	S-35 S-35b	83	117	SP- SM	Very dense, dark gray, slightly silty, fine to coarse gravelly, fine to medium SAND, wet.		M M	20.2 8.8	
	300- - -	S-36	72	83	GP	4 feet of heave present. Driller pulled back casing and heave fell out, therefore, a sample was taken. Very dense, dark gray, fine to coarse sandy, silty, fine to coarse GRAVEL, wet.		M SA	9.1	
-0	305- - -	S-37	66	83	sw	Very dense, dark gray, slightly silty, fine to medium gravelly, fine to coarse SAND, wet. Ground water at 169.9 feet below ground surface at start of drilling the next day.		M≁	7.9	
	310	E S-38	72	100	sw	Very dense, dark gray, slightly silty, fine to coarse gravelly, fine to coarse SAND, wet.		м	7.8	
149K.GPJ 5/1/02	- 315	S-39	68	83		Very dense, dark gray, silty, fine to coarse sandy, fine to coarse GRAVEL, wet.		M SA	10.3	
PROJECTS/99153	320	∎ S-40	50	0		No recovery. Cuttings are silty, sandy gravel and cobbles.				
MTNID/H (TOD	325-	S-41	75		GP	Very dense, dark gray, slightly silty to clean, fine to coarse sandy, fine to coase GRAVEL with cobbles, wet.		м	7.5	
MSP.GLB-RWSP	330 - -	S-42				22 feet of heave present at 329 feet. No sample taken.				
1 Jan02RWSP-RV	335-	S-43				- 10 feet of heave present. Cuttings are sandy GRAVEL, wet.				
{ Ver.1.	340- -									

Log of Boring MW- 5

Sheet 7 of 7

ſ				SAMPLE	ES							
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
		-					ML	Hard , dark gray, SILT, moist. Laminated and				
ł	-40	345 -	S -44		83			- (GLACIOLACUSTRINE, Qpgi) -		MAL	26.3	
		-	S-45				GM	Cuttings become gravelly and wet. Lenses of silt and gravel.				
		350					ML	Silt present at 350'. Very hard to pull casing out.				
	50	- 355 -						Bottom of boring at 352'. 2" piezometer installed to 352'. Vibrating wire piezometer installed to 240'.				
		360 - -										
	60	365-										
5/1/02		370-										
S\9915349K.GPJ	-70	375										
GINTWPROJECT		380- - -						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
RWSP.GDT) H:	-80	385 - -						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
VSP-RWSP.GLB-		390 										
{ Ver.1.1 Jan02RV	-90	395 - - -								j I I		
		400-										

EXPLORATION DESIGNATION	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH (ft)	WATER CONTENT (%)	WET DENSITY (PCF)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	SODIUM CONTENT (mg/kg dry)	UNCONF'D COMPR. STRENGTH (ksf)	COHESION (psi)	PHI ANGLE (degrees)	רומחום רואוד	PLASTIC LIMIT	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES	DRGANIC CONTENT (%)	ASTM SOIL CLASSIFICATION	
MW- 5	S-1	9.0 - 10.5	8.2									<u> </u>			0	ML	1. olive-brown, poorly graded sandy SILT
MW- 5	S-2	19.0 - 20.5	4.8													SP	I. olive-gray, poorly graded SAND
MW- 5	S-3	29.0 - 30.5	17.7									2.9	93.9	3.2	1.6	SP	olive-brown, poorly graded SAND
MW- 5	S-4a	39.0 - 40.0	21.4													SP-SM	gray, poorly graded SAND with silt and gravel
MW- 5	S-4b	40.0 - 40.5	22.7													SM	olive-gray, silty SAND
MW- 5	S-5	49.0 - 50.5	21.1							_			97.6	2.4	1.2	SP	olive-gray, poorly graded SAND
MW- 5	S-6	59.0 - 59.0															
MW- 5	S-7	69.0 - 70.5	28.4										·			ML	gray, SILT with sand
MW- 5	S-8	79.0 - 80.5	25.3													CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 5	S-9	89.0 - 90.5	26.1			699				40	25		0.3	99.7	3.8	CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 5	S-10	99.0 - 100.5	24.4													CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 5	S-11	109.0 - 110.5	12.0									20.9	37.0	42.1		SM	gray, silty SAND with gravel
MW- 5	S-12	119.0 - 120.5	13.3									25.6	59.3	15.1	1.6	SM	gray, silty SAND with gravel
MW- 5	S-13	129.0 - 129.0															
MW- 5	S-14	139.0 - 140.5	15.2									4.9	43.7	51.3		ML	gray, sandy SILT
MW- 5	S-15	149.0 - 150.5	9.7													SM	gray, silty SAND with gravel
MW- 5	S-16	159.0 - 160.5	22.4										53.7	46.3	1.8	SM	gray, silty SAND
MW- 5	S-17	169.0 - 170.3	16.5													ML	gray, SILT with gravel
MW- 5	S-18	179.0 - 180.5	26.8							40	26		0.0	100.0	4.0	ML	gray, SILT
MW- 5	S-19	189.0 - 190.0	23.8													ML	gray, SILT
Notes:	1. This ta with t	able summarizes infor the report text, other g	mation pre raphs and	sented e tables, a	lsewhere nd the exp	in the report ploration logs	and should	d be use	d in con	junction		<u> </u>			I		



PAGE: 1 of 3

99153 99153490.GPJ 4/29/02

PROJECT NO.: 99153-490

FIGURE: A-6.14

EXPLORATION DESIGNATION	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH (ft)	WATER CONTENT (%)	WET DENSITY (PCF)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	SODIUM CONTENT (mg/kg dry)	UNCONF'D COMPR. STRENGTH (ksf)	COHESION (psi)	PHI ANGLE (degrees)	רוסחום רואוד	PLASTIC LIMIT	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES	DRGANIC CONTENT (%)	ASTM SOIL CLASSIFICATION	SAMPLE CLASSIFICATION
MW- 5	S-20	199.0 - 200.5	19.2			591				51	23	0.1	2.2	97.7	5.6	СН	gray, fat CLAY
MW- 5	S-21	209.0 - 209.4	8.2													SM	gray, silty SAND with gravel
MW- 5	S-22	219.0 - 219.9	10.2													SM	gray, silty SAND with gravel
MW- 5	S-23	229.0 - 229.0												<u>+</u>			
MW- 5	S-24	237.5 - 239.0	20.9									0.2	83.8	16.1	1.0	SM	gray, silty SAND
MW- 5	S-25	245.0 - 245.4	9.1													SM	gray, silty SAND with gravel
MW- 5	S-26a	249.0 - 249.5	18.6				-						· · ·			SM	gray, silty SAND
MW- 5	S-26b	249.5 - 250.5	18.6													CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 5	S-27	254.0 - 254.4	12.4													SM	gray, silty SAND with gravel
MW- 5	S-28	259.0 - 259.5	12.6									4.6	48.6	46.8		SM	gray, silty SAND
MW- 5	S-29	265.0 - 265.3	10.5													SM	gray, silty SAND with gravel
MW- 5	S-30	269.0 - 270.0	13.5													SM	gray, silty SAND with gravel
MW- 5	S-31	275.0 - 275.3	3.9									71.4	23.2	5.4		GP-GM	gray, poorly graded GRAVEL with silt and sand
MW- 5	S-32	277.0 - 301.0											ļ				
MW- 5	S-33	285.0 - 285.5	13.2			425						21.4	64.2	14.5	1.2	SM	gray, silty SAND with gravel
MW- 5	S-34	289.0 - 289.0															
MW- 5	S-35a	295.0 - 296.0	20.2													SP	dark gray, poorly graded SAND with gravel
MW- 5	S-35b	296.0 - 296.3	8.8													SM	gray, silty SAND with gravel
MW- 5	S-36	299.0 - 299.9	9.1									35.6	56.3	8.0		SP-SM	gray, poorly graded SAND with silt and gravel
MW- 5	S-37	305.0 - 305.9	7.9													sw	gray, well graded SAND with gravel
Notes:	1. This ta with the	able summarizes infor the report text, other g	mation pre raphs and	esented e tables, a	Isewhere nd the exp	in the report ploration log	and shoul s. Bric	d be uso	ed in cor	ijunction			·		· · · · · ·		SUMMARY OF



PAGE: 2 of 3

FIGURE: A-6.15

99153 99153490.GPJ 4/29/02

РВОЈЕСТ НО.: 99153-490

EXPLORATION DESIGNATION	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH (ft)	WATER CONTENT (%)	WET DENSITY (PCF)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	SODIUM CONTENT (mg/kg dry)	UNCONF'D COMPR. STRENGTH (ksf)	COHESION (psi)	PHI ANGLE (degrees)	רוסטום בואוד	PLASTIC LIMIT	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES	DRGANIC CONTENT (%)	ASTM SOIL CLASSIFICATION	
MW- 5	S-38	309.0 - 310.0	7.8								-					sw	gray, well graded SAND with gravel
. MW- 5	S-39	315.0 - 315.9	10.3									44.9	48.2	6.9		SP-SM	gray, poorly graded SAND with silt and gravel
MW- 5	S-40	319.0 - 320.0															· ·
MW- 5	S-41	325.0 - 325.0	7.5													SW-SM	gray, well graded SAND with silt and gravel
MW- 5	S-42	329.0 - 329.0	ļ														
MW- 5	S-43	335.0 - 335.0															· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
MW- 5	S-44	345.0 - 346.4	26.3			622				35	26				4.6	ML	dark gray, SILT
MW- 5	S-45	349.0 - 349.0															
Notes:	1. This ta with t	able summarizes infor the report text, other gu	mation pro	esenled e I tables, a	Isewhere i nd the exp	in the report	and shoul	d be use	d in con	junction							
HWA	GEOS	WA ciences In	с.			Kir	Brig ng and	htwa Snoh Wash	ter Pi iomis	roject h Cou	Inties				<u></u>		SUMMARY OF MATERIAL PROPERTIES PAGE: 3 of 3

.

PROJECT NO.: 99153-490

FIGURE: A-6.16

Log of Boring MW- 6

Sheet 1 of 7

Date(s) Drilled	1/11/02 - 1/23/02	Geotechnical Consultant	HWA GeoSciences Inc.		Logged By B	КН, МВВ	Checked MLR/SEG
Drilling Me	thod/ Rig Type Becker Han	nmer Drill/ Truc	Drilling Contractor Layne Christensen Company			Total Depth of Borehole	360.5 feet
Drill Bit Size/Type	Bit /Type Dual Wall Reverse Circ.		Hammer Weight/Drop (Ibs/in.)		300#, 30"	Ground Surface Elevation/Datu	im 314 feet / NAVD88
Location	North east of 1-5/SR 1-4 I/	0	Coordinates	N. 47.77785	W. 122.31449	Elevation Sou	rce Plan





Log of Boring MW-6

Sheet 3 of 7



Log of Boring MW-6

Sheet 4 of 7


Project: CSI Brightwater Project Location: King and Snohomish Counties Contract Number: E83004E

Log of Boring MW- 6

Sheet 5 of 7

Understand underst	ſ				SAMPL	ES					I		
-80 230 - 5-26 11 220- 100 -	Ī	Elevation, feet	55 Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-80 235 12-25- 1000 100 (73) 100 (73) 100 M 20.7 -70 245 6-28 10-40- 5-28 100 (82) 100 M 18.1 -70 245 6-28 10-20- 5-28 100 100 M 18.1 250 6-285 10-20- 5-28 100 100 M 18.1 250 6-30 9-15-72 100 CH Very stiff, dark gray, fat CLAY, moist. Light gray SiLT M 40 255 6-336 10-14- 6-336 100 000 000 000 640 255 6-336 10-14- 6-316 100 000 000 000 641 10-14- 9-100 100 000 000 000 000 000 643 10-14- 9-100 100 000 000 000 000 000 644 10-14- 9-100 100 000 000 000 000 000 645 10-14- 9-100 100 000 000 000 000 000 75			223-	S-26	11 - 23 - 39 (62)	100		CL	Hard, dark gray, fine to coarse gravelly, fine to coarse sandy, lean CLAY, moist. Cobbles present. (GLACIOMARINE DRIFT, Qpgm)				
240 5.28 10,40- (62) 100 100 16.4 -70 245 5.286 13,18- 3.226 100 100 16.1 250 5.308 9-15,72 100 100 16.4 251 5.308 9-15,72 100 100 16.4 16.1 5.316 12,218- 5.316 100 100 16.4 255 5.316 12,218- 5.316 100 100 18.9 260 5.328 10,29 100 100 18.9 18.7 44 45.4 18.9 18.7 44 18.9 18.7 100 100 100 260 5.328 10,29 100 270 5.336 9-18,21 100 270 5.348 9-18,21 100 270 5.356 10,14- 100 270 5.356 10,14- 100 280 5.356 10,14- 100 280 5.356 10,14- 100 280 5.356 10,14- 100 280 5.356 10,14- 100 280 5.356 10,14- 100 280	-	80	235-	S-27a	12 - 25 - 48 (73)	100 100					м	20.7	
70 245			240- -	S-28	10 - 40 - 42 (82)	100					SA DD HA M AL	16.4	
250 S-30a 9 -15 22 100 CH Very stiff, dark gray, fat CLAY, moist. Light gray SiLT X <t< td=""><td></td><td>70</td><td>245 - -</td><td>S-29a S-29b S-29b S-29c</td><td>13 - 18 - 27 (45)</td><td>100 100 100</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>M SA AL HA</td><td>18.1 17.1</td><td></td></t<>		70	245 - -	S-29a S-29b S-29b S-29c	13 - 18 - 27 (45)	100 100 100					M SA AL HA	18.1 17.1	
60 255 5.31c 12.18- (41) 100 100 100 10.19- (44) 260 5.32a 10.19- (44) 100 100 100 100 50 265 5.33a 9-18-21 (44) 100 100 100 50 265 5.33a 9-18-21 (59) 100 100 100 50 265 5.33a 9-18-21 (59) 100 100 100 40 275 5.34a 8-12-18 (28) 100 100 100 40 275 5.35a 10-14- (28) 100 100 100 5.336 9-12-17 100 100 100 100 100 6 5.35b 10-14- (28) 100 100 100 100 6 5.35b 10-14- (28) 100 100 100 100 100 6 5.35b 10-14- (28) 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 6 5.35b 10-14- (28) 100 100 100 100 100 <			250	■ S-30a ■ S-30b	9 - 15 - 22 (37)	100 100		сн	Very stiff, dark gray, fat CLAY, moist. Light gray SILT partings.		SA SA AL SA	19.8 16.4	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(GPJ 5/1/02	60	255- - - -	S-31c S-31a S-31b	12 - 18 - 23 (41)	100 100 100		GP	- Gravel present in cuttings.		HA M SA HA M	19.9 18.7	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.1ECTS/9915349		260- - -	■ S-32a ■ S-32b	10 - 19 - 25 (44)	100 100					SA AL DD M SA AL M	16.3 21.6	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		50	- 265 - - -	S-33a S-33b S-33c	9 - 18 - 21 (39)	100 100 100		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		SA M AL M SA M	20.7 21.6	
275 S-35a 10-14- 14 100 100 100	P.GLB-KWSP.GC	10	270— -	S-34	8 - 12 - 16 (28)	100					M AL	19.8	
280 S-36b S-36c S-	Janu2KWSP-KWS	ŧŬ	275-	S-35a S-35b S-35c	10 - 14 - 14 (28) 9 - 12 - 17	100 100 100		-			M SA HA	24.6	
	{ Ver.1.1 J		280 - -	S-36a S-36b S-36c	(29)	100 100 100		СН	Very stiff, dark gray, fat CLAY, moist (GLACIOLACUSTRINE, QpgI)		M SA DD HA M	21.0 21.8	

HWA GEOSCIENCES INC.

Project: CSI Brightwater Project Location: King and Snohomish Counties Contract Number: E83004E

Log of Boring MW- 6

Sheet 6 of 7

ſ				SAMPLE	S								
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer	Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-30	285- - -	S-37a S-37c S-37b	7 - 12 - 13 (25)	100 100 100						DD AL SAL HA	26.0 30.4	
		290- -	S-38a S-38b S-38c	7 - 10 - 13 (23)	100 100 100						M SA M SA SA	25.0 29.1	
	-20	295 - -	S-39	5 - 8 - 10 (18)	100						DD HA SA AL	29.2	
		300-	S-40a S-40b S-40c	5 - 8 - 13 (21)	100 100 100			- 			M SA AL DD	30.3	
F	10	305	S-41	7 - 9 - 11 (20)	100						M AL SA	27.2	
7		310- -	S-42a S-42b S-42c	7 - 10 - 13 (23)	100 100 100						M SA AL DD HA	26.5	
349K.GPJ 5/1/0	0	315- -	S-43	7 - 9 - 13 (22)	100						M SA	28.5	
ROJECTS/9915		320	S-44c S-44a S-44b	6 - 9 - 22 (31)	100 100 100						M SA AL HA	17.9	
T) H:\GINTWP	-10	325	ტ 5-45 წყ S-46				SP .	Gravel is sub-rounded to sub-angular. (GLACIAL FLUVIAL, Qpgf) Very dense, dark gray, fine to medium SAND, wef. Trace fine sub-rounded gravel and coarse sand.			M SA M SA	18.0	
P.GLB-RWSP.GI		330-	S-47	3-2-5 (7)	100		-	(GLACIAL FLUVIAL, Qpgf)			M	30.2	
an02RWSP-RWS	-20	335-	∰ S-49				-				M SA	18.2	
{ Ver.1.1 J		- 340- -					-						
L	_								_				

HWA GEOSCIENCES INC.

Project: CSI Brightwater Project Location: King and Snohomish Counties Contract Number: E83004E

Log of Boring MW- 6

Sheet 7 of 7

			SAMPL	ES							
Elevation,	Depth,	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
30	0 345	♥ S-50 - ■ S-51 ■ S-52	3 - 100/5 (100+)	100 100			-		M SA M	30.5 21.4	
	350	で S-53 で S-54 で S-55				CL	Hard, dark gray to olive gray, lean CLAY to clayey SAND, moist. Upper 1 foot portion is very gravelly. - (GLACIOLACUSTRINE, QpgI) -		M SA AL HA M	16.4 32.4	
40) 355	- 😷 S-56 📆 S-57				CL- ML	Very stiff, dark gray, slightly fine sandy SILT, moist.		SA M SA HA	27.9	
50	360	S-58	4 - 9 - 19 (28)	100			Bottom of boring at 360.5 feet. 2" piezometer installed from 340 to 360 feet bgs. Vibrating wire piezometer installed at 180 feet.		M _SA_ HA	27.2	
	365										
K.GPJ 5/1/02	370) 375		-								
PROJECTS/9915349	380	-						-			
MUND:H -70) 385	- - - -									
SP-RWSP.GLB-RW	390										
(Ver.1.1 Jan02RWS) 395	-					- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	400	-						1]		

HWA GEOSCIENCES INC.

EXPLORATION DESIGNATION	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH (ft)	WATER CONTENT (%)	WET DENSITY (PCF)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	SODIUM CONTENT (mg/kg dry)	UNCONFD COMPR. STRENGTH (ksf)	COHESION (psi)	PHI ANGLE (degrees)	רוסחום רואוב	PLASTIC LIMIT	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	ASTM SOIL CLASSIFICATION	SAMPLE CLASSIFICATION
MW- 6	S-1	9.0 - 10.5	6.9						i							SP	yellowish-brown, poorly graded SAND
MW- 6	S-2	19.0 - 19.5	5.6									28.9	48.9	22.3		SM	olive-gray, silty SAND with gravel
MW- 6	S-3	29.0 - 30.5	24.0													SP-SM	brown, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 6	S-4	39.0 - 40.5	25.5													SP-SM	grayish-brown, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 6	S-5	49.0 - 50.5	31.2													SP-SM	grayish-brown, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 6	S-6	59.0 - 60.5	20.2									3.2	88.5	8.3		SP-SM	dk. olive-gray, poorly graded SAND with silt
MW- 6	S-7	69.0 - 70.5	26.4			576				33	22		0.0	100.0	5.4	CL	olive-gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-8	79.0 - 80.5														CL	olive-gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-9	80.0 - 80.0														CL	olive-gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-10	89.0 - 90.5	28.2											98.5		ML	olive-gray, SILT
MW- 6	S-11	99.0 - 100.5	26.7			352				28	22		10.7	89.3	8.2	CL	dk. greenish-gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-12	109.0 - 110.5	17.0							33	22		0.1	99.9	4.6	CL	light gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-13	117.0 - 117.0	26.0													CL	dark olive-gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-14	119.0 - 120.5	19.4			201				36	21		2.0	98.0	5.0	CL	olive-gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-15	129.0 - 130.5	19.8											90.4		CL	greenish-gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-16	133.0 - 133.0	9.2									11.8	23.1	65.1		ML	It. yellowish-brown, sandy SILT
MW- 6	S-17	139.0 - 140.0	19.1			-								83.9		CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-18	149.0 - 150.5	18.6							42	22		4.2	95.8	3.5	CL	olive-gray, lean CLAY
'MW- 6	S-19	159.0 - 160.0	21.3										10.4	89.6		ML	olive-gray, SILT
MW- 6	S-20	169.0 - 170.0	14.3											87.5		CL	gray, lean CLAY
Notes:	1. This t with	able summarizes infor the report text, other g	mation pro	esented e I tables, a	Isewhere	in the report ploration log	and shoul	d be use	ed in cor	njunction							



SUMMARY OF MATERIAL PROPERTIES

PAGE: 1 of 5

FIGURE: A-7.36

99153 99153490.GPJ 4/30/02

PROJECT NO.: 99153-490

ORATION GNATION	ere BER		ER CONTENT (%)	DENSITY (PCF)	DENSITY (pcf)	UM CONTENT g dry)	DNF'D COMPR. NGTH (ksf)	ESION (psi)	NGLE (degrees)	D LIMIT	TIC LIMIT	AVEL	QN	ES .	NIC CONTENT (%)	I SOIL SIFICATION	
DESI	SAMF	DEPTH (ft)	WATE	WET	DRYI	SODII (mg/ki	UNCO	COHE	PHI AI	רומחוו	PLAS	% GR	% SA	% FIN	ORGA	ASTN CLAS	SAMPLE CLASSIFICATION
MW- 6	S-21	179.0 - 179.9	19.7										12.3	87.7		ML	gray, SILT
MW- 6	S-22	189.0 - 190.5	20.4							45	22		2.1	97.9		CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-23a	199.0 - 199.5															
MW- 6	S-23b	199.5 - 200.0	26.1	122.8	97.4		8.7			45	23			95.8		CL	dark gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-23c	200.0 - 200.5	30.3													CL	olive-gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-24	209.0 - 210.5	20.0													CL	olive-gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-25	219.0 - 220.0	9.9									19.4	36.1	44.5		SC	gray, clayey SAND with gravel
MW- 6	S-26	229.0 - 230.5															
MW- 6	S-27a	235.0 - 236.0															
MW- 6	S-27b	236.0 - 236.5	20.7	126.7	105.0		6.8						0.6	99.4		CL	mottled gray & dark gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-28	239.0 - 240.5	16.4							44	19					CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-29a	245.0 - 245.5	18.1							47	20	0.9	4.9	94.2		CL	olive-gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-29b	245.5 - 246.0															
MW- 6	S-29c	246.0 - 246.5	17.1			_								93.2		CL	dark gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-30a	249.0 - 250.0	19.8							48	21			95.2		CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-30b	250.0 - 250.5	16.4			848						2.3	9.1	88.6	4.0	СН	gray, fat CLAY
MW- 6	S-31c	255.0 - 255.5															· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
MW- 6	S-31a	255.5 - 256.0	19.9			915						2.3	6.0	91.8	3.2	СН	dark gray, fat CLAY
MW- 6	S-31b	256.0 - 256.5	18.7	123.9	104.4					44	18			91.0		CL	dark gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-32a	259.0 - 260.0	16.3							38	18			86.7		CL	dark brownish-gray, lean CLAY
Notes:	1. This t with	able summarizes infor the report text, other g	mation pro	esented e i tables, a	Isewhere nd the exp	in the repor ploration log	t and shou is.	ld be us	ed in co	njunction							



SUMMARY OF MATERIAL PROPERTIES

PAGE: 2 of 5

FIGURE: A-7.37

.

PROJECT NO.: 99153-490

EXPLORATION DESIGNATION	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH (ft)	WATER CONTENT (%)	WET DENSITY (PCF)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	SODIUM CONTENT (mg/kg dry)	UNCONF'D COMPR. STRENGTH (ksf)	COHESION (psi)	PHI ANGLE (degrees)	רוסחום רואוד	PLASTIC LIMIT	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	ASTM SOIL CLASSIFICATION	SAMPLE CLASSIFICATION
MW- 6	S-32b	260.0 - 260.5	21.6									1.7	8.0	90.3		CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-33a	265.0 - 265.5	20.7							49	24					CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-33b	265.5 - 266.0	21.6							,				97.7		CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-33c	266.0 - 266.5				983											
MW- 6	S-34	269.0 - 271.0	19.8							49	23					CL	dark gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-35a	275.0 - 275.5	24.6										0.0	100.0		CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-35b	275.5 - 276.0											-				
MW- 6	S-35c	276.0 - 276.5															
MW- 6	S-36a	279.0 - 279.5	21.0	128.7	106.4		9.1						0.0	100.0		СН	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-36b	279.5 - 280.0	21.8	125.5	103.0		5.7			31	20					CL	dark gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-36c	280.0 - 280.5															
MW- 6	S-37a	285.0 - 285.5	26.0			1180				79	25		0.0	100.0	5.4	СН	gray, fat CLAY
MW- 6	S-37c	285.5 - 286.0															
MW- 6	S-37b	286.0 - 286.5	30.4										-	99.9		СН	dark olive-gray, fat CLAY
MW- 6	S-38a	289.0 - 289.5	25.0					_						100.0		CL	dark gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-38b	289.5 - 290.0	29.1	84.3	65.3					74	26		0.0	100.0		СН	dark gray, fat CLAY
MW- 6	S-38c	290.0 - 290.5														•	
MW- 6	S-39	295.0 - 297.0	29.2							84	27			97.4		СН	dark gray, fat CLAY
MW- 6	S-40a	299.0 - 299.5												1		СН	dark gray, fat CLAY
MW- 6	S-40b	299.5 - 300.0	30.3	121.8	93.5	1070	13.2			70	27	<u> </u>	0.0	100.0	4.6	СН	dark gray, fat CLAY
Notes:	1. This t with	able summarizes infor the report text, other g	mation pre	esented e I tables, a	lsewhere nd the exp	in the report	t and shou s.	ld be us	ed in cor	njunction		••••••		I	· 4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



SUMMARY OF MATERIAL PROPERTIES

PAGE: 3 of 5

PROJECT NO.: 99153-490

FIGURE: A-7.38

99153 99153490.GPJ 4/30/02

EXPLORATION DESIGNATION	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH (ft)	WATER CONTENT (%)	WET DENSITY (PCF)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	SODIUM CONTENT (mg/kg dry)	UNCONF'D COMPR. STRENGTH (ksf)	COHESION (psi)	PHI ANGLE (degrees)	רוסחום רואוב	PLASTIC LIMIT	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	ASTM SOIL CLASSIFICATION	SAMPLE CLASSIFICATION
MW- 6	S-40c	300.0 - 300.5														СН	dark gray, fat CLAY
MW- 6	S-41	305.0 - 306.5	27.2							68	24			100.0	6	СН	dark gray, fat CLAY
MW- 6	S-42a	309.0 - 309.5	26.5	124.1	98.1		12.1			53	25		0.1	99.9		СН	dark gray, fat CLAY
MW- 6	S-42b	309.5 - 310.0													···	СН	dark gray, fat CLAY
MW- 6	S-42c	310.0 - 310.5														СН	dark gray, fat CLAY
MW- 6	S-43	315.0 - 316.5	28.5											100.0		СН	olive-gray, fat CLAY
MW- 6	S-44c	319.0 - 319.5															
MW- 6	S-44a	319.5 - 320.0	17.9							41	20		4.3	95.7		CL	gray, lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-44b	320.0 - 320.5														СН	dark gray, fat CLAY
MW- 6	S-45	322.0 - 323.0	2.0									65.9	27.5	6.5		GW-GM	gray, well graded GRAVEL with silt and sand
MW- 6	S-46	325.0 - 326.0	18.0									5.3	92.2	2.5		SP	dark gray, poorly graded SAND
MW- 6	S-47	329.0 - 330.5															
MW- 6	S-48	331.0 - 332.0	30.2	_										1.2		SP	dark gray, poorly graded SAND
MW- 6	S-49	335.0 - 336.0	18.2									14.6	82.1	3.3		SP	dark gray, poorly graded SAND
MW- 6	S-50	342.0 - 343.0	30.5									0.5	96.7	2.8		SP	dark gray, poorly graded SAND
MW- 6	S-51	345.0 - 346.0															
MW- 6	S-52	346.0 - 347.0	21.4									0.1	98.8	1.1		SP	dark gray, poorly graded SAND
MW- 6	S-53	349.0 - 350.0	16.4							37	17	42.8	14.1	43.1		GC	dark olive-gray, clayey GRAVEL
MW- 6	S-54	350.0 - 351.0	32.4									<u> </u>		61.1		CL	olive-gray, gravelly lean CLAY
MW- 6	S-55	351.0 - 352.0										1				SC	olive-gray, clayey SAND with gravel
Notes:	1. This ta with t	able summarizes infor the report text, other g	mation pre	esented el tables, a	Isewhere	in the report	and shoul s.	d be use	ed in cor	junction	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	·	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	



.

SUMMARY OF MATERIAL PROPERTIES

PAGE: 4 of 5

99153 99153490.GPJ 4/30/02

PROJECT NO.: 99153-490

FIGURE: A-7.39

EXPLORATION DESIGNATION	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH (ft)	WATER CONTENT (%)	WET DENSITY (PCF)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	SODIUM CONTENT (mg/kg dry)	UNCONF'D COMPR. STRENGTH (ksf)	COHESION (psi)	PHI ANGLE (degrees)	רוסטום בואוד	PLASTIC LIMIT	% GRAVEL	% SAND	% FINES	ORGANIC CONTENT (%)	ASTM SOIL CLASSIFICATION	SAMPLE CLASSIFICATION
MW- 6	S-56	353.0 - 355.0														sc	gray, clayey SAND with gravel
MW- 6	S-57	355.0 - 356.0	27.9										3.3	96.7		ML	dark olive-gray, SILT
MW- 6	S-58	359.0 - 360.5	27.2										0.4	99.6		ML	dark olive-gray, SILT

Notes: 1. This table summarizes information presented elsewhere in the report and should be used in conjunction with the report text, other graphs and tables, and the exploration logs.



Brightwater Project King and Snohomish Counties Washington SUMMARY OF MATERIAL PROPERTIES

PAGE: 5 of 5

99153 99153490.GPJ 4/30/02

PROJECT NO.: 99153-490

FIGURE: A-7.40

Log of Boring BW-4

Sheet 1 of 4

Date(s) Drilled	12/28/01 - 1/11/02	Geotechnical Consultant	SHANNON	& WILSON	Logged By	XDH/BMP	Checked TWH
Drilling Met	thod/ Rig Type mud rotary/	Mobile B-59	Drilling Contractor G	eo-Tech Explorat	tions, Inc.	Total Depth of Borehole	366.4 feet
Drill Bit Size/Type	6-inch Tricone		Hammer Weight/	Drop (Ibs/in.)	300#/30"	Ground Surfa Elevation/Dat	um 368 feet / NAVD88
Location	228th St/Hwy 99		Coordinates	N 292703.0	E 1270572.0	Elevation Sou	irce Topo

				SAMPLE	-5	· · · ·		е.					
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer	Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-360	10		1	50/5*	0		SP- SM	Very dense, gray-brown, slightly silty, fine to medium SAND, trace of gravel; moist to wet; scattered gravelly layers; SP-SM. (Qva)					
	20-		2	99/10.5"	89						м	5.7	
-340	30		3	58/6*	100			- 			M SA	11.9	
	- - 40 -		4	50/5"	74			- - 			м	11.8	
-320	- 50- -		5	57/6*	98			- - 			M SA	9.6	
	- 60 -		6	87/6"	100			- - 			м	18.1	
-300	- - 70-		7	65/6*	0			- - 					
	- - 80- -		8	54/6"	100			- - 			м	15.1	
-280	- - 90		9	85/6*	0			F - - 			€		<u>VWP1 2/26/2002 87.37.ft</u> ▼
-	- - 100-						- - - -						

Log of Boring BW-4

Sheet 2 of 4

				SAMPLE	S							
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-00		10	98/6"	33			_ Very dense SAND; SP-SM (cont.) (Qva)		м	18.0	
-260	- - - 110- - -		11	50/4.5"	83		SM	 Very dense, gray-brown to gray, silty, fine SAND; wet; grades finer with depth, abundant wood fragments at bottom; SM. (Qva) 		М	23.0	
	- 120- - -		12	50/3"	42					м	23.1	
-240	- 130- -		13	50/3"	42					М	20.7	
	140- -		14	114/6"	67			- 		M SA AL	23.7	
-220	150-		15	50/3.5*	52			- 		м	27.1	
	160-		16	50/4"	83			- - 		м	27.9	
200	170-		17	150/5.8*	100					м	23.0	
	180-		18	50/5*	94		ML	 Very dense, gray, fine sandy SILT grading to SILT, trace of clay and fine sand; moist to wet; abundant fine organic fragments; ML. (Qva) 		M	25.6	
-180	190-		19	46	133		CL	Hard, gray, silty CLAY and clayey SILT, trace of fine sand; moist; interbedded, scattered seams of		м	32.0	
D19. 10 MU-20 MU	200-		20	33	133			slightly clayey slit; CL/ML. (Qvic)		м	25.5	
	210-		21	77/11"	128			- 		M AL	31.3	<u>OW1 2/5/2002 215.79 ft </u> ∑
												

Log of Boring BW-4

Sheet 3 of 4

ſ					SAMPLE	S								
	Elevation, feet	Depth,	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer	Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	1	- 220 - -	⊐ 2	22	50/3"	100		ML [°]	 sandy SILT, trace of clay; moist; interbedded; scattered seams of silty clay and seams of silty, fine sand; ML. (Qvic) 			М	22.8	
	-140		a 2	23	90/9"	100		СН	Hard, gray, silty CLAY and clayey SILT; moist; interbedded, abundant seams of fine sandy silt;			М	24.6	
		- 240— - -	2	24	42	133			~ CH/CL/ML. (Qpgi) 			≪ AL	34.4	/WP2 2/26/2002 240.01 ft ⊻
·	-120	250	n 2	25	91/9"	110			 			м	25.8	
		260- -	n 2	26	78/8"	100						М	21.9	
5/1/02	-100	- 270 	■ 2	27	50/5.5"	162		SM	 Very dense, gray, silty, fine SAND and fine sandy SILT to slightly clayey, fine sandy SILT; moist to wet; interbedded, scattered to abundant fine organic fragments; SM/ML. (Qpgl) 			М	26.2	
:1\21-08933.GPJ		- 280- - -	- 2	28	50/6*	83			 			м	20.8	
APROJECTSV	-80	290	= 2	29	50/5 "	1001						м	24.2	
PIGINTV		-	- 3	30	53	400			CLAY, trace of fine sand; moist; massive, oxidizes to green-gray, scattered white specks,			М	18.5	
U) I:VAP		300-		31	71	122			_ scattered organics; CL. (Qpgi)			M AL	19.0	
8933.GP	-60	-		32	45	133			·			м	22.1	%Passing #200 Seive
3LB-21-0		310		33	49	133						м	24.1	
-RWSP.C		-		34	69	111			 			м	18.6	
DZRWSP		320-		35	74	133						м	16.4	
r.1.1 Jan	-40	-		36	73/11	107		СН	 Hard, blue-gray to green-gray, silty CLAY, trace of gravel; moist; scattered gravelly zones; CH. (Qpgm) 			м	30.2	
{ ve		330-	:	37	150/2.5"	0								

.

Log of Boring BW-4

Sheet 4 of 4

ſ					SAMPLE	ES							
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
		-	_	38	200/2.5"	0							
		- 340 -		39	93/11"	115		CL	Hard, gray to blue-gray, slightly fine sandy, silty CLAY to fine sandy, silty CLAY; moist; abundant sandy seams, trace of gravel locallly; CL. (QpgI)		М	21.7	
		-		40	50/5*	200					M	19.5	
	20	- 350- -		41	69/6"	300					AL M	19.3	
		-		42	90	100		CL	 Hard, gray, silty CLAY, trace of sand; moist; massive, trace of gravel locally, scattered streaks of green-gray mottling; CL. (QpgI) 		м	23.4	
		360-		43	87/11"	107					М	21.9	
	-0	-		44	87/11"	107			BOTTOM OF BORING		M	_25.1_	
	0	370-							COMPLETED 1/11/2002				
		-											
		- 380											
		-											
1/02	-20	- 390											
GPJ 5		-											
21-08933		- 400-	-										
CTS/21V		-								-			
APROJE	-40	- 410-											
P\GINTV		-											
U) I:\AP		- - 420-											
8933.GP		- 120											
iLB-21-0	-60	-											
RWSP.G		43U- -											
2RWSP-		-											
1.1 Jan0		440	-										
t ver.	80	-											
		450-	1		1	!	L	l		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	l
	••												

	SAMPL	E DAT	A	$(x_{ab})_{ab}^{(2)}$	GRA	IN-SIZ	ZE AN	ALYSES	ATTE	RBERG LI	MITS ^{d,e}		
Boring No.	Sample No.	Top Depth (feet)	Natural Water Content ^a (%)	% Gravel	% Sand	% Fines ^b	% < 2 mm ^c	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	USCS Symbol	Interpreted Geologic Unit	Soil Description ^f
BW-4 S-3 30.0			11.9	16.4	76.7	6.8					SP-SM	Qva	Gray-brown, slightly silty, fine gravelly, SAND
	S-5	50.0	9.6	1.7	92	6.2					SP-SM	Qva	Gray-brown, slightly silty, fine to medium SAND, trace of fine gravel
	S-14	140.0	23.7			13.7					SM	Qva	Gray, silty, fine SAND
	S-18	180.0	25.6			99.4	4.5				ML	Qva	Gray, slightly clayey, SILT, trace of sand
	S-21	210.0	31.3					42	23	19	CL	Qglc	Gray, silty CLAY
	S-24	240.0	34.4					67	31	36	CH	Qpgl	Gray, silty CLAY
	S-31	300.0	19.0					36	36 23 13 CL		CL	Qpgl	Blue-gray, slightly sandy, silty CLAY
	S-32	305.0	22.1			93.7					CL	Qpgl	Gray-brown, slightly sandy, silty CLAY
	S-40	S-40 345.0 19.5 4.9 30.5 64.6 13.4					CL	Qpgl	Gray, sandy, silty CLAY, trace of fine gravel				
S-40 5-5.0 19 S-41 350.0 19.			19.3					29	21	8	CL	Qpgl	Blue-gray, fine sandy, silty CLAY

FIGURE B-3.7 LABORATORY TESTING SUMMARY FOR BW-4

Notes:

a Natural water content conducted on all samples and appears on boring logs.

b Particle size smaller than 0.075 mm.

c 1 mm = 1000 μ m

d The numbers shown have been rounded (LL, PL, and PI)

e NP = non-plastic

f Soil descriptions have been abbreviated and simplified. For complete descriptions, see the boring logs in Appendix A.1.

Log of Boring BW-5

Sheet 1 of 3

Date(s) Drilled	12/6/01 - 12/20/01	Geotechnical Consultant	SHANNOI	N& WILSON	Logged By	вмр	Che By	^{ecked} TWH
Drilling Met	thod/ Rig Type mud rotary/	Mobile B-59	Drilling Contractor	eo-Tech Explora	tions, Inc.		Total Depth of Borehole	391.2 feet
Drill Bit Size/Type	6-inch Tricone	-	Hammer Weight	/Drop (lbs/in.)	300#/30"		Ground Surface Elevation/Datum	400 feet / NAVD88
Location	End of 227th Street SW, SI	E corner	Coordinates	N 292560.0	E 1275712.0		Elevation Source	Торо

					SAMPLE	S		1	a a				
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	400	10-		1	60	83		SP- SM	 Very dense, gray-brown, slightly silty, fine to medium SAND; moist to wet; massive, scattered gravelly layers inferred from drill action; SP-SM. (Qva) 		м	13.0	
	-380	20	.]	2	65	81					м	9.0	
		30	-	3	50/6"	100			- gravelly sand between 3 and 41 feet		M SA	11.3	
	-360	40- -	=	4	50/5"	111					м	13.6	<u>VWP2 1/9/2002 43.79 ft ▼</u>
5/1/02		50-		5	50/4"	93			- light gray below 45 feet		м	18.9	
1-08933.GPJ	-340	60- -		6	50/4.5"	79					м_	21.4	<u>OW1 2/26/2002 60.3 ft</u> ⊽
OJECTS/21/2		70		7	44	122		CL	 Hard, gray-brown to gray, silty CLAY to clayey SILT, trace of fine sand; moist to wet; massive, scattered seams of fine sandy silt, abundant 		M M AL	23.3 23.7	
PPGINTWPR	-320	80- - -		8	80	128		СН	Hard, green-gray to brown and gray, silty CLAY to clayey SILT; moist; massive to bedded, trace of sand locally, weathered at top; CH/MH/CL. (QpgI)		M AL M	23.5 23.8	
33.GPJ) 1:\AI		90- 		9	33	133					M AL	33.2	
.GLB-21-089	300	- 100- -		10	37	133		ML	Hard, light brown, silty CLAY grading to clayey SILT; moist; massive to bedded, abundant seams		м	34.2	
RWSP-RWSP		- 110- -		11	52	113			 of silty, fine sand to fine sandy SILT, scattered gravel inferred from drill action; ML/CL. (QpgI) 		м	36.8	
Ver.1.1 Jan02	-280	120-		12	73/11.8"	122					M AL	34.2	
-		130-		13	50/3"	119							
	l												

Log of Boring BW-5

Sheet 2 of 3

ſ		-		SAMPLE	S							
ļ	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-260	- - 140-	a 14	82/9"	100		CL	Hard, silty CLAY to clayey SILT; ML/CL (cont.) (QpgI) Hard, light gray-brown, sandy, gravelly, silty CLAY		M M M	30.2 20.7 29.7	
		- 150- -	- 15	50/6"	67			aciton; CL/GM. (Qpgm)		м	17.8	
	240	160-	- 16	100/4"	97			- 		м	13.3	
		- 170 	→ 17	100/5"	98		SM	Very dense, brown, silty, fine to medium SAND, trace of gravel; moist to wet; massive, scattered gravelly layers inferred from drill action; SM. (Qpgf)		м	17.6	
ŀ	220	180- -	18	60/6"	60			- 		M SA	20.9	
		- 190 -	- 19	100/4"	33		SM	Very dense, brown to gray-brown, silty, gravelly SAND; moist to wet; scattered slightly clayey layers, scattered layers of silty, fine sand; SM. (Qpgf)		м	15.9	
02	-200	200- -	20	200/4.5"	31			- 		м	8.8	
3933.GPJ 5/1		210-	- 21	200/4*	101					м	11.2	
ECTS/21/21-06	-180	220 	= 22	125/6"	50			- 		M SA	11.0	
GINTWPROJI		230-	23	110/6"	25			 		м	14.4	
SPJ) I:\APP\	-160	240- -	- 24	150/4"	78			- 		м	11.5	
9-21-08933.0		250	= 25	150/6"	92			- 		м	17.4	
SP-RWSP.GL	-140	260-	26	150/4"	33			- - - -		м	16.7	
1.1 Jano2RWS		270-	- 27	150/4.5*	125			- - -		м	7.3	
{ ver.	-120	280-	- 28	150/3"	100		sc	 Very dense, gray, fine gravelly, silty, clayey SAND and hard, fine sandy, silty CLAY to clayey SILT; 		M	12.4	
		-										



Log of Boring BW-5

Sheet 3 of 3

			SAMPLE	S							
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	- 290 -	- 29	150/5"	52			_ SC/CL. (Qpgm) - 		M AL	17.6	
-100	300	- 30	150/3"	73			- 		м	11.5	
	310-	- 31	150/5"	99		СН	- - - Hard, gray, silty CLAY, trace of sand and gravel		М	18.3	
-80	320	- 32	50/6"	125			to sandy, gravelly, silty CLAY; moist; massive; faintly sheared texture at top; gravelly, silty, clayey sand locally; CH/CL/SC. (Qpgm)		м	19.4	
	330-	— 33	50/5.5"	133			- 		м	16.5	
	-	a 34	50/6"	142			-		м	21.0	
-60	340-	35	50/6"	117			- 		M	12.7	
	-	- 36	50/5"	137					M	13.3	
	350-	37	50/5"	148					м	16.5	
4		- 38	150/3.5"	194			-				
-40	360-	39	65/6"	133					M	9.0	
		40	85/6"	133		СН	 of sand above 375 feet, scattered silt pockets and partings: CH/CI (Opd) 		M	15.5	
200-L	370-	41	96/6"	133					м	15.7	
		⊨⇒ 42	65/6"	125			-		M	16.4	
20	380-	= 43	50/4.5"	116			-	-	м	24.7	
		a 44	88/8"	152					м	29.4	
	390-	45		130		1	BOTTOM OF BORING	<u></u>	∮_м_	-30.3-	
6. 							COMPLETED 12/20/2001				
00	400-	-					 F				
VSP.GLB-21-086	410-										
NH-4SMH20	420-	- - -									
{ ver.1.1 Jan	430-										
		L	- L	-		1					<u>1</u>
L			<u></u>								

	SAMPL	E DAT	A	1	GRAI	N-SIZ	LE AN	VALYSES	ATTE	RBERG LI	MITS ^{d,e}		
Boring No.	Sample No.	Top Depth (feet)	Natural Water Content ^a (%)	% Gravel	% Sand	% Fines ^b	% < 2 mm ^c	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	USCS Symbol	Interpreted Geologic Unit	Soil Description ^f
BW-5	S-3	30.0	11.3	0.1	89.6	10.2					SP-SM	Qva	Gray-brown, slightly silty, fine to medium SAND
	S-7B	71.0	23.7					32	23	9	CL	Qpgl	Gray, silty CLAY, trace of fine sand
	S-8	81.0	23.8					45	26	19	CL	Qpgl	Gray, silty CLAY
·	S-9	90.0	33.2					54	31	23	MH	Qpgl	Light brown, clayey SILT
	S-12	120.0	34.2	,				39	28	11	ML	Qpgl	Gray, clayey SILT
	S-18	180.0	20.9	2.2	83.6	14					SM	Qpgf	Gray-brown, silty, fine to medium SAND, trace of gravel
	S-22	220.0	11.0	15.7	58.5	25.7					SM	Qpgf	Gray-brown, fine gravelly, silty SAND, trace of clay
	S-28	280.0	12.4	13.7	41.8	44.4					SC	Qpgm	Gray, fine gravelly, silty, clayey SAND
	S-29	290.0	17.6					34	22	12	CL	Qpgm	Gray, silty CLAY, trace of sand
	S-34	335.0	21.0					63	26	37	CH	Qpgm	Gray, silty CLAY, trace of sand and gravel
***	S-35	340.0	12.7	5.5	31.1	63.3					CL	Qpgm	Gray, slightly gravelly, silty, sandy CLAY
	S-39	360.0	9.0	16.6	36.8	46.5					SC	Qpgm	Gray, fine gravelly, silty, clayey SAND
	S-41	370.0	15.7		1			43	21	22	CL	Qpgl	Gray, silty CLAY, trace of sand

FIGURE B-4.6 LABORATORY TESTING SUMMARY FOR BW-5

Notes:

a Natural water content conducted on all samples and appears on boring logs.

b Particle size smaller than 0.075 mm.

c 1 mm = 1000 μ m

d The numbers shown have been rounded (LL, PL, and PI)

e NP = non-plastic

f Soil descriptions have been abbreviated and simplified. For complete descriptions, see the boring logs in Appendix A.1.

Log of Boring BW-6

Sheet 1 of 4

Date(s) Drilled	12/13/01 - 12/28/01	Geotechnical Consultant	SHANN	ON & WILSON	Logged By	KGV	v	Checked By	тwн
Drilling Met	thod/ Rig Type mud rotary/	CME-85	Drilling Contractor	Gregory Drilling			Total Depth of Borehole	430	.5 feet
Drill Bit Size/Type	6-inch Tricone		Hammer Wei	ght/Drop (lbs/in.)	300#/30"		Ground Surface Elevation/Datu	re 450	feet / NAVD88
Location	228th and 48th Ave. W.		Coordinates	N 292329.0	E 1280286.0		Elevation Sour	rce Top	0

				SAMPLE	:5	·	1	1				
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-440	- - - 10 -		1	61	100		GM SM	Gray-brown, silty, sandy GRAVEL; moist; scattered brick fragments; (Fill) GM. Very dense, gray, silty, gravelly SAND; moist; heterogeneous; SM. (Qvtl)		м	10.5	
	- 20— -		2	50/5"	83					м	10.2	
-420	- 30- - -		3	50/5*	67					м	9.6	
2011/00 10-11	40	_	4	50/3"	100		GP-	Very dense, gray-brown, slightly silty to silty		м	8.2	
-400	- 50 - -		5	50/5*	67		GМ	sandy GHAVEL to silty, gravelly SAND; moist to wet; locally trace of clay; GP-GM. (Qva)		M SA	9.2	
HANNINISHAAN	60 - - -		6				SM	Very dense, gray-brown, silty, fine SAND grading to fine sandy SILT; moist; massive; scattered organic fragments; SM/ML. (Qva)				
08834.6PJ	70- - - -		7	50/5*	50					м	20.0	
-HWSP.GLB-	- 80 - -		8	50/6"	42		GM	Very dense grav-brown slightly sandy gravelly		м	21.5	
(Ver.1.1 Janu2HWSP	- - 90 - - - -		9	70/9"	90		GM	 very dense, gray-brown, signing sandy, gravely, silty CLAY to silty, sandy GRAVEL, trace of clay; moist; faintly bedded layers, gradational contact with unit above, scattered cobbles inferred from drill action; GM/CL. (Qpgm) 		M AL	18.6	
	100-	[I	I) T T (· <u>l</u>	= 		1 <u></u>		

Log of Boring BW-6

Sheet 2 of 4

\square				SAMPLE	S								
Elevation, feet	5 Depth, feet	Type		Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer	Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-340	- - - - 110-) 1	50/3" 50/5*	83			 Very dense, silty CLAY to sandy GRAVEL; GM/CL (cont.) (Qpgm) - 			M SA M	5.1	
	- - - 120	- 1:	2	50/4"	104		ML	Hard, gray-brown to gray, clayey SILT, trace of sand and gravel, sandy, silty CLAY, trace of gravel; moist to wet; massive to faintly bedded; ML/CL. (Qpgm)			M	19.8	
-320	- - 130- -	= 1:	3	50/5"	83			Gray below 130 feet.			M SA AL	14.9	/WP1 2/26/2002 124.63 ft ⊻ OW1 2/26/2002 126.6 ft ⊻
	- - 140 - -		4	50/5"	83		SM	Very dense, gray, silty, fine SAND; wet; massive; scattered organic fragments; SM. (Qpgf)			М	20.5	
-300	- 150- - -	1 	5	75/9"	120		СН	 Hard, gray, silty CLAY, trace of sand, to slightly sandy, silty CLAY, trace of gravel; moist; CH. (Qpgm) 			м	18.4	
	160-		6	50/5"	83			Layer of slightly clayey, fine sandy silt at 160 feet.			М	22.2	
-280	170-	1	7	74/11"	100			- - - Gravelly layer inferred from drill action at 175 feet.			M	19.3	
	180-	1 1 1 1 1 1	8	67/8"	136						M AL	20.6	
-260 	190-		9	65/8"	136						M SA	17.6	
-240	200-		10	50/4*	146						М	18.8	
								<u> </u>					

Log of Boring BW-6

Sheet 3 of 4

Γ				SAMPLE	ES							
Elevation.	feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
		220 - - -	- 22	70/3"	100		SM	 Very dense, gray, clayey, silty, gravelly SAND; moist; SM. (Opgm) 		М	9.7	
-2	220	230-	— 23	67/4"	101		SP- SM	Very dense, gray, slightly silty, fine to medium SAND, trace of gravel, to fine to medium SAND, trace of silt; wet; scattered seams and layers of silty, fine sand to fine sandy, clayey silt; SP-SM/SP. (Qpgf)		М	16.0	
		240	 24	65/4"	69			 		М	17.9	
-2	200	250	25	70	100			- 		М	18.5	
		260	a 26	50/5"	100					Μ	19.2	
PJ 5/1/02	80	270	27	50/5"	83		GW- GM	Very dense, gray, slightly silty, sandy GRAVEL; wet; scattered to abundant cobbles inferred from drill aciton; GW-GM. (Qpgf)		м	17.2	
S/21/21-08933.G		280	- 28	116/3"	83		SM	Gravelly sand inferred from drill action below 282 feet. Very dense, gray SILT, trace of fine sand and		М	10.4	
	160	290 - -	2 9	50/4"	100			clay, to silty, fine SAND; moist; scattered to abundant organic and wood fragments, scattered slightly silty layers; SM/ML. (QpnI)		м	28.6	
1933.GPJ) I:\APP		300	 30	69/5*	83					M SA	21.7	
-RWSP.GLB-21-0	140	310-	31	71/11*	95		CL	Hard, gray, clayey SILT to silty CLAY, trace of sand; moist; bedded, abundant organic to peaty seams and wood fragments; CL/ML. (QpnI)		M AL	36.0	
r.1.1 Jano2RWSP		320	1 32	41	100					М	35.6	
<u>></u> _1	120	330-	3 3	57/3"	100		SW-	Very dense, gray, slightly silty SAND, trace of gravel and slightly silty, fine SAND; wet: scattered		м м	27.8 24.5	
L												



Log of Boring BW-6

Sheet 4 of 4

ſ					SAMPLE	S							
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	in the second se	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Moisture Content, %	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
		340	— 34	•	60/5.5"	67		SM	organic fragments; SW-SM/SP. (Qpnf)		M SA	16.9	
-	-100	350- - -	 35	5	40/6"	100					м	22.6	
		- 360	➡ 36	5	58/4"	101					М	11.0	
-	-80	370 - -	= 37 = 38		50/5.5 " 63/5"	83 21					M SA	15.8	
		-		,	00/0	21					м	10.4	
		380-	a 39	,	65/8"	97		ML	 Hard, dark gray, clayey SiL1 to sitty CLAY, trace of fine sand; moist; massive to laminated; scattered peaty partings; scattered to abundant 		м	31.7	
		-	⊑ 1 40		50/3"	95			_ organic fragments; ML/CL. (Qpntf) 		M AL	23.3	
5/1/02	-60	390-	a 41		50/3"	100					м	26.8	
333.GPJ		-	a 42	2	65/10"	100					м	23.0	
1\21-08		400-	1 43	3	86	94					м	24.3	
JECTS/2		-	– 44	۲	50/4*	83		ML			м	20.0	
TWPRO	-40	410-	1 45	5	74	89			 SILT to fine sandy, clayey SILT; moist; interbedded, abundant organics and shell fragments; ML/CL-ML. (Qpntf) 		м	23.2	
PP/GIN		-	– 46	5	50/5.5*	92					M	22.3	
GPJ) IV		420- -	- 47	7	85/5.5	83		SP	 Very dense, gray, fine to medium SAND, trace of silt, to slightly silty, fine to medium SAND; wet; SP/SP-SM. (Qpnf) 		м	17.3	
21-08933		-	- 48	3	100/6"	100					M	18.9	
SP.GLB	-20	430-	-49	,	<u>92/6*</u>				BOTTOM OF BORING		-м-	15.6	
/SP-RWS		-							COMPLETED 12/28/2001	-			
r.1.1 Jan02RM		- 440 -								-			
a^ }	-0	- - 450—											

Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 1 of 16

Date(s) Drilled	3/3/03 - 4/3/03	Geotechnical Consultant	Camp [Dresser & McKee	Inc. Logged By	тсв	Checked By	VJP 02-03-04
Drilling Met	thod/Rig Type Roto-Sonic/		Drilling Contractor	Cascade/Boart-I	Longyear	Total Depth of Borehole	535.0) feet
Casing Size/Type	8"/6"/4"/Telescoping Casi	ng	Hammer Weig	ght/Drop (lbs/in.)	N/A	Ground Surf Elevation/Da	ace 549.0) feet / Metro
Location	5th Ave SW, Shoreline		Coordinates	N 287349	E 1264077	Elevation Sc	ource Surv	ey

			SAMPLES							¢	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-										0 to 6 feet excavated with vacuum truck, not sampled
-545	 5			- - -							
-540		4 P .				SM	Medium dense, red brown, wet, slightly gravelly SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse gravel, subround to round, numerous organics, wood debris, homogeneous (af)				Starting with 9-inch casing
	10 - -	ब हु 1		93		SM	 Medium dense, yellow red, gravelly sitty SAND (SM), fine to medium sand, fine to coarse gravel, poorly-graded, subrounded to rounded, poorly-graded, matrix supported, scattered organics (Qvt) Dense, brown-olive-gray, moist, gravelly SAND (SW), well-graded, fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse gravel, subround to round, homogeneous 				
-535	- 15						(Qva) Grades brown, slightly gravelly, trace silt				
-530	- - 20	2		97							
-525						SP	Dense, brown-light gray, moist, gravelly SAND (SP), poorly-graded, trace silt, trace fine sand, medium to coarse sand, fine gravel, subround to round, homogeneous (Qva)				
Grou	ndwate	r Observ	ation Dat	a:		Τ	Remarks: Negative Groundwater Data indicates me	asurem	ents a	bove (Ground Surface
OW (F	T BGS)	:					Recovery values > 100 indicate sample expansion of	during sa	amplin	g.	
VWP1	(FT BG	S):									
VWP2	(FT BC	S):									
	3DX	M -									

Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 2 of 16

			SAMPLES							-	ŝf)	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-		3		100		SW SP-	Dense, brown to light gray, moist, gravelly SAND (SW), well-graded, trace silt, fine to coarse sand, fine gravel, subrounded to rounded, homogeneous (Qva) Dense, light gray, moist, slightly silty gravelly				
-520							SP	SAND (SP-SM), poorly-graded, trace coarse sand, fine to medium sand, subround to round / (Qva) Dense, red brown, moist to wet, slightly gravelly SAND (SP), poorly-graded, trace coarse sand, fine to medium sand, fine to coarse gravel, subround to round homeorecone trace citt				
-515			4		91			(Qva)				
P19.GPJ 2/4/04	- - -		5		100		SW	Dense, red brown, moist, gravelly SAND (SW), well-graded, fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse				
TS\BRIGHTWATER	40 - -						SP SW SP	gravel, subround to round, homogeneous (Qva) Dense, red brown, moist, slightly gravelly SAND _ (SP), poorly-graded, trace silt, trace coarse _ sand, fine to medium sand, subround to round, <u>homogeneous</u> (Qva) <u>As above at 40.0 feet below ground surface (bgs)</u>				
0301) 0.1GINT/PR0JE0	- 45 -		6		100			 Dense, red brown, moist, slightly gravelly SAND (SP), poorly-graded, trace silt, trace coarse sand, fine to medium sand, subround to round, homogeneous (Qva) Dense, red brown, moist, slightly gravelly SAND (SP), poorly-graded, trace silt, trace coarse sand, fine to medium sand, subrounded to rounded, homogeneous (Qva) 				
3LB-BRIGHTWATER.C			7		100		SP- SM GM SM	Dense, gray, wet, slightly silty, gravelly SAND (SP-SM), poorly-graded, trace coarse sand, fine to medium sand, fine to coarse gravel, subround to round, scattered weathered silty nodules, brown yellow, homogeneous (Qva) Dense, gray, wet, silty sandy GRAVEL (GM), fine to oarse sand, fine to oarse gravel,				
TER-BRIGHTWATER.	- - -		8		88			 <u>subround to round, homogeneous</u> (Qva) Dense, brown/gray, wet, slightly silty gravelly SAND (SM), trace coarse sand, fine to medium sand, subround to round, homogeneous, trace Fe(II) staining, weathered yellow brown silt clasts-scattered (Qva) Becomes yeary dense, trace fine cond, medium to 		÷		
Jan02BRIGHTWAT	55 -							Decreasing silt with depth				J
Rev. 3 {Ver.1.1	- 60							-				

Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 3 of 16

ſ			_		SAMPL	ES						Ĵ.	
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-485	65 - - - -		9		100	<u> 1111</u>	SW GM SP- SM	Dense, red brown, wet, gravelly SAND (SW), well-graded, trace silt, fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse gravel, subround to round, <u>homogeneous</u> (Qva) Very dense, light gray, wet, silty GRAVEL (GM), trace medium to coarse sand, fine sand, fine to coarse gravel (2-3 in. diameter), subround to round (Qva) Dense to very dense, red brown, slightly silty, gravelly SAND (SP-SM), poorly-graded, layers of fine to coarse gravel, subrounded to rounded, homogeneous, fine to coarse sand (Qva)				
2/4/04	480	- - 70 -						SP	Very dense, red brown, wet, gravelly SAND (SP), poorly-graded, trace silt, trace fine sand, medium to coarse sand, subround to round, homogeneous, scattered oxidation (Qva)	·			
S\BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ	475	- 75- -		10		100		GW	Very dense, red brown, wet, sandy GRAVEL (GW), well-graded, fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse gravel, subround to round, homogeneous, trace silt (Qva) Scattered, laminated clasts of dark brown/light brown silt				Photo labeled as E-115
CDT 0:/GINT/PROJECT	470	- - 80 -				100		52	trace site, brown, wet SAND (SF), boony-graded, trace site, trace medium to coarse sand, trace fine to coarse gravel, fine sand, subround to round, homogeneous (Qva)				
VATER.GLB-BRIGHTWATEF	465	- 85— -				100			Grades slightly gravelly, fine sand, fine to coarse gravel, subrounded to rounded			-	
1 Jan02BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHTV	460	- - 90 -		12					Grades trace coarse sand, fine to medium sand				
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.	-455 — (95- 95	M								SWATE + DIRE #1		

Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 4 of 16

			SAMPL	ES						sf)	
Elevation, feet	- 56 feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	BRecovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-450	- - - - 100 -	13		100		SW SP SW SP	Dense, brown, wet, slightly gravelly SAND (SW), well-graded, fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse gravel, rounded to subrounded, homogeneous (Qva) Dense, brown, wet, slightly gravelly SAND (SP), poorly-graded, trace coarse and, fine to medium sand, fine to coarse gravel, surbrounded to rounded, homogeneous (Qva) Dense, brown, moist, slightly gravelly SAND (SW), well-graded, fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse gravel, subround to round, homogeneous (Qva)				
- 44	- - 105 -	15		100		SP SP GW SP	Dense, brown/light gray SAND (SP), poorly-graded, trace silt, trace medium to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, fine sand, subround to round, homogeneous (Qva) Very dense, brown, wet, gravelly SAND (SW), well-graded, trace silt, fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse gravel, subround to round (Qva) Dense, brown, wet SAND (SP), poorly-graded, trace silt, trace medium to coase sand, trace fine to coarse gravel, fine sand, subrounded to rounded (Qva)				
JECTS\BRIGHTWATER P19.GP	- - 110 - -	16		100			 Becomes very dense, gravelly SAND (SP), trace <u>coarse sand, fine to medium sand, homogeneous</u> Very dense, brown, moist, sandy GRAVEL (GW), well-graded, fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse gravel, subrounded to rounded, <u>homogeneous</u> (Qva) Dense, brown, moist, slightly gravelly SAND GSP), poorly-graded, trace medium to coarse sand, trace silt, fine sand, fine to coarse gravel, subround to round, homogeneous (Qva) Scattered clasts of gray sandy SILT 				
HTWATER.GDT O:\GINTPRO	5 - 115- - - - -	17		100			Grades with increased coarse sand	,			
	,	18		96		SW SP SW SW SW	Dense, brown, moist, gravelly SAND (SW), well-graded, trace silt, fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse gravel, subrund to round, homogeneous (Qva) Dense, brown, moist SAND (SP), poorly-graded, trace fine gravel, trace medium to coarse sand, fine sand, subrounded to rounded, homogeneous (Qva) Dense, brown, moist, gravelly SAND (SW), well-graded, trace silt, fine to oarse sand, fine to coarse gravel, subrund to round, homogeneous (Qva) Dense, brown, moist SAND (SP), poorly-graded, trace fine gravel, trace medium to coarse sand, fine source gravel, trace medium to coarse sand, fine source gravel, trace medium to coarse sand, fine source gravel, trace medium to coarse sand,				
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.1 Ja	130-	 				SW	Inne sand, subrounded to rounded, homogeneous (Qva) Dense, brown, moist, gravelly SAND (SW), well-graded, trace silt, fine to oarse sand, fine to coarse gravel, subrund to round, homogeneous (Qva)				

Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 5 of 16

				SAMPL	ES						îĴ)	
Louotion	rievation, feet	5 Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	415		19		100		SP SW SP	Dense, brown, moist, slightly gravelly SAND (SP), poorly-graded, trace silt, trace coarse sand, fine to medium sand, fine to coarse gravel, subrounded to rounded, homogeneous, numerous silt/sand clasts (Qva) Dense, brown, moist, gravelly SAND (SW), well-graded, trace silt, fine to oarse sand, fine to coarse gravel, subrund to round, homogeneous (Qva) Dense, brown, moist SAND (SP), poorly-graded, trace silt, trace fine gravel, trace coarse sand, fine to medium sand, subrounded to rounded, homogeneous (Qva) Dense, brown, moist, gravelly SAND (SW), well graded trace silt, fine to access and, fine to medium sand, subrounded to rounded, homogeneous (Qva)				
4/04	410	- - 140 -	21		100 100			 wein-graded, trace sint, fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse gravel, subrund to round, homogeneous (Qva) Dense, brown, moist SAND (SP), poorly-graded, trace fine gravel, trace medium to coarse sand, fine sand, subrounded to rounded, homogeneous (Qva) Layer of well-graded fine to coarse sand Grades slightly gravelly, fine to coarse gravel, subround to round homogeneous 				With numerous +2-inch rip-up clast of sandy SILT
S\BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ 2/	405	- - 145 -	23 24 25		100 100 100			Laminated silt and sand Numerous 1/2-inch to 3/4-inch laminated sand/silt clasts Slightly gravelly from 145 to 146 feet bgs				
ER.GDT) O./GINT/PROJECTS	400	- - 150- -	26		100			Sand grades fine, trace fine gravel				
GHTWATER.GLB-BRIGHTWAT	395	- 155 -	27		100							
1.1 Jan02BRIGHTWATER-BRI	390	- 160- - -	28		100			Grades to slightly gravelly, fine to coarse gravel				
Rev. 3 { Ver.	385 — (165- 3 D	 M									

Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 6 of 16

			SAMPLES								sf)	-
Elevation, feet	Depth,	teet	l ype Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	10	,	29		100			+6 inch cobble				
					100			Scattered laminated clasts of sand/silt				
-380) 17	- - -	30		23							
			31		100							
-37	5											
2/4/04		-										
RIGHTWATER P19.GPJ	0 18	- - 0 -	32		89		sw	Very dense, brown gray, wet, slightly silty, gravelly SAND (SW), well-graded, fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse gravel, subrounded to rounded, homogeneous (Qva) 3-inch interbed of fine to medium sand				
NT/PROJECTS/B	5	- -					SP	Dense, brown, moist SAND (SP), poorly-graded, trace coarse sand, trace fine to coarse gravel, trace silt, fine to medium sand, subrounded to rounded, homogeneous (Qva) Grades slightly gravelly SAND (SP)				
R.GDT} 0:\GI	18	5							-			
-360 -360	0 19	- - - 0	33		93			_ bgs				
IGHTWATER.G												
-02BRIGHTWATER-BR	5	5-	34		91							
3 {Ver.1.1 Jai	0	-						Becomes wet				
Rev.	- CDM											

Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 7 of 16

			SAMPL	ES						÷	
Elevation, feet	007 Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-345	- - - 205	35		125							
-340	- - 210—	36		100			Becomes very dense				
rsibrightwater P19.GPJ 2/4/04	- - - 215 -	37		100							
01) O:/GINT/PROJEC	- - 220	38		100			Layers of very dense, fine sand (SP)				
R.GLB-BRIGHTWATER.GI 	- - 225	39		100							
1 Jano2BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHTWATE	- - 230— - -	40		83							
Rev. 3 {Ver.1.	235-	 M									

Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 8 of 16

ſ				SAMPL	ES			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			sf)	
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	310	-	41		94							
-		240- - -			-			Grades moist, fine sand, trace medium sand, fine sand				
PJ 2/4/04	305	- 245 - -	42		93			-				
TS\BRIGHTWATER P19.G	300	- 250— - -										
) 0:/GINT/PROJEC	295	- 255-					ML	Hard, dark gray, moist, clayey SILT (ML), trace fine sand, subrounded to rounded, medium plasticity, medium strength, massive (QvIc)		MP		
R.GLB-BRIGHTWATER.GDT	290	- - 260	43		88		SM	(SP-SM), poorly-graded, trace medium sand, fine sand, homogeneous, micaceous, occasional organic fragments (Qpfnf)				• •
SHTWATER-BRIGHTWATE	285	- - 265-	44		100		SM	Dense, dark gray brown, wet, silty SAND (SM),				
/. 3 {Ver.1.1 Jan02BRIG	280	270	er:					Tine sand, subrounded to rounded, homogeneous, rapid dilatancy, occasional organic fragments (Qpfnf)				Perched zone
Re	-0	D	М—			*****						

Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 9 of 16

		SAMPLES						1		¢.	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	BRecovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-275	- - - 275 - -										· · · ·
-270	- 280 -	46		100				-			
-265	- 285— -	47		100			 	-			
-260	- 290 -	48		100			Grades to sandy SILT	-			
-255	- 295- - -					ML	Very stiff, dark gray, wet, clayey SILT (ML), trace fine sand, low plasticity, rapid dilatancy, massive, trace organics (QpfnI)				295-305 foot sample was drilled on 3/11/03 end of day. Sample was lost while pulling rods. Redrilled and left rods in hole overnight to aftempt retrieval
-250	- 300 -	49		99			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
245	305- 	 M									

Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 10 of 16

ſ				SAMPL	ES						ŝf)	
	Elevation, feet	505 Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-240	-	50		100			Increased plasticity, slow dilatancy				
	~240	310- - -					CL	Hard, gray, moist, very silty CLAY (CL), low to _ moderate plasticity, medium dry strength, massive, slow dilatancy, occasional organics _ (Qpfnl)				Termination of 8-inch casing
2/4/04	-235	- 315- -	51		95							
TS/BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ	-230	 320—						Laminated with light gray silt and fine sand partings/seams, slow to rapid dilatancy		MP		Petroleum hydrocarbon odor and apparent oil-like coating on surface of sample probably due to decaying organics Sample loss occurred approximately 320 to 322 feet. Driller required 250 to 300 psi to extrude sample
R.GDT} ONGINTAPROJEC	-225	- 325 -	52		75			Grades moderate to hightly plastic		M AL		Slickensides
HTWATER.GLB-BRIGHTWATEF	-220	- 330— -						3-foot stratum grades very stiff, wet, slightly sandy to trace sand, fine sand, subround to round, low plasticity, massive to scattered inderbeds of fine sand				
an02BRIGHTWATER-BRIG	-215	- 335 -	53		90		SP- SM	Dense, dark gray, moist, slightly silty SAND (SP-SM), poorly-graded, fine to medium sand, subrounded to rounded to more success				
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.1 Js	-210	- 340	₩					scattered (ML) layers (Qpfnf)				Begin with 6-inch casing and 4-inch core

Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 11 of 16

ſ				SAMPL	ES						ŝf)	
Elevation.	feet	bepth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
		0.10	54		100		ML	Hard, dark gray, moist, clayey SILT (ML), low plasticity, non-dilatant, massive (QpfnI)				
-2	05	- - - 345					SP- SM ML	Dense, gray green, moist, slightly silty SAND (SP-SM), poorly-graded, fine to medium, trace organics (Qpfnf) Very stiff to hard, gray, moist, clayey SILT (ML), low plasticity, laminated, rapid dilatancy, organic odor (QpfnI) Rapid dilatancy, low strength Slow dilatancy, medium strength Hard, non dilatant, medium strength				
			55		142							
- 2	00	350-						Hard, non dilatant, medium strength, laminated/ interbedded light gray, fine sand seams		M		
1 2										MP		
IGHTWATER P19.GP	95	- 355 -	er.					Hard, gray, low to moderate plasticiy, medium strength, scattered laminated fine sand seams, slow dilatancy Approximate 2.5-inch brown organic layer		AD		354 to 360 foot sample fell out of core barrel during extraction Conventional radiocarbon
2:\GINT\PROJECTS\BR	90	- - 360-	¶≥ 56		98			Trace medium to coarse sand				date 28,600 +/- 250 years B.P.
BRIGHTWATER.GDT) (85						SM	Dense, dark gray, moist, silty SAND (SM), fine sand, subround to round, poorly-graded, homogeneous, trace organics, scattered brown organic nodules (Qpfnf)		M SA		Occasional brown organic nodules
RIGHTWATER. GLB-		365	57		104		ML	offit, dark gray, moist, sandy shi r (ML), trace medium sand, fine sand, subround to round, nonplastic, slow to rapid dilatancy, homogeneous (Qpfnl)		M SA		
9- 21-1	80	-										
WAT		370-										
GHT		0.0			[
.1 Jan02BRI		-	50				ML	Hard, dark gray, wet, sandy SILT (ML), fine sand, subrounded to rounded, nonplastic to low plasticity, low strength (QpfnI)				
v. 3 {Ver.1. 	75	375-	58 1		90		CL	 Hard, dark gray, sitty CLAY (CL), trace tine gravel, low plasticity, medium strength, massive, occasional partings of light gray fine sand (Qpfnl) 		M SA AL	4	
В. Ке	- (3DI	M				unitérialitérenezze				(111) ² 11112 ² 1112	a a su a cana

Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 12 of 16

ſ			SAMPLES								sf)	
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
		375-	59		100		ML	Stiff, dark gray, wet, slightly sandy, clayey SILT (ML), fine sand, subround to round, low plasticity, low strength, massive, slow dilatancy (Opfnl)		М		
	-170	-				CH	Hard, dark gray, moist, silty CLAY (CH), trace		SA			
		380	. 60		83			 fine sand and fine gravel, moderate to high plasticity, medium strength, non-dilatant, massive, scattered partings of fine sand, light gray (Qpfnl) 				
	-165	- 385						M AL				
3PJ 2/4/04		· _	9 61		87			Numerous partings/nodules of light gray fine sand/silt				
HTWATER P19.0	-160	390-					- 					
ROJECTS/BRIG		-						Stiff, wet, slightly sandy, fine sand, subround to round, nonplastic to low plasticity, massive, scattered brown organic nodules				
) O:\GINT\PF	-155	395- _	62		100					SA HA		
HTWATER.GD1	-150	_						 18-Inch thick slift fine SAND stratum, numerous organics, rootlets/woody debris Hard, moist, slightly silty, trace fine sand, low plasticity, medium strength, numerous partings of light gray silt. 				
TER.GLB-BRIG	-150	400	63		98							
ER-BRIGHTWA	-145	-						 		ħΔ		
2BRIGHTWAT		405	₩				SM	Increasing silt content Dense, dark gray, moist, silty SAND (SM), fine		IVI		
{ Ver.1.1 Jan02	-140	-	64		100			 sand, subrounded to rounded, layers of silty sand with clay (CL) pockets, trace organics (Qpfnf) 				
Rev. 3	-0	410	□□ M —									

Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 13 of 16

(SAMPL	ES						if)	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	410- - -					ML	Dense, dark gray, moist, silty, clayey SAND (SC), fine sand, low to nonplastic (Qpfnf) Very stiff, dark gray, moist, sandy SILT (ML) (Qpfnl)				Run 65 drilled/sampled 3 times before brought up, TCB starts logging
-135	- 415— -	65		80			Grades slightly clayey, trace tine sand, nonplastic, slow to rapid dilatancy, massive		M SA		
-130	- - 420 -		_			SM	 Dense, darj gray, moist, very silty SAND (SM), to very sandy SILT (ML), fine sand, trace organics (Qpfnf) Grades silty, trace clay, low toughness, nonplastic, fine sand 				
S\BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ_2/	- - 425 -	66		79			Decreasing silt Increasing silt Grades silty SAND (SM) to sandy SILT (ML)		M SA MP		
	- 430					ML	Stiff, dark gray, moist, slightly sandy to sandy SILT (ML), trace medium sand, fine sand, subround to round, nonplastic, slow to rapid dilatancy, homogeneous (QpfnI)				Sample discovered to have slid out at 1615. 1 foot run lost. Drilling done for 3/15 at 1630.
RIGHTWATER.GDT) 	-	-\ ` } 67 -\	67	100					M SA		
GHTWATER.GLB-B	435— - -					SM	Dense, dark gray, wet, silty SAND (SM), fine to _ medium sand, subrounded to rounded, homogeneous (Qpfnl)				
.1.1 Jan02BRIGHTWATER-BRI	- - 440 - -	68		93							
Ee. 3 [Ver. 3 [Ver. 3]	445–	 M -									

Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 14 of 16



Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 15 of 16

			SAMPLES									Ē	
Elevation,	feet Donth	0 8 Ueptin, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-64	5 4			73	94		SP- SM ML SM	Dense, dark gray, wet, slightly silty SAND (SP-SM), fine to medium sand, subround to round, poorly-graded, homogeneous (Qpfnf). Grades silty, occasional organics Grades to very stiff, brown gray, mottled, silt (ML), trace fine sand, nonplastic to low plasticity, medium strength, numerous organics, slow to rapid dilatancy Layer rapid dilatancy at 484 ft bgs (Qpfnf) Dense, dark gray, wet, silty SAND (SM), fine to medium sand, subrounded to rounded, homogeneous, occasional organics (Qpfnf) Grades trace fine sand, nonplastic					
14/04) 4	- 90- -				94		ML	Medium stiff, gray, wet, sandy SILT (ML), fine sand, nonplastic, homogeneous, rapid dilatancy (Qpfnl) Grades very stiff, moist, slightly clayey, trace fine sand, numerous organic nodules Very stiff, dark brown, wet PEAT (PT), numerous partings of ash, fibrous, wood debris, 2 to 4-inch layers of gray SILT (ML) Medium stiff, gray, wet, slightly sandy SILT (ML), fine sand, nonplastic, scattered organics, low strength, rapid dilatancy Qpfnl) Dense, dark gray, moist, silty SAND (SM), fine sand, subround to round, homogeneous (Qpfnf) Grades fine to medium sand, numerous silt clasts, trace fine gravel		M		
S\BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ_2	5 4	- - 95 -		74			7 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77	ML					
	5	- - -00- -						SM					
1.0001 EK.GLB-BKIGH1 или IE	5			75 75		100							
1 JanuzbkiGH I WA I EK-BKIGH	5								Grades gravelly, fine to coarse gravel, subround to round				
Kev. 3 { Ver.1.	5 • C	15 D							Grades slightly gravelly				
Log of Boring E-105

Sheet 16 of 16

			SAMPL	ES						sf)	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (t	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-30	- - - 520-	/6		100		SP- SM	Dense, dark gray, moist, slightly silty SAND (SP-SM), fine to medium sand, subround to round poorly-graded, homogeneous, numerous silt clasts (Qpfnf)				
-25	- - - 525 -					ML SP	Interbedded layers of stiff, dark gray/green gray, slightly clayey, slightly sandy SILT (ML), fine sand, nonplastic, low strength, trace brown organic nodules (QpfnI)		MP		
S\BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ 2/4	- - 530 -	77		100			(Qpfnl) Grades fine to coarse gravel, subround to round -				
ER.GDI} O:/GINT/PROJECT	- 535 -		_			SM	Dense, dark gray, moist, silty SAND (SM), fine sand, trace medium sand, homogeneous (Qpfnf) Terminated boring at 535 feet below ground surface				
1TWATER.GLB-BRIGHTWATE	- - 540 -										
Jano2BRIGHTWAI ER-BKIG	- - 545 - -									2	
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.1	550-	 									

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 1 of 17

Date(s) Drilled	4/11/03 - 4/22/03	Geotechnical Consultant	Camp I	Dresser & McKee	Inc. Logo By	^{jed} RW		Checked By	VJP 02-03-04
Drilling Me	thod/Rig TypeWireline/ T3		Drilling Contractor	Cascade Drilling	g, Inc.		Total Depth of Borehole	566.	0 feet
Casing Size/Type	PQ (7"O.D.)		Hammer Weig	ght/Drop (lbs/in.)	300#/	30''	Ground Surface Elevation/Date	ce 581. um 581.	9 feet / Metro
Location	20357 Greenwood Ave		Coordinates	N 287281	E 12660	85	Elevation Sou	rce Surv	/ey

					SAMPLI	ES							Ĵ,	
Elevation	feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	USCS	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer	ochematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	580	-							- - -		1111			0 to 6 ft bgs excavated with vacuum truck, not sampled
		5									1			Drive 6-inch-diameter casing to 18 feet bgs
1EK P 19.6PJ 2/4/04	575	- - -						GW- GM	Dense, yellow-brown, moist, slightly silty, sandy GRAVEL (GW-GM), trace cobbles, well-graded, fine to coarse subangular gravel (Qvtm) -					Soil description inferredd from drill action and cuttings
	570					·			-					
	565	15		1	46 - 50/2" (100+)	0					11111			Driller reports hard drilling in cobbles and boulders No recovery, stone in sampling shoe
	560	20												Drillers use tri cone bit for drilling through formation from 21 to 37 feet bgs
C C	irour	idwate	r Ob	serv	vation Dat	a:	liah)		Remarks: Negative Groundwater Data indicates m Recovery values > 100 indicate sample expansion	easure during	emei g sar	nts al nplin	pove (g.	Ground Surface
	WP1	(FT BG	. ວະ iS): iS):	.u.u (LUW) 38	70'A (L	ngrt)							
Yev.	-() D	M		*****						tion in a subscript of the			

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 2 of 17

ſ					SAMPL	ES					Π		sf)	
	Elevation, feet	52 Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer	- Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-	-555								- · · ·		1111			
	-550	- 30- -							 		/ / / / /			
		35-								1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1			Hard drilling
ER P19.GPJ 2/4/04	-545	-		2	19 - 50/5" (100+)	9		GW	Very dense, brown, moist, sandy GRAVEL (GW), well-graded, fine to coarse subangular gravel (Qva)	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	11111			
JECTS/BRIGHTWAT	-540	40						SW- SM	Very dense, brown, moist, slightly silty, gravelly SAND (SW-SM), well-graded, fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse subangular gravel (Qva)		1111			Drilling smoother
GDT) O./GINT/PRC	-535	45-							 	1 1 1 1 1	1111			from drill action and cuttings
GLB-BRIGHTWATER		- 50—							- ·		1 1 1 1 1			
FER-BRIGHTWATER	-530	-							- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		11111			
1 Jan02BRIGHTWAT	525	55 — -		3	55/6" (100+)	100			<u>-</u>		1111			
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.	— (- 60-	M						- 	/ / /				

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 3 of 17

Elevation, feet	, D epth, 6 feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	tecovery, %	iphic Log	S	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	neter	latic	sts	meter (ts	REMARKS AND
	-			L UL	0 0	usc		Piezor	scnem	Lab Te	Pocket Penetro	OTHER TESTS
-520	1								1 1 1 1 1			
-515	- 65 -						- · · ·		11111			
510	- 70						- · · ·					Drill action suggests
	- 75-					GW	Very dense, gray, moist to wet, sandy GRAVEL (GW), trace silt, well-graded, fine to coarse subangular to subrounded gravel (Qva)		1111			
-505	- - 80	m 4	50/5" (100+)	40					1111			
-500	-							/ / / / / / / /	1 1 1 1 1			
-495	85-								1 1 1 1 1			
-490	- 90 -								· · · · · ·			
	- 95-	 							1 1 1 1			

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 4 of 17

ſ				SAMF	LES						sf)	
	Elevation, feet	- De pth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-485	-	 5	50/4" (100+	101		SP	Very dense, wet, olive gray, slightly gravelly to				Cobbles noticed by drillers
		- 100-						coarse sand, fine to coarse subangular to _ subrounded gravel (Qva) 				Soil description inferredd from drill action and cuttings
	-480	-										
04		- 105 -							1 1 1 1 1 1		-	
ER P19.GPJ 2/4/	-475	-										
TS\BRIGHTWATE	-470	110— -										Drill action suggests some
D:/GINT/PROJEC		- - 115—							/ / / / / /			gravel
TWATER.GDT}	-465	-	189 6	50/4" (100+	0		_ · ·	 				
TER.GLB-BRIGH		- 120										
TER-BRIGHTWA	-460	-							/ / / / / /			
Jan02BRIGHTWA	-455	125— - -										
ev. 3 { Ver.1.1 .	-	130-						- · · · ·				
сſ	-((D	M -									

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 5 of 17

ſ					SAMPL	ES				Τ			Ę.	
Elevation,	feet	130 Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		- Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-4	50	-							-					
-44	45	135— - -		7	50/4" (100+)	0								Drill action suggests gravelly sand
3PJ 2/4/04	40	- 140 - -												
ECTS\BRIGHTWATER P19.0	35	- 145— - -												
WATER.GDT) O:\GINT\PROJ	30	- 150— - -												
-BRIGHTWATER.GLB-BRIGHT 7	25	- 155— - -		8	50/5" (100+)	99			Grades brown					Soil description inferredd from drill action and cuttings
Ver.1.1 Jan02BRIGHTWATER	20	- 160 - -												
Rev. 3 {/	- C	- 165- 20	M	I], 				

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 6 of 17

			SAMPL	ES					ŀ	ŝf)	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-415											
-410	170 - -						 			-	
^{40/9/2} [c	- 175 -	9	50/3" (100+)	0			- · · ·				Gravel in shoe, drillers assume sandy gravel to
006-0000000000000000000000000000000000	- 180— -										gravelly sand with cobbles
01) ONGINTNPROJECTS	- - 185— -						- · · ·				
3LB-BRIGHTWATER.GC	- - - 190-						- · ·				
ATER-BRIGHTWATER.0	-						- · ·				
{ Ver.1.1 Jan02BRIGHTW - 2882	195— - - -	1 0	50/5" (100+)	99			Grades gravelly				Soil description inferredd from drill action and cuttings
Rev. 3	200-	 R/I —]/ /			

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 7 of 17

			SAMPL	ES			na n		1	(js	
Elevation, feet	00 Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-380	- - - 205—						- - - -				
-375	- - - 210-						- - - -				
-149.414							-				
	215	11	50/6" (100+)	100		SP- SM	Very dense, brown, wet, slightly gravelly, slightly silty SAND (S-SM), poorly-graded, fine to coarse sand, fine subrounded gravel (Qva)				Soil description inferredd from drill action and cuttings
341WATEK (601) 0:161N	220								· · ·		
- 355	225 - -		- -				-				
- 1.1 Jan028kilsH1WA1EF	- 230- - -						- - -				
Rev. 3 {v	235- 235] M –					- 				

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 8 of 17

ſ					SAMPL	ES							Ģ	
	Elevation, feet	- 557 Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schomotio	סמופווומווכ	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-	345			12	50/6" (100+)	100			Grades olive gray, sand, trace silt, trace gravel		1 1 1 1 1			Soil description inferredd from drill action and cuttings
-	-340	- 240 -									· · · · · ·			
		- 245								~ ~ ~ ~ ~	/////			
ER P19.GPJ 2/4/04	335	-							- · ·		/ / / / / /			
DJECTS\BRIGHTWAT	330	250— - -									1111			
R.GDT) O:\GINT\PR(-325	- 255— -		13	50/4"	76					1 1 1 1 1			
ER.GLB-BRIGHTWATE		- 260			(1001)						1111			
VATER-BRIGHTWATE	·320	-							- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1111			
er.1.1 Jan02BRIGHTM	315	265— - -								· · · · · ·	1 1 1 1			
Rev. 3 { Ve	-0	- 270 2D	M											

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 9 of 17

Г					SAMPLE	ES							sf)	
Elevation,	feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer	Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-3	10										1111			
-3	05	275						GM	- Silty sandy GRAVEL (GM) (Qpfnf) 		1 1 1 1 1			Gravel infered by drill action and cuttings Soil description inferredd from drill action and cuttings
		- - 280—		14		40					1 1 1 1			Switch to wire-line Slough, outwashed sand
19.GPJ 2/4/04	00	-							- · ·		1 1 1 1			
TS/BRIGHTWATER F	95	285		15		67		 ML	Stiff, olive gray, moist, slightly clayey, sandy SILT (ML), medium plasticity, scattered organics (Qpfnl)					
> 0:\GINT\PROJEC		290-							- Grades very stiff, no clay		1111			Organic odor
RIGHTWATER.GDT	90	1						SP	Dense, olive gray, moist, SAND (SP), trace silt, poorly-graded fine to medium sand, occasional organics (Qpfnf)		1111			
IGHTWATER GLB-B	85	295		16		100					1 1 1 1 1			
BRIGHTWATER-BR		- 300— -									1 1 1 1 1			
3 { Ver.1.1 Jan02	80	205							- Scattered organics		1 1 1 1 1			
Rev.	- 🕜	305- 2D	M		•	•		-		- ·				

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 10 of 17

			SA	MPLE	ES							sf)	
Elevation, feet	– 505 Depth, Depth,	Type		DIOWS / D III. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Diazomatar	Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-275	`	17			100			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		11111			
070	310						ML	Wood fragment Stiff to very stiff, gray, moist SILT (ML), low plasticity (Qpfnl)		11111			
-270	- - 315-							Grades slightly sandy, hard, nonplastic		11111			
19.6PJ 2/4/07	-	18			100			- Grades sandy		11111			
5000	- 320- -							- Trace sand, low plasticity _		1 1 1 1 1			
0:\GINT/PROJECTS	- 325–							_ Trace clay - 		11111			
- 255	-	19			100			- - Grades slightly clayey -		1 1 1 1 1			
TWATER.GLB-BRIG -220	330- - -									11111			
GHTWATER-BRIGH	- - 335-							- - 1-foot fine sand layer		1 1 1 1 1			
{Ver.1.1 Jan02BRIG	-	20			100			-		1 1 1 1 1			
Rev. 3	340-	 M -							^	^			

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 11 of 17

ſ				SAMPL	ES							Ð	
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	- Diazomatar	Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-240	-						Trace sand - _ Frequent seams of lighter-colored silt		11111			Organic odor
	-235	345-	21		84			1-foot silty fine sand layer Grades silt and clay					
	200	350-	21		04		SP	Dense, dark gray, moist, SAND (SM), trace silt, poorly-graded, fine to medium sand, scattered organics (Qpfnf) Medium dense, olive gray		1 1 1 1 1			Organic odor
P19.GPJ 2/4/04	-230	-					ML	Very stiff, dark gray, moist, slightly clayey SILT (ML), fine sand seam at 355 ft bgs, low plasticity,		11111			Organic odor
IS/BRIGHTWATER I	-225	355	22		90			homogeneous, slickensides (Qpfnl)					
O:\GINT\PROJECT		360-					СН	- 1-foot fine sand layer Hard, dark gray, moist silty CLAY (CH), high plasticity (Qofni)					Organic odor
(IGHTWATER.GDT)	-220	-					SP	Dense, dark gray, moist, SAND (SP), trace silt, poorly-graded, fine to medium sand (Qpfnf)		1111			Slickensides
BHTWATER.GLB-BF	-215	365- - -	23		79		СН	Very stiff, dark gry, moist, silty CLAY (CH), high plasticity, slickensides (QpfnI)		11111			
RIGHTWATER-BRIG		370						- Sand layers					
. { Ver.1.1 Jan02BF	-210	-						- Grades stiff					
Rev. 3	-0	375_ [_]	и М —				1] []		24000/1240/Here (Price)		

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 12 of 17



Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 13 of 17

ſ				SAMPL	ES						sf)	
-Towettee	Elevation, feet	Depth , feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
_	170	`- -					CL			M SA		
		- 415— -					ML	Stiff, dark gray to gray green, moist, SILT (ML), trace sand, trace clay, low plasticity, scattered organics (QpfnI)		M SA		
	165		28	·	59		SP	Very dense, dark gray, moist, SAND (SP), trace silt, poorly-graded fine sand, scattered organics				Organic odor
2/4/04 	160	420										Organic odor '
WATER P19.GPJ		425-								M SA		
ROJECTS/BRIGHT	155		29		95		ML	- 				
SDT} O:\GINT\PF	450	- 430 -										Outwashed gravel
BRIGHTWATER.(150	-					SP	Very dense, dark gray, moist, SAND (SP), trace silt, poorly-graded fine sand, scattered organics (Qpfnf)				
GHTWATER.GLB	145	435-	30		100							
RIGHTWATER-BRI		440-	5					Grades fine to medium sand				
{ Ver.1.1 Jan02BF	140	-					PT CL ML	Brown PEAT (Qpfnw) Very stiff, dark gray, moist, silty CLAY (CL), medium plasticity (Qpfnl) Hard, gray olive, slightly clayey, sandy SILT (ML), low plasticity, occasional organics				
Rev. 3	-(445- D	 M					(Qpfnl) Very dense, gray green, moist, SAND (SP), trace		1		

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 14 of 17

			SAMPLI	ES						sf)	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-135	445	32		82		SP	silt, poorly-graded fine sand (Qpfnf) Grades dense, dark gray, fine to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, poorly-graded sand				Hard drilling
	- 450— -	33		85		ML	Hard, gray, moist, sandy SILT (ML), low plasticity, scattered organics (QpfnI)		М		
-130	-	34		100		SP	 b-inch Taminated brown organic slit and sand Very dense, light gray, moist, SAND (SP), poorly-graded fine sand, homogeneous, occasional organics (Qpfnf) 				
-125	455					OL	Hard, gray brown, moist, organic SILT (OL), trace sand, trace clay (Qpfnw)				Organic odor Hard drilling
	- 460— -	35 7		100		SM	Gray green to gray olive, moist, very silty SAND (SM), wood fragments (Qpfnf)		M		Organic odor
-120	-	dit.	X			IVIL	 scattered to numerous organics (Qpfnl) 				Organic odor
- 115	465	36		100			Layers of sandy silt and silty sand, numerous organics				2
140	- 470— -		-	ίξι Ρ.							Hard drilling
	- - 475—	37		43		SP	Dense, dark gray, moist, SAND (SP), trace silt, poorly-graded fine to coarse sand, occasional organics (Qponf)				Outwashed sand
-105	-	38		0							No recovery, outwashed sand
	480-	11					_ Grades fine sand, scattered organics _	<u>Г. (</u>)			

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 15 of 17

ſ				-	SAMPLI	ES						ŝf)		
1	Elevation, feet	88 Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS	
		400	Ħ						Wood pieces	/				
		-							_ Grades very stiff, sandy silt, low plasticity	$\langle \rangle \rangle \rangle$				
ŀ	-100	-												
		-	3	9		80								
										/ / /				
									-	~~ ~			Hard drilling	
		485-								121				
		_								/ ` / `				
	-95								_				Circulation mud: Fine to	
			4	0		0							coarse sand, no recovery,	
		-						-					outwashed sand	
		-								/ / ·			Soil description inferredd	
		490-		-				GW-	Slightly silty, gravelly SAND (SW-SM) to slightly	/ ^ ·			from drill action and cuttings	
								GM	silty sandy GRAVEL (GW-GM) (Qpfnb)	/			gravel to gravely sand shell	
6		1							-	<u> </u>			fragments	
2/4	-90	-											No recoverv	
GPJ		-												
P19.		_											Gravelly drilling	
ER			4	1		0				/ / / ·				
TAW		495—	495								/ ^ ·			
GHT		-								/				
\BRI	-85	_								/ ` / `				
CTS														
SOJE			l),											
I		-		_										
\GIN		500-				-	66.0			121				
0		_					666			/				
GDT	80									/ ` / `			Gravelly drilling	
TER.	00													
M		-											No recovery	
.HO		-				Ι.	000						,	
B-BR		505-	4	2						/ ^ /				
S.GLI							0.0			~~~				
ATEF	-	-					000		-	~ ~				
2	-75	-	11											
RIGH		-												
R-B		_							-					
VATE		F40		-						/ · /				
VTH		510-	H				000			~~~			Gravelly drilling	
BRIG		-								~ ~				
an021	-70	-					0.0			\sim				
зU 1.		_	1	3		n	000		_					
Ver.1			\parallel	~		ľ								
		-							-					
2.		515-	11	I		I	1.414	1	L				1	
щ	_(YN	M	(Methoda Canadig Salada An						
	100	ALL DEPOSIT	2 107 208											

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 16 of 17

i i <th></th>	
-66 -66 <th>RKS AND R TESTS</th>	RKS AND R TESTS
520- -60 45 17 SW Very dense, dark gray, moist, SAND (SW), trace silt, trace fine gravel, well-graded fine to coarse sand, occasional organics (Qpfn) 525- -55 530- -50 CL Very stiff, dark gray, moist, sandy, silty CLAY (CL), medium plasticity (Qpfnl) 60 63 530- -50 46 53 CL 53 CL 53 CL 53 Stiff, dark gray, moist, sandy, silty CLAY (CL), medium plasticity (Qpfnl) 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 74 74 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	ounded gravel ill aciton and
45 45 525- 45 525- - -55 - -55 - -55 - -50 - -50 - -51 - -52 - -53 - -54 - -55 - -56 - -57 - -58 - -59 - -50 - -51 - -52 - -53 - -54 - -55 - -50 - -51 - -52 - -53 - -53 - -53 - -53 - -53 - -53 - -53 - -53 - -53 - -53 - -53 - </th <th></th>	
CL Very stiff, dark gray, moist, sandy, silty CLAY (CL), medium plasticity (Qpfnl) (CL), medium plasticity (Qpfnl) Very dense, slightly silty, sandy GRAVEL (GM), fine to coase sand, subrounded gravel (Qpfnf) 50 - 50 - 53 - 6M CL Stiff, dark gray, moist, sandy, silty CLAY (CL) medium plasticity (Qpfnl) - - 53 - -	
900 -50 -46 53 53 CL Stiff, dark gray, moist, sandy, silty CLAY (CL) 535 -535 -45 - -45 - -45 - -45 - -50 - -535	
-45 GW- Slightly silty, gravelly SAND (SW-SM) to sandy	
「「「「「「「「」」」「「「」」」「「」」「「」」「「」」「「」」「「	ion inferredd ion and cuttings
540- 47 0 Gravelly drilli -40 - - - -40 - - -	ing
48 70 gravelly, SAND (SM), fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse subrounded gravel, scattered organics (Qpogl) 545 -	

ł

Log of Boring E-106

Sheet 17 of 17

			SAMPL	ES						÷	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-30								/ ` / ` / ` / ` / ` / `			
	- 555- -	50		61							
-25								/ ` / ` / ` / ` / ` / `			
2 [4]04	560 - -	51		91							
ITWATER P19.GP	- - 565-	52		100			- · · ·		SA		
	-	-					Terminated boring at 566 feet below ground _ surface -				
	570 -	-									
-B-BRIGHTWATE	575-	-									
BRIGHTWATER.G	•										
2BRIGHTWATER-E	- 580-										
3 { Ver.1.1 Jan02	-	-						-			
Rev.	585-	- MI	1 	1 	1			.1	1	1	

Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 1 of 16

Date(s) Drilled	3/19/03 - 3/24/03	Geotechnical Consultant	Camp Dre	esser & McKee	Inc. Logged By	RW		Checked By	VJP 02-03-04
Drilling Me	thod/Rig Type D&M/Wireline /	T3	Drilling Contractor C	ascade Drilling	g, Inc.		Total Depth of Borehole	548.	0 feet
Casing Size/Type	PQ (7"O.D.)		Hammer Weight	/Drop (Ibs/in.)	300# / 30''		Ground Surfa Elevation/Date	ce 549 . um	5 feet / Metro
Location	782 N. 204th SE		Coordinates	N 287288	E 1268071		Elevation Sou	irce Sur	vey

			SAMPL	ES						(je	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (t	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
a) a) b) b) b) b) b) b) b) b) b) b	1999 0 → 0 → 10 → 1	addin r Obse : :S)223.	50/3" (100+) ervation Date 8 (Low) 2	33 ta: 21.3 (F	(diaption)	GP	Very dense, brown, wet, sandy GRAVEL (GP), trace silt, poorly-graded fine to coarse sand, poorly-graded fine subangular gravel (Qva)		ents al	Penet	OTHER TESTS O-8 feet excavated with vacuum truck, not sampled Drilling Mud Rotary 8 to 180 feet below ground surface (bgs) Soil description inferred from drill action and cuttings Irregular drilling resistance in gravel Ground Surface
	? (FT BG	iS)223.	9 (Low) 2	20.8 (H	ligh)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	B	М-									

Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 2 of 16

			SAMPL	ES						Ģ	Anna ann an an ann an Anna Anna Anna An
Elevation, feet	57 Depth _. feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-520	- 30— -										
-515							- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
SIBRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ 2	- - 40 -	2	50/5" (100+)	50	6.00.00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	GW	Very dense, brown, wet, sandy GRAVEL (GW), well-graded to sandy GRAVEL (GP), poorly-graded, fine to coarse gravel, fine to coarse sand, subangular gravel (Qva)				Soil description partially inferred from drill action and cuttings
TER.GDT) O.KGINTAPROJECTI	- - - -						- · ·				
WATER.GLB-BRIGHTWA -200	- 50— -						- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Jano2BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHTV	- - 55 -										
Rev. 3 {Ver.1.1.	60- C D	. ₩1									

Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 3 of 16

ſ				SAMPL	ES						Ĵ,	
	Elevation, feet	09 Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	o Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-485	- - - 65 -	, 3	(100+)	U							Sand and gravel in circulation mud
2/4/04	-480	- - - 70 - -						 				
JECTS\BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ	-475	- 75- - -						-				
HTWATER.GDT) O:\GINT\PRO	-470	80	4	60/5" (100+)	0							Sand and gravel in circulation mud
ER-BRIGHTWATER GLB-BRIG	-465	- 85										
ev. 3 { Ver.1.1 Jan02BRIGHTWA	-460 -455	90										
ř	_(SD	M									·

Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 4 of 16

ſ				SAMPL	ES						ĴĴ)	
	Elevation, feet	56 Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-450	- - - 100- - -	5	100/3" (100+)	0							Sample collected from cuttings of circulation mud (crushed gravel)
	-445	- 105-					SP-	Very dense, brown yellow, wet, slightly silty,				Hard drilling in gravel and cobbles Drilling smooth
TS\BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ 2/4/04	-440	- - - 110 - -					SM	gravelly SAND (SP-SM), poorly-graded fine to medium sand, fine subangular gravel (Qva)				Soil description inferred from drill action and cuttings
ATER.GDT O:\GINT\PROJEC	-435	- - 115 -						-				
GHTWATER.GLB-BRIGHTW	-430	- 120 -	6	50/5" (100+)	50							
1.1 Jan02BRIGHTWATER-BRI	-425	- 125 -										
Rev. 3 { Ver.1	-420	- 130 2-D	 M -									

Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 5 of 16

				SAMPL	ES						sf)	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet 	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-415						Ś	GW	Sandy GRAVEL (GW), well-graded to sandy				Soil description inferred
-410	- - 140 -		В	50/4" (100+)	0			GRAVEL (GP), poorly-graded, fine to coarse gravel, fine to coarse sand, subangular gravel (Qva)				from drill action and cuttings
BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ	- 145											
R.GDTJ O:/GINT/PROJECTS/	- - 150 -											
WATER.GLB-BRIGHTWATER	- 155											
INO2BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHT 	- - 160 -		9	50/5" (100+)	0	9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.9.						х.
Rev. 3 {Ver.1.1 Js	165– 20	M										

Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 6 of 16

ſ											Ģ	
	revanori, feet	191 Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
		-										
	380	170-						•				
	375	- - 175-					 SP-	- 				Soil description inferred
19.GPJ 2/4/04		-					SM	gravelly SAND (SP-SM), poorly-graded fine to coarse sand, fine subangular gravel (Qva)				from drill action and cuttings
S\BRIGHTWATER P	370	180	10	50 - 50/1" (100+)	67			 		- 		Switch from mud rotary to wire-line at 180 feet; no core recovery. Switch to Mud Rotary from 185 to 229 feet bos
	365	- 185						 				
RIGHTWATER.GDT	260	-										
GHTWATER.GLB-B		190-										
BRIGHTWATER-BRI	355	- 195 -										
3 { Ver.1.1 Jan02t	350	-										
Rev.	- 6		M		•	neer telefel			ı . IJI			

Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 7 of 16

ſ			SAMPLES						sf)			
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-345		_] 11	30/4 (100+)	67			Transitions fine to medium sand, dropstones of coarse gravel		BG		
PJ 2/4/04	-340	- 210 -						 				
IGHTWATER P19.G	-335	- 215						- · ·				
R.GDT} O:\GINT\PROJECTS\BRI	-330	- - 220 - -	12	50/4" (100+)	50		SP	Very dense, olive gray, moist, SAND (SP), trace silt, trace gravel, poorly-graded fine to medium sand, homogeneous (Qva)				
GHTWATER.GLB-BRIGHTWATE	-325	- 225- - -	1 3	75/5" (100+)	50			Transitions - no gravel				
{ Ver.1.1 Jan02BRIGHTWATER-BRI	-320	- 230 - - -	14 15 ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	20 - 50/2" (100+)	67 100 94							Switch to wire-line drilling method
Rev. 3	-315 — (235- 20	П М -									

Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 8 of 16

ſ				SAMPL	ES						(j;	
: i	Elevation, feet	c Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
			9 . 17		108		ML	Very stiff, olive gray to gray blue, moist, slightly sandy, slightly clayey SILT (ML), medium plasticity, homogeneous (Qpfn!) Occasional organics		BG		
	310	240	18		50			Transitions hard, gray, clayey silt, low plasticity - - -			4	
	305	245	1 9		108			Transitions stiff, wet, trace clay	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MP	2.6	
.GPJ 2/4/04		-	20		90		SM	Dense, gray to gray green, wet, silty SAND (SM), _ fine sand, homogeneous, occasional organics (Qpfnf) -	- / ^ / - / ^ / - / ^ / - / ^ / - / ^ /			
UECTS/BRIGHTWATER P19	300	- 250 - -	21		98			Slightly silty - -				
TWATER.GDT} O:\G NT\PRC	295	- 255 - -	22		96							
BRIGHTWATER.GLB-BRIGH	290	- 260- - - -	23		70		ML	- Very stiff to hard, gray, moist SILT (ML), low plasticity, homogeneous (Qpfnl) -				
er.1.1 Jan02BRIGHTWATER-I	285	- 265 - -	24 25 25		70 120		CL	Very stiff, gray, moist, silty CLAY (CL), medium plasticity, homogeneous (QpfnI) Very stiff, gray, moist, clayey SILT (ML), medium plasticity (QpfnI)		MP		
ev. 3 {/	280	270-	26		76			-				
ш	-((D	M -			19 Matrix Matrix Barrison						

Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 9 of 16

			SAMPL	ES						ŝf)	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-275		27		78		SP	Very dense, gray green, moist, SAND (SP), trace silt, poorly-graded fine sand, homogeneous, occasional organics (Qpfnf) - 3.5-inch very stiff, sandy, silty clay layer		n de la constante de la constan		
-270		<u>7</u>] 28		111			Wood fragments - - - - - - - - - - - - -		BG		
P19.GFJ 2/4/04	280	29		100			Transistions fine to medium sand				
-265	285 - -	30		104							
-260	290 - -	31		100	No.	SM	Dense, gray, wet, slightly silty SAND (SM) (Qpfnf) 12-inch silty clay layer				
255 - 255	- 295 - -	32		80			- 18-inch silty clay stratum			4.5	Slickensides
	- 300- -					SP	- - Very dense, gray, wet, SAND (SP), trace silt,				
245	305- CD	³³ 		91			poorly-graded fine to medium sand, occasional organics, homogeneous (Qpfnf)				

Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 10 of 16



Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 11 of 16

		SAMPLES								sf)	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	540						(Qpfnf)	$ \langle \rangle $			Gravel-size granite and sandstone
-205	- - - 345	41 ••• 42		2 67		SP- SM	Very dense, gray green, wet, slightly silty SAND (SP-SM), poorly-graded fine to medium sand,				344 to 345.5 feet bgs, sample appears to be slough
-200	350-	43		108			6-inch gravelly, fine to coarse sand layer				348 to 350.5 feet - organic odor
TWATER P19.GPJ 2/4/04	- - 355-	44		88		CL	Hard, gray green, moist, sandy CLAY (CL), low plasticity, oxidized, scattered organics, dropstones (fine gravel) (Qpfnl)		M AL BG		Slickensides dip
O:/GINT/PROJECTS/BRIGH	- - - 360	45		30			Grades to clayey silt				from horizontal
C.GLB-BRIGHTWATTER.GDT 	- - - 365-	46		82		GC	Very dense, gray, wet, clayey GRAVEL (GC), subrounded, in clayey matrix (Qpfnf)		м		Soil description inferred from drill action and cuttings
GHTWATER-BRIGHTWATEF	- - - 370-	47		o					SA		Drill action infers gravel
Rev. 3 {Ver.1.1 Jan02BRI	- 	48		0							

Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 12 of 16

			SAMPLES							if)	
Elevation,	Depth, feet 722	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-17		49 		20		SP- SM GW	Loose, gray green, wet, slightly silty SAND (SP-SM), trace gravel, poorly-graded fine sand, fine subrounded gravel, occasional organics, homogeneous (Qpfnf)		M SA		
-16	- 	50		43			Transitions wet, fine to medium gravel		M SA		
WATER P19.GPJ 2/4/04	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	51		78		ML	Stiff, gray green, wet, sandy SILT (ML), trace clay, low plasticity, homogeneous (Qpfnl)		М	3	
IGINTAPROJECTS/BRIGHT	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	52		100		SP- SM	Loose, gray green, wet, slightly silty SAND (SP-SM), poorly-graded fine to coarse sand, occasional organics, homogeneous (Qpfnf)				
BRIGHTWATER.GDT) O.		53 		60		GW	Loose, gray green, slightly silty, sandy GRAVEL		M SA		
FER-BRIGHTWATER.GLB-	400 - - -	54		10			well-graded fine to coarse gravel (Qpfnf)				
/er.1.1 Jan02BRIGHTWA1	-5 405- - -	8 55		100		SP	Medium dense, gray green, wet SAND (SP), trace silt, poorly-graded fine to medium, occasional organics, homogeneous (Qpfnf)				
Kev. 3	410- 410-	Ш М –				SM	_ Dense, gray onve, moist, silty SAND (SM), fine to _ medium sand, homogeneous (Qpfnf)				

Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 13 of 16

			SAMPL	.ES						sf)	
Elevation,	Depth,	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	410-	56		100		SP	Medium dense, gray green, wet SAND (SP), trace silt, poorly-graded fine to medium sand, occasional organics, homogeneous (Qpfnf)		BG		
-13	5 415- -	57		100			Gray olive, silty sand layer 				Organic odor
-13	0 420-			58			- Silty sand layer		-		
WATER P19.GPJ 2/4/0	5 425-				20.0 .0 .0	GW	Dense, gray olive, wet, sandy GRAVEL (GW), trace silt, well-graded fine to coarse sand and well-graded fine to coarse subrounded gravel				Hard drilling
		59		30			_ (Qpfnf) 				
	• 430 - -	58		0		SP- SM	Gray olive, wet, slightly silty SAND (SP-SM), poorly-graded fine to medium sand, occasional				Soil description inferred from drill action and cuttings
WATER.GLB-BRIGHTV	5 435–	6 0		82					M SA		Organic odor
an02BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHTV 	- - - - - - -	61		100			Sandy silt layer		BG		Organic odor
Rev. 3 {Ver.1.1 J	5 445-	62 62		96			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 14 of 16

ſ			SAMPLES						1		Û.		
	Elevation, feet	58 Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-100			63		96		ML	Trasitions gray green color Occasional organics Silty gravelly sand layer, occasional organics Hard, gray, moist, slightly clayey, sandy SILT (ML), trace fine gravel, low plasticity, occasional organics (Qpfnl)				
ļ	-95	- - 455		64		22			Transitions gravelly silt		MP		
RIGHTWATER P19.GPJ 2/4/04	-90	- - 460 -		65		62		SP	Dense, gray green, wet SAND (SP), trace silt, poorly-graded fine to medium sand (Qpfnf) 12-inch gravel layer (Qpfnf)				
DT) O:\GINT\PROJECTS\BF	-85	- - 465		66		46			12-inch gravel layer 				
VTER. GLB-BRIGHTWATER. GI	-80	- - 470		67		100			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Organic odor
Jano2BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHTW/	-75	- - 475 -		68		100		SP- SM	Medium dense, gray green, wet, slightly silty SAND (SP), poorly-graded fine to medium sand (Qpfnf)				Organic odor
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.1 J	-70	480-	₩ ₩	69		100					M SA		

Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 15 of 16

			SAMPL	ES						sf)	
Elevation, feet	88 Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (t	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-65	- - 485- -	70		70			-				Organic odor
-60	- - 490	71		100							
BRIGHT WATER P19.GPJ 2/	- - 495 -	71		100			Transitions gray olive, occasional organics				
601) 0:/01/PK07ECIS/	- 500	ø <u>.</u> 73		100							Organic odor when cutting core from 495 to 520 feet bgs
Алек 6 Гр 45 - 45	- - 505 -	74		100							
	- - 510— -	<u>75</u> 75		100			Silty sand layer		M SA		
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.1 Jan	- - 515→ CD	76 M —		100				/ ` / ` / ` / ` / ` / ` / ` / `			

Log of Boring E-107

Sheet 16 of 16

	1		_ ()						¢.	
Elevation, feet Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	77		100							
- 25 525 -	78		90		ML	Very stiff, gray, moist, sandy SILT (ML), _ occasional organics (QpfnI) 				
-20 530-	79		90							
-15 -15	80		60			 Clayey silt layer		MP		Loss of mud circulation
- 535 - - -	81		56	X	SP	Dense, gray, wet SAND (SP), poorly-graded fine to medium sand (Qpfnf)				
10 540						Wood fragments		M SA		
-5 545-	83		34							Organic odor
-0 550-						Terminated boring at 548 feet below ground _ surface				
-99										

Log of Boring E-108

Sheet 1 of 11

Date(s) Drilled	7/7/03 - 7/7/03	Geotechnical Consultant	Camp [Dresser & McKee	Inc. Logged By	SHE	Ξ	Checked By	RWS 2/03/04
Drilling Me	thod/Rig Type Wireline/ Porta	a-drill	Drilling Contractor	Gregory Drilling	, Inc.		Total Depth of Borehole	346	.0 feet
Casing Size/Type	PQ (7"O.D.)		Hammer Weig	ght/Drop (lbs/in.)			Ground Surfa Elevation/Dat	ce 453 um 453	.1 feet / Metro
Location	1621 N. 205th St		Coordinates	N 287249	E 1270073		Elevation Sou	irce Sur	vey

				SAMPLI	ES						st)	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer	Junemanu Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (tr	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-450)									· · · · · · · · ·		0 to 8 ft bgs excavated with vacuum truck, not sampled
-448	5-					No.	SP	Wet, brown, gravelly SAND (SP), poorly graded		/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / /		
-44(10-	-							1 1 1 1 1	/ / / / / / / /		70% to 80% quartz, gravel 60% to 70% dark gray volcanics Soil description inferred from drill action and cuttings
-435	15-									· · · · · · · · ·		
-430	20-		1		0			 		· · · · · · · ·		
Gro	<u>25-</u> updwati] er Ol	hserv	ation Data	a.							Ground Surface
	(FT BGS)· 1	39.971		а. 37 8 (Н	iah)		Recovery values > 100 indicate sample expansion of	during	samplii	ng.	
VWF	ч (FT В	 GS):	55.5 (I	2000) TC		ייטי)		Pocket Penetrometer shown as 4.6 indicates uncon (penetrometer upper limit)	fined	compre	ssive s	trength > 4.5 tsf
VWF	•2 (FT B	ý GS):										
	<u> An</u>	RA					_,_,_,L,					
┶┗━━──(M										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Log of Boring E-108

Sheet 2 of 11

ſ			SAMPLES										Ę.	
	Elevation, feet	57 Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer	Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-425						CL	Soft, yellow brown, moist CLAY (CL), occasional fine organics, wire and other debris (af)					Drill on something metallic at 27 ft bgs	
	-420	- - - 35											Soil description inferred from drill action and cuttings	
WATER P19.GPJ 2/4/04	-415			2		0			-				Drilled out to 36 ft bgs Driller reports soft material consistent with soft clay	
O:\GINT\PROJECTS\BRIGHT	-410	- 45						SP- SM	Medium dense, dark brown, moist, slightly silty to silty, SAND (SP-SM), trace fine gravel, poorly-graded sand (Qal/Qvrf)		1111111		- - -	Soil description inferred
GLB-BRIGHTWATER.GDT	-405	- - - 50-		3		0					111111			Driller report: sand beds
GHTWATER-BRIGHTWATEF	-400										111111			
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.1 Jan02BRIC	-395	- - - 60 -		4		0					1 1 1 1 1			Driller report: "loose" sand and gravel
Log of Boring E-108

Sheet 3 of 11

				SAMPL	ES						sf)	
Elevation, feet	D epth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (t	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-390								- · · ·				
-385	- - - 70-		5		0			- - - - -				Intermittant heavy rattling (gravel or dense sand) Soil sample fell out of core barrel
WATER P19.GPJ 2/4/0 2/8/0 2/8/0								- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
INTIPROJECTS/BRIGHT	-		6		0			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3HTWATER.GDT} 0:\G	-80 - - -											
RIGHTWATER.GLB-BRI	85 - -											
Jan02BRIGHTWATER-B.	- 90 - -		7		0							
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.1.	95- C-D		_									

Log of Boring E-108

Sheet 4 of 11



Log of Boring E-108

Sheet 5 of 11

			SAMPL	ES							sf)	
Elevation, feet	bepth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer	Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-320						CL	layered, sand layers at contact (Qpfnl)	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1			
	- 135— -						laminated (Qpfnl)	1111		MP		Scattered fractures with off-sets
-315	- - 140	12		72								
ER P19.GPJ 2/4/04								1 1 1 1 1				
0JECTS/BRIGHTWAT	145 - -			•	4	SM	Olive gray, wet, silty SAND (SM), laminated, occasional organics, micaceous (Qpfnf)	1 1 1 1				
ER.GDT} 0:/GINT/PR	- 150 -	13		78		 ML	Stiff, olive gray, wet, clayey SILT (ML), homogeneous (Qpfnl)	1 1 1 1 1				
ER.GLB-BRIGHTWATE	- - 155						Grades hard and moist	1 1 1 1 1			4.5	
WATER-BRIGHTWAT	- - -	14		86		CL.	Hard, olive gray, moist, silty CLAY (CL) (Qpfnl)					
er.1.1 Jano2BRIGHT	-						Frequent seams of lighter colored silt		1 1 1 1 1			
Rev. 3 {V	165- CD	- M					_ b-inch silty sand layer	,	-			

Log of Boring E-108

Sheet 6 of 11

					SAMPL	ES				1			Ĵ.	
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer	Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-285	-							6-inch wet, silty sand layer -		11111	MP		
		170	1	5		73	Ű.	SM	Dense, dark gray, moist, silty SAND (SM), occasional organics, homogeneous, scattered mica (Qpfnf)		11111			
	280	- 175-		-					- 18-inch silty clay stratum 		1 1 1 1 1			
ATER P19.GPJ 2/4/04	-275	- - - 180	1	6		70			- - - Laminated, silty clay and silt layers 177 to 178 ft bgs and 178.5 to 179 ft bgs		11111			
T/PROJECTS/BRIGHTW	-270	-		-					Hard, gray olive, moist, silty CLAY (CL), trace					Sheared zones 184 to 185
WATER.GDT} 0:\GIN	-265	185- - -						01	fine sand, frequent fine sand laminations (Qpfnl) - -			MP		ft bgs
TWATER.GLB-BRIGH1		- 190 -	1	7		50			 					
RIGHTWATER-BRIGH1	-260	- - 195-		-					- 1-foot yellow brown, silty gravel layer 		11111			Palesol
· 3 { Ver.1.1 Jan02B	-255	200-	1	8		10			- - -		1 1 1 1			
Rev	-0	XD.	M		and the second second second									

Log of Boring E-108

Sheet 7 of 11

			SAMPL	.ES						(j.	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-250	200						Grades yellow brown and gray mottled, gravel layers, occasional organics			2.5	Blocky, fractured, slickensides 203 to 212 ft bgs
-245	- - 210 - -	19		25		SM	Dense, gray olive, moist to wet silty SAND (SM), fine sand, scattered gravel layers, clay coatings			2.5	Disturbed bedding,
-240	- 215- - -						_ on gravel, occasional organics (Qptmw) 6-inch clayey silt layer 				
-230	220	20		27			Grades wet, slightly silty				
-225	225- - - 230-	21		50		CL ML	Hard, mottled and streaked yellow red to gray green, dry to moist, silty CLAY (CL), trace gravel, Coarse sand (Qpfmw) Hard, dark olive gray, moist, clayey SILT (ML), medium plasticity, slow dilatancy, gravelly layers (Qpfmw)			1.5	Lithologies granitic (diorite) and volcanic
	- - 235- -	 					Mottled brown gray, gavelly		MAL		Brecciated texture 234 to 237 ft bgs

Log of Boring E-108

Sheet 8 of 11

Г					SAMPLI	ES]					ţ)	
Elevation,	feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer		Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-2	15	-		22		61		SM	Dense, dark gray, wet, silty SAND (SM), homogeneous, occasional organics (Qpfnf)		1111111			Slight organic odor
	:	240							Grades sandy silt layers	1 1 1	111	M AL	4.6	
-2	10 :	- 245							 				0.25	
ER P19.GPJ 2/4/04	05	-		23		88								
OJECTS\BRIGHTWAT	00	250- - - -						SP- SM	Grades fine to medium sand, numerous organics, Dense, dark gray, wet, slightly silty SAND (SP-SM), poorly-graded fine sand, homogeneous (Qpogf)			M SA HA		
R. GDT) O. GINTAPR	:	- 255 -		24		66		CL	Hard, silty, gravelly CLAY (CL) (Qpogd)			MP		
ATER.GLB-BRIGHTWATE	95	- 260- -						SP	poorly-graded fine to medium sand, homogeneous, occasional organics (Qpogf)			M SA		
In02BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHTW	90	- - 265 -		25		73		SP	Dense, dark gray, wet SAND (SP), trace silt, poorly graded fine sand (Qpogf)					
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.1 Ja	85 - C	270										MP	*****	

Log of Boring E-108

Sheet 9 of 11

				SAMPLI	ES						(Je	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-180	275						CL	Occasional organics, stems Hard, dark olive gray, moist, gravelly, silty CLAY (CL), trace fine sand (Qpogd)			4.5	Fractures, slickensides
-175	- - 280		26		50			- - - - -				
011 120 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2	- 285— - -		27		56			18-inch dense, wet, fine to coarse sand layer, occasional organics 				
1001 0.1GINTIPRO	- 290— - -		28		84		СН	Hard, dark gray, moist, slightly silty CLAY (CH), high plasticity, scattered gravel and cobbles (Qpogm) 3-inch brown, organic silt layer		MP	3	Sheared zones observed several layers, with slickensides, scattered planar fractures with slickensides
ATER.GLB-BRIGH	- 295— -							- Slightly silty, clay from 296 to 300 ft bgs				Cobble Brecciated texture 296 to 304 ft bas
1 Jan02BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHTW	- 300- -		29		100			Scattered fine gravel dropstones				
Rev. 3 {Ver.1	305- -	 						 	/ ` / ` - / ` / ` _ / ` / `			

Log of Boring E-108

Sheet 10 of 11

ſ			SAMPL	ES			annen 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10			÷	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-						Grades trace fine gravel				Hydrogen sulfide odor
-145	- - 310-					SP	Abundant clam shells Dense, dark gray, wet, SAND (SP), poorly-graded fine sand, homogeneous, shell fragments (Qpogm)		MP		
-140	- - 1	30		100		ML	Hard, olive gray, moist, very gravelly, sandy SILT (ML), trace clay, low to medium plasticity, matrix supported (Qpogd)				
	- 315–						- 	/ ` / ` - / ` / ` - / ` / `			
19.GPJ 2/4/04	-					ML	dilatancy - _ Hard, olive gray, moist clayey SILT (ML) (Qpogl)	- / ` / ` - / ` / ` - / ` / `			
RIGHTWATER P1	- 320— -	31		77			- Gravelly bed -	- / ` / ` _ / ` / ` _ / ` / ` - / ` / `			
	- 1						-				
R.GDT 0.(GIN	325- - -					SP	Dense, olive gray, wet SAND (SP), trace silt, poorly-graded fine to medium sand, homogeneous (Qpogf)			4	
	- - 330-					ML	homogeneous (Qpogi)	- , ` , ` , ` , ` , ` - , ` , `			
GHTWATER.GL	-	32		83			Sand layer	, \ , \ , \ , \ , \ , \ , \ , \			
CHTWATER-BRI	335-										
er.1.1 Jano2BRIG	-						- 2-foot sand stratum	- / ` / ` - / ` / ` - / ` / ` - / ` / `			
Rev. 3 { //	340-	∭ M —									

Log of Boring E-108

Sheet 11 of 11

ſ				SAMPLES							sf)	
	Elevation, feet	Feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (t	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
		-	33		66			Grading trace sand and fine gravel		MP		
-	-110	- - 345-						- · ·	/ ` / ` / ` / ` / ` / `			
		-	11					Terminated boring at 346 feet below ground				
	105	-										
1	105	_						-	-			
		350							-			
1/04		-						-	-			
PJ 2/4	-100	-										
R P19.G	100	-						-	-			
WATEF		355							-			
RIGHT		-					· ·	-	1			
ECTS\E	-95											
LOAL	00							-	-			
0:\GIN		360							-			
GDT)		-						-	-			
VATER.	-90	-						- -				-
RIGHTV		_						-	-			
GLB-BI		365-							-			
VATER.		-						-				
RIGHTV	-85	-						-	-			
ATER-B		_						-	-			
GHTW		370-					· ·					
n02BRI								-]			
r.1.1 Jai	-80	-						· · · · · ·	-			
3 { Ver		-							-			
Rev.	6	375-		I	I	I	I	L -	J	1	I	I
L	- (JJA	IVI —									

Log of Boring E-109

Sheet 1 of 8

Date(s) Drilled	7/29/03 - 7/30/03	Geotechnical Consultant	Camp	Dresser & McKee	Inc. Logged By	RW		Checkeo By	ⁱ VJP 02-03-04
Drilling Me	ethod/Rig Type Wireline/ T3		Drilling Contractor	Cascade Drillin	g, Inc.		Total Depth of Borehole	260	0.0 feet
Casing Size/Type	PQ (7"O.D.)		Hammer We	ight/Drop (lbs/in.)	N/A		Ground Surfa Elevation/Dat	ce 399 um 399	5.0 feet / Metro
Location	NE 205th St and 1st Ave		Coordinates	N 287168	E 1272288		Elevation Sou	irce Su	rvey

					SAMPLE	<u>-S</u>						E.	
	56 Elevation, 665	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-390	- - - 5-											0 to 6 feet excavated with vacuum truck, not sampled
	-385	- - -		1		38		GP- GM	Medium dense, brown, moist to wet, slightly silty, sandy GRAVEL (GP-GM), poorly-graded fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse subangular to subrounded gravel (af)				
יווא וו- ארטיבט ו מיםעומם י איז	200			2		15		GΜ	Loose, gray, wet, silty, sandy GRAVEL (GM), fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse subangular to subrounded gravel (af)				
	-380	15— - -		2		15	0.000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0	SM	Hard, brown yellow to yellow red, gravelly, silty SAND (SM), scatered cobbles (Qpfnf)				
A I EK-BRIGH I VVA I EA. GLU-I	-375	20		_				SW	Loose, brown, wet, gravelly, SAND (SW), well-graded fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse subangular gravel (Qpfnf) -				
	Group	<u>25</u>		Foru	ation Date		(SN:SWE		Pomarka: Nagativa Orgunduator Data indicator			 	
	Jiour	uwale	, on	301 1	ation Data	а.			Recovery values > 100 indicate sample expansion	easurem during sa	ents a amplin	uove (a.	sround Sufface
	OW (F	T BGS)	: 13	80.6 (l	.ow) 11	5.0 (H	igh)		Pocket Penetrometer shown as 4.6 indicates unco	nfined co	mpres	sive s	trength > 4.5 tsf
	VWP1	(FT BG	S):						(penetrometer upper limit).				
	VWP2	(FT BG	S):					676 Billion and					
ΣĽ	-0	BD	M										

Log of Boring E-109

Sheet 2 of 8

				SAMPL	ES	_					sf)	
Elevation, feet	57 Depth, │ feet	Type Mumber		Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-365	- - - - 30- - -	J			23		ML	Hard, brown yellow, moist, sandy SILT (ML), low plasticity (QpfnI) Grades dark gray, clayey, low to medium plasticity, laminated				
-360 -360	- 35 -	4			85			- · ·				
	- 40 - -	-						Soft to medium stiff, wet				
10011 0:0011 0:0011 0:0011 0:0011	- 45 - -	5			45		SM	Medium dense, dark gray, wet, silty to slightly silty, SAND (SM), fine sand, occasional organics (Qpfnf)				
	- 50 - -											
340	- 55 - - -	6			45		 ML	Dark brown, numerous organics Hard, dark brown to olive gray, moist, sandy SILT (ML), 0.5-foot layer of peat (QpfnI)				Paleosol
Kev.	60-1 CD	M M	1					I ransitions gray, wet, rapid dilatancy, no organics _				

Log of Boring E-109

Sheet 3 of 8

ſ					SAMPL	ES				Г	Τ	Ģ	
	55 Elevation, feet	9 Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	·330	- - - 65- - -		7		20		 ML	Hard, gray, moist, sandy SILT (ML), fine sand,				
_	325	- 70							_ rapio dilatancy (upogi) 				Blocky structure, scattered slickensides
ECTS/BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ 2/4/04	320			8		20			• • • • •				
ER.GDT) O:\GINT\PROJ	315	- 80 - -							 				
NATER.GLB-BRIGHTWATI	310	- 85- -		9		60			- 			4	Scattered gravel dropstones
2BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHTV I	305	- - 90- -						GM	Medium dense to dense, dark gray, moist to wet,			4.6	
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.1 Jan02		95							_ slightly sandy, silty GRAVEL (GM), fine to coarse subrounded gravel (Qpogd) - - -				

Log of Boring E-109

Sheet 4 of 8

ſ				SAMPL	ES						sf)	
	5 Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (t	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-295				15		SW	Medium dense, dark gray, wet, gravelly SAND (SW), well graded sand (Qpogf)				
	-290	- - 105	11		0							
19.GPJ 2/4/04		· -	12		0							
TS\BRIGHTWATER P	-285	- 110- -					GM	 Dense, gray, wet, sandy, silty GRAVEL (GM),				
R.GDT) O:\GINT\PROJEC	-280	- 115— -	13		24			_ fine to coarse subround gravel (Qpogf) 				
R.GLB-BRIGHTWATE	-275	- - 120—					SM	Medium dense, dark gray, wet, silty SAND (SM), fine sand (Qpogf) Grades dense, moist, slightly gravelly Dense, dark gray, moist, silty, sandy GRAVEL				
Jan02BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHTWATEF	-270	- - 125- - -	14		30		GIVI	(GM) (Qpogd)				
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.1	-0	- 130-	 M									

Log of Boring E-109

Sheet 5 of 8

ſ				SAMPL	ES						¢.	
	Elevation, feet	Lepth, 130 Depth,	Type Number	Blows / 6 in (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	260	- - 135- - -	15		15			-			2.5	
4	255	- 140					 ML	Hard, dark gray, moist, sandy SILT (ML), nonplastic to low plasticity, fequent shell fragments (Doogm)			4	Scattered gravel dropstones
T/PROJECTS/BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ 2/4/0	250	- - 145 - - -	16		35					×		
IGHIWAIEK.GUI} UNGIN	245	150									4.6	
RIGHTWATER.GLB-BR	240	155 - -	17		25							
3 { Ver.1.1 Jan02BKIGH1WA1EK-B	235	- 160 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 						Grades wet, slow to rapid dilatancy			4.6	
Re	- (YD	М-				2011 - 10 J. 10 .					

Log of Boring E-109

Sheet 6 of 8

ſ				SAMPL	.ES						j) j	
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-230	165	18		53			Grades slightly gravel				High angle fracture at 70 degrees
	-225	- - 170					CL	Hard, dark gray, moist, silty CLAY (CL), low plasticity (Qpogl)				Scattered slickensides 30 to 40 degrees
	-220	- - 175	19		50			Grades trace fine to coarse sand and fine gravel				
NATER P19.GPJ 2/4/04	-215	- - 180-					ML GM	Hard, dark gray, moist, sandy SILT (ML), low plasticity (Qpogl) Dense, dark gray, moist, sandy, silty GRAVEL (GM), fine to coarse subround gravel (Qpogt)				
O:\GINT\PROJECTS\BRIGHT\	-210	- - 185-	20		30		ML	Hard, dark gray, moist, slightly clayey SILT (ML), trace sand, low plasticity (Qpogi)		M SA		
ER.GLB-BRIGHTWATER.GDT}	-205	- - 190					СН	Hard, dark gray, moist, silty CLAY (CH), medium to high plasticity (Qpogl)				
102BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHTWAT	-200	- - 195— -	21		78			- · · ·		M AL	4.6	Dropstones 195.5 to 196 ft bgs, vertical planar fracture
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.1 Jar		200- 200-	 -								4.6	

Log of Boring E-109

Sheet 7 of 8

Image: Property of the second secon	ſ				SAMPL	ES						()	
190 205 22 15 -190 205 22 15 -191 210 - -192 210 - -193 210 - -194 - -195 210 -195 210 -196 215 -197 225 -198 216 -198 216 -198 216 -198 216 -198 216 -198 216 -198 216 -198 216 </th <th></th> <th>Elevation, feet</th> <th>00 Depth, feet</th> <th>Type Number</th> <th>Blows / 6 in. (N)</th> <th>Recovery, %</th> <th>Graphic Log</th> <th>nscs</th> <th>MATERIAL DESCRIPTION</th> <th>Piezometer Schematic</th> <th>Lab Tests</th> <th>Pocket Penetrometer (ts</th> <th>REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS</th>		Elevation, feet	00 Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
185 210 -	-	-190	- - - 205- -	22		15			Grades soft to medium stiff, wet, sandy, clayey		M AL		
$ \frac{1}{10} $ $ $	2/4/04	-185	- 210- -					GM	silt, medium plasticity Dense, dark gray, moist, silty, sandy GRAVEL (GM) (Qpogd) Grades fine to coarse subrounded gravel, occasional cobbles				
	JECTS\BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ	-180	- 215 - -	23		10			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	BHTWATER.GDT} 0:\GINT\PRO.	-175	- 220 - -	24		4	0,00,00,00,00,00,00 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 0,						
	RIGHTWATER.GLB-BRIG	-170	225	25		50	0.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.0						
	{ Ver.1.1 Jan02BRIGHTWATER-B	-165	- 230 - - -										
	Rev. 3	_/	235	॥ ₩1 —					L .				

Log of Boring E-109

Sheet 8 of 8

ſ				SAMPL	ES	_					sf)	
	Flevation,	52 Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (t	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-155	233 - - 240 - -	26		5						2	
GPJ 2/4/04	-150	- 245 - -	27		35		SP- SM					Steel liners in core barrel
ROJECTS/BRIGHTWATER P19.	-145	- 250 - -					CL	Hard, dark gray, moist, silty CLAY (CL), trace sand, medium plasticity, homogeneous (Qpogl) 				Scattered fome gravel dropstones 253 to 260 ft bgs, high angle fractures 50 to 70
RIGHTWATER.GDT} 0:\GINT\P	-140	255 - - -	28		100							degrees
(-BRIGHTWATER.GLB-B	-135	260 - -						Terminated boring at 260 feet below ground surface	-			
Ver.1.1 Jan02BRIGHTWATEF	-130	- 265 - -										
Rev. 3 ()	-(- 270] M -					L]			

Log of Boring E-110

Sheet 1 of 13

Date(s) Drilled	3/28/03 - 4/4/03	Geotechnical Consultant	Camp	Dresser & McKee	Inc. Logged By	RW		Checked By	VJP 02-03-04
Drilling Me	ethod/Rig Type Wireline/ T3		Drilling Contractor	Cascade Drillin	g, Inc.		Total Depth of Borehole	438	.0 feet
Casing Size/Type	PQ (7"O.D.)		Hammer We	ight/Drop (Ibs/in.)	300# / 30''		Ground Surfa Elevation/Dat	ce 444 um 444	.7 feet / Metro
Location	603 NE 204th St		Coordinates	N 286964	E 1274158		Elevation Sou	irce Sur	vey

					SAMPLE	ES						¢	
i	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	440	5-							- · · ·				Vacuum out to 5.9 ft bgs, not sampled. Drive 7-inch casing to 8 feet.
5H1WA1ER P19.GPJ 2/4/04	435	- - - 10						SM	Very dense, brown, moist, silty, gravelly SAND (SM), poorly-grade coarse sand, poorly-graded fine to coarse angular gravel (Qvt)				Soil description partially inferred from drill action and cuttings
GDT) 0:/GIN1/PROJECTS/BRIG	430	- - 15							- · · ·				
(-BRIGHTWATER.GLB-BRIGHTWATER.	425	- - 20 - -		1	23 - 50/3" (100+)	100			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
I WA I ER	420	25											
2BKIGH	Groun	dwate	r Obs	serv	ation Data	a:			Remarks: Negative Groundwater Data indicates me	asurem	ents a	bove (Ground Surface
.i Januz	OW (F	T BGS)	: 109	9.4 (Low) 98	3.4 (Hi	gh)		Pocket Penetrometer shown as 4.6 indicates uncor	fined co	mpres	y. sive s	trength > 4.5 tsf
{ Ver.1.	/WP1	(FT BG	iS)144	4.8 (∽ 4 ∕	Low) 14	13.4 (⊢	ligh)		(penetrometer upper limit).				
е . З	/ ٧٧₽2	(FIBG	5):17(J.T (LOW) 16	67.6 (H	ligh)	L					
ř	-(۶D	M										

Log of Boring E-110

Sheet 2 of 13

ſ					SAMPL	ES						sf)	
	Elevation, feet	52 Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (t	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-415												Soil description partially
J 2/4/04	-410	- 35 -						GW- GM	Very dense, brown, moist, slightly silty, sandy GRAVEL (GW-GM), well-graded fine to coarse sand, well-graded fine to coarse angular gravel (Qvtm)				inferred from drill action and cuttings Drillers note hard drilling
ECTS\BRIGHTWATER P19.GP.	-405	- 40 - -		2	28 - 50/2" (100+)	63		SM	Silty, gravelly SAND (SM), fine to coarse sand, fine angular gravel (Qvtm)		and a second		Soil description partially inferred from drill action and
IWATER.GDT} O:\GINT\PROJ	-400	45							- · · · ·		And a construction of the second s		cuttings
IGHTWATER. GLB-BRIGH	-395	50- - -											
.1 Jan02BRIGHTWATER-BR	-390	- 55 -			40 50 (57								Irregular drilling resistance suggest gravel and cobbles
Rev 3 { Ver.1	-385 — (60- 	M	3	48 - 50/5" (100+)	44		SP	Very dense, brown, moist,SAND (SP), trace silt, _ poorly-graded fine to medium sand (Qva) 		BG		

Log of Boring E-110

Sheet 3 of 13



Log of Boring E-110

Sheet 4 of 13

ſ					SAMPLE	ES						sf)	
Elevetion	feet	5 Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	345	- - - 100- - -		6 5	26 - 50/5" (100+)	91 35			Transitions to gravelly, gravel and cobbles in clayey silt matrix, subrounded				0 to 98' mud-rotary, switch to wire-line at 98', drilling from 95'
2/4/04	340	- - 105 -						SM ML	Very dense, dark gray, moist, silty to very silty SAND (SM), trace gravel, scattered organics (Qpfnf) Very stiff, dark gray SILT (ML), nonplastic, numerous organics (Qpfnl)				
\BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ	335	- - 110- -		7		76		OL ML	Hard, brown, moist Organic SILT (OL) (Qpfnw)		AD MP		Conventional radiocarbon date >47,930 yrs B.P.
GDT) O:\GINT\PROJECTS	330	- 115	.			-		ML	Very stiff, olive gray, wet SILT (ML), rapid dilatancy, occasional organics, homogeneous (QpfnI) 				
VATER.GLB-BRIGHTWATER.	325	- 120 -		8		94			Medium stiff SILT at 118 ft bgs				
02BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHTV	320	125-							Transitions to stiff, moist to wet				
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.1 Jan	315 — (130											

Log of Boring E-110

Sheet 5 of 13

			SAMPL	ES	_				ĺ	î)	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-310	- - 135-	3				CL	Stiff, gray green, moist, silty CLAY (CL), medium plasticity, slickensides (Qpogl)		MP		
-305	- - 140	10 •••		100			Transitions to gray Transitions to very stiff, gray green to green, silty Clay				
00000000000000000000000000000000000000	- - 145 -	en,					Transitions to gray, sandy, silty clay				
	- 150- -	11		70							
	- 155 -						gravelly SAND (SM), fine to coarse sand, fine to coarse subrounded gravel, occasional organics (Qpogtm) Transitions to slightly silty and gravelly sand				
1 Jan02BKIGH1WA1EK-BKIG	- - 160 - -	12		30	0.000000000	GW	Very dense, gray green, moist GRAVEL (GW), well-graded fine to coarse, subrounded gravel, layers of gravelly sand (Qpogf)				
-1.3 {Ver.1. -280	- 165 CD	 M			800	GM	Very dense, gray green, moist, silty, sandy GRAVEL (GM), fine to coarse sand, fine to				

Log of Boring E-110

Sheet 6 of 13

ſ				SAMPL	.ES						sf)	
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-	-275	100	12		50			coarse gravel, subrounded (Qpogtm)				
		-	13		50		SM	Very dense, gray green to olive gray, moist, slightly silty, gravelly SAND (SM), fine to coase sand, fine to coarse subrounded gravel, strong cementation (Qpogtm)				
19.GPJ 2/4/04	-270	175— - -					GW	Dense, gray green, moist GRAVEL (GW),well-graded fine to coarse, subrounded gravel (Qpogf)				
ECTS\BRIGHTWATER P1	-265	- 180	14		33			Hard, olive gray, moist, gravelly, sandy SILT (ML), nonplastic stratum				
ATER.GDT} O:\GINT\PROJ	-260							 				
IGHTWATER.GLB-BRIGHTW	-255	- 190— -						Stratum of very stiff to hard, gray, moist, slightly clayey, slightly sandy silt, low plasticity, occasional organics, homogeneous, slow to rapid dilitancy Transitions to gravel and cobbles, subrounded				
1.1 Jan02BRIGHTWATER-BR	-250	- 195 - -	16		6							
Rev. 3 { Vei	-245 (- 200 2 D	 Mi -					-				

Log of Boring E-110

Sheet 7 of 13

				SAMPL	ES						ຣf)	
	Elevation, feet	5 Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	240		17		67		ML	Hard, dark gray, dry to moist, gravelly, sandy SILT (ML), medium plasticity, homogeneous (Qpogi) 				Sand-filled high angle fractures
	-240	205— - - -	18 •••		111			Increasing clay, decreasing sand and gravel				209 to 216 5 ft bas
P19.GPJ 2/4/04	-235	210										slickensides
ROJECTS\BRIGHTWATER	-230	215 - -	19 		93		SM	Dense, dark gray, wet, silty SAND (SM), rapid dilatancy (Qpogf)		M AL M		
WATER.GDT} 0:\GINT\PI	-225	- 220- - -	20		93		ML	Hard, dark gray, dry to moist, slightly clayey, sandy SILT (ML), low plasticity, homogeneous (Qpogl)		U.Y.		Slickensides at 45 degree angle
IGHTWATER.GLB-BRIGHT	-220	- 225 - -					CL	 Very stiff, dark gray, moist, slightly silty CLAY (CL), low to medium plasticity, dropstones, slickensided, homogeneous (Qpogl) 				225 to 230 ft bgs, scattered slickensides
1.1 Jan02BRIGHTWATER-BR	-215	- 230— - -	21		100		СН	Very stiff, dark gray, moist, slightly sandy CLAY _ (CH), high plasticity (Qpogl) 		M AL		230 to 240 ft bgs, slickensides, shearing, fracturing
Rev. 3 { Ver.	-210 (235	 M −									

Log of Boring E-110

Sheet 8 of 13

			SAMPL	ES						sf)	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-205		22		115		CL	Very stiff, dark gray, moist slightly sandy CLAY _ (CL), medium plasticity (Qpogl) _		M AL	:	
-200									M AL SA HA	4.6	240 to 259 ft bgs, scattered slickensides, high angle silt-filled fractures
_106	245 - - -	23		100					М		
195	250- - - -						Decrease in clay content		М		
	255— - - -	24		106					м		
-185	260 - -	25		83		SM	Very dense, gray, dry to moist, very gravelly, silty, clayey SAND (SM), fine to coarse sand, fine subrounded gravel (Qpogd)		M DD UC M SA M SA		Sand-filled fracture at 20 degree angle
-180	265 - -	26		100							Scattered slickensides, high angle 70 degrees, block structure
	270–	 M				CL	Hard, dark gray, moist, silty CLAY (CL), low to medium plasticity, scattered partings of fine sand				

Log of Boring E-110

Sheet 9 of 13

ſ				SAMPL	ES	-					ŝf)	
ĩ	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	170		27		90		SM	Very dense, gray, moist, very gravelly, very silty SAND (SM), trace gravel, subrounded to rounded (Qpogd)				
4	165	- 280 -	15		1		CL	Hard, dark gray, moist, slightly sandy, silty CLAY (CL), low to medium plasticity, slickensided, homogeneous (Qpogm)				Scattered slickensides 20 to 40 degrees
BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ 2/4/0- I	160	- - 285	29		102			-				
ER.GDT) O:\GINT\PROJECTS\E	155	- - 290 -	30		100			-		M AL		Bedding dip from horizontal approximately 25 degrees
rwater.glb-brightwati	150	- 295— -										
1.1 Jan02BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHI	145	300-	31		100			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Rev. 3 { Ver.	140 — (305- D	 M -									· · ·

Log of Boring E-110

Sheet 10 of 13

ſ											sf)		
Elevetion	feet	−505 1 1 1 1 202 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (t	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	135	- - - 310-							- · · ·				Horizontal breaks along fine sand seam
/4/04 [130	- - 315		32		100					М		
BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ 2/ [125	- - 320 -		23		100			Interbeds of olive gray and gray green, fine sand from 317 to 320 ft bgs, occasional organics		M MP		Paleosol
GDT) O:\GINT/PROJECTS\	120	- - 325		55					Shell fragments at 322 ft bgs - - - -		M PA		Strong HCL reaction at 322 ft bgs Organic odor
HTWATER.GLB-BRIGHTWATER	115	- 330 -		34		89			- - 1-foot layer of sandy clay occasionall organics and shell fragments		MP		
Jan02BRIGHTWATER-BRIGH	110	- - 335 -		×					Dropstones (fine gravel)				Strong HCL reaction
3 { Ver.1.1	105	-						SP- SM	 very derise, dark gray, wet, slightly slity SAND (SP-SM), poorly-graded, occasional organics (Qpogf) 				Strong organic odor Sheared sand layer; sand
Rev.	-(340	M		1	1	E-SI-SD			J . I.	1	I 	

Log of Boring E-110

Sheet 11 of 13

			SAMPL	ES						¢.	
Elevation,	feet Depth, feet 300	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
11	- - - - - - - - -	35		92		ML	Transitions to moist, silty SAND Hard, dark gray, moist, clayey, sandy SILT (ML), low plasticity,scattered dropstones, slickensided (Qpogl)				Blocky structure, numerous slickensides, high angle 50 to 70 degrees (oer consolidated) by movement?
5PJ 2/4/04 	- - - - - -	36		70			Layers of fine sand			3 2.5	Sheared sand seam at 50 degrees, sand injection
TS\BRIGHTWATER P19.0	- 355 -					SM	Very dense, dark gray, moist, silty, SAND (SM), fine sand, scattered organics (Qpogf)				
	- 5 360- -	37		79		 ML	Hard, dark gray, moist to dry, clayey, slightly sandy SILT (ML), low to medium plasticity, dropstones, slickensided (QpogI)				358 to 359 ft bgs, numerous slickensides, high angle 60 to 70 degrees
rwater.glb-brightwate	- - 365 -					CL	Transitions to moist, fine sandy silt, medium plasticity, homogeneous Hard, dark gray, moist, sandy, silty CLAY (CL), trace gravel, medium plasticity, slickensided,			-	
.1 Jano2BRIGHTWATER-BRIGH	- - - - - -	38		98							
Rev. 3 { Ver.1) ₃₇₅ –	₩ ₩				ML	Hard, dark gray, moist, sandy SILT (ML), fine sand (Qpogi)		BG		

Log of Boring E-110

Sheet 12 of 13

		SAMPLES							ŝf)		
Elevation, feet	, feet 222	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-					CL	Hard, dark gray, sandy, silty CLAY (CL), trace gravel, medium plasticity, slickensided, homogeneous, occasional organics (Qpfnl)				
-65	380 - -	39		100			Very fine sand layer				
-60	- 385— -						- - - -				
(IGHTWATER P19.GPJ 2/4	- - 390						- - - -				
	- - 395 -	40		95			Layer of silty fine sand, trace gravel, occasional organics - -				
ATER.GLB-BRIGHTWATER.G	- - - 400- -						- - 	/ \			
	- - - 405	41 •••					- - - Sandy gravel layer -			4	High angle slickensides, near vertical
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.1 Jan(- - 410-	M -									

Log of Boring E-110

Sheet 13 of 13

ſ				SAMPL	ES						6	4-1
	Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts:	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
		-	42		50			Sandy gravel with partings and sand layers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	-30	- 415 -										
	-25	420	43		95			 - 				
TER P19.GPJ 2/4/04	20							-	- / ` / ` - / ` / ` - / ` / ` - / ` / ` - / ` / `			
ROJECTS/BRIGHTWA		420 - -						Transitions to hard sandy silty clay				
ER.GDT} 0:\GINT\PF	15	- 430- -	44		100							
TWATER.GLB-BRIGHTWAT	10	- 435 -	4 5		100					MP		Piece of wood, occasional mussel Shells and shell fragments
RIGH		-	Ш			¥///		Torrein stad by sing at 400 for these	/ / /			
ER-BI		_						- reminated boring at 438 feet bgs				
SHTWATE	5	440							-			
Ver.1.1 Jan02BRIG		-						-	-			
Rev. 3 {	0 6	445_]	M _									
_		66-0°										

Log of Boring E-211

Sheet 1 of 9

Date(s) Drilled	6/9/03 - 6/12/03	Geotechnical Consultant	Camp D	Presser & McKee	Inc. Logged By	SHE		Checkec By	RWS 2/02/04
Drilling Me	ethod/Rig Type Wireline/ Port	a-drill	Drilling Contractor	Gregory Drilling	g, Inc.		Total Depth of Borehole	280).0 feet
Casing Size/Type	PQ (7"O.D.)		Hammer Weig	ght/Drop (lbs/in.)	300# / 30''		Ground Surface Elevation/Date	ce 41:	3.4 feet / Metro
Location	20059 Ballinger Way NE		Coordinates	N 286325	E 1277100		Elevation Sou	rce Su	rvey

					SAMPLI	<u>=S</u>					1	Ģ	
Flowetion	feet	D epth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	uscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
		-											0 to 6 feet excavated with vacuum truck, not sampled
-	10	-								/ / / / / /			
		5											
		-						SM	Medium dense, brown, silty, slightly gravelly to gravelly SAND (SM), fine to medium (Qvrf)				Inferred from drill action and cuttings
	105	-											
		10-								, , , , , ,			
		-								1 1 1 1			
	100	-				-				1 1 1 1			Some grinding and bucking while drilling
		15-				-						- 	possible cobbles)
		-										-	
	95	-								1 1 1 1			
								SM	Very dense, yellow red, moist, silty, gravelly SAND (SM), non-plastic, fine to medium, gravel fine to coarse, subrounded (Qvt)	1 1 1 1			
		20-		1	2 - 30 - 50/5" (100+)	41							
		-											
	890	-											
		25								/ / /			
	roun	dwate		serv	ation Data	a:			Recovery values > 100 indicate sample expansion of	asurem during s	nents al amplin	bove (g.	Ground Surface
C	W (F	I BGS)	: 49	9.2 (Li	ow) 3().0 (Hię	gh)		Pocket Penetrometer shown as 4.6 indicates uncon	finedico	ompres	sive s	trength > 4.5 tsf
	WP1	(FT BG	S)66	6.1 (Li	ow) 6().5 (Hiợ	gh)		(penetrometer upper limit).				
	VVP2	(⊢T BG	S):	****							<u></u>		
	- C	XDX	M										

Log of Boring E-211

Sheet 2 of 9



Log of Boring E-211

Sheet 3 of 9

					SAMPL	ES						sf)	
	Elevation, feet	D epth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-350			3	18 - 28 - 40 (68)	89		SP	Very dense, gray olive and gray blue, moist SAND (SP), trace silt, gravel, yellow orange laminae, organic material along scattered bedding planes (Qpfnf)				2 feet slough, washing hole; slough cleaned, smooth, even sample, bedding inclined 5 to 10 degrees
	-345							CL- ML	Hard, dark brown, moist, clayey SILT CL-ML), numeroous disseminated organics, trace fine sand in scattered layers, slightly plastic, slow dilatancy, mottled brown and green (Qpfnl)				Drilling quiet at 67 ft bgs Driller reports interbedded sitty CLAY and SAND, 3-foot beds
WATER P19.GPJ 2/4/04	-340	- - - 75											
O:\GINT\PROJECTS\BRIGHT	-335	- - - 80		4	9 - 19 - 17	83			•				
ER.GLB-BRIGHTWATER.GDT}	-330	- - 85			(30)								
BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHTWATI	325	- - 90											
Rev. 3 { Ver.1.1 Jan021	-320	- 95-	M										

Log of Boring E-211

Sheet 4 of 9

			SAMPL	ES						. (Js	
Elevation, feet	56 Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	USCS	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-315	- - - - 100- - -	5	6 - 9 - 13 (22)	100		СН	Very stiff, olive gray, moist, silty CLAY (CL) high plasticity, homogeneous, scattered bedding plane partings (Qpfnl)			4.5	Smooth, even drive Sheared zone, top of core
-310 -305 -305	- - 105 - -						- · · ·				
:GINTIPROJECTSIBRIGHTWATER P	110 - - 115										
WTER.GLB-BRIGHTWATER.GDT) C	- - 120	6	7 - 13 - 19 (32)	89		ML	Hard, olive gray, wet, clayey SILT (ML), trace fine gravel, medium plasticity, slow dilatancy, occasional fine organic fragments (Qpfnl)				Smooth, even drive
1.1 Jano2BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHTV 	- 125 -										
Lev. 3 {Ver. 3 {Ver. 3 {Ver. 3 }	- 130 CD	M -									

Log of Boring E-211

Sheet 5 of 9

			SAMPL	ES						ŝf)	
Elevation, feet	Depth , feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-280	- - 135- -										
-275	- - - 140	盛 調 7 國	10 - 14 - 22 (36)	100							Even drive
RIGHTWATER P19.GPJ 2/4/	- - 145 -						- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
CONTRACTSIN	- - 150 -					SM	Very dense, olive gray, very silty, gravelly SAND (SM), cobbles, gravel rounded to subangular, sand fine grained, poorly graded, clayey slough (Qpogt)				Driller reported change at 150 ft bgs, drill chattering and bucking
	- 155 - -										
1.1 Jano2BRIGHTWATER-BRIG	- - 160 - -	8		13							
250 Ker 3 { /er	- 165–	 M									Drilled out - button bit, 164 to 170 ft bgs

Log of Boring E-211

Sheet 6 of 9

ſ				•	SAMPLE	ES						sf)	
	Elevation, feet	95 Depth, feet	Type	Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (t	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
	-245	- - - 170-							 				
2/4/04	-240	- - 175 -		9		17			Scattered gravel layers, approximately 6 inches to				Pressure meter test 175 to 180 ft bgs Slow drilling
DJECTS/BRIGHTWATER P19.GPJ	-235	- 180— -		 10		17			6-inch gravelly beds, with 6-inch sand/silt layers				
HTWATER.GDT) O.\GINT\PRC	-225	- 185 - -		11		54		~	Silt content decreases		M SA	4	
BRIGHTWATER.GLB-BRIG	-220	- 190 - -									M SA		Frequent slickensides and fractures, becciated texture
Pr.1.1 Jan02BRIGHTWATER-, 1	-215	- 195 - -		12		91			gravel and sand dropstones, medium plasticity, no dilatancy, laminae of light gray silt (Qpogl) 		М	4.6	
Rev. 3 { Ve	(- 200–	 								AL		
Project: King County WTD / Brightwater Conveyance System Project Location: King & Snohomish Counties, Washington Contract Number: E23007E

Log of Boring E-211

Sheet 7 of 9

			SAMPL	ES						Ģ	
Elevation, feet	- Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-210							High plasticity		SA HA		
205	- - 210 - -	13		41			Laminated silt layer from 210 to 211 ft bgs		M AL		
COJECTS/BRIGHTWATER P19.GF 	- 215 - -	14		70			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
ITWATER.GDT) O:\GINT\P 1 061	- 220 - -	15		33			Gravelly from 219 to 220 ft bgs				Rotary 220.5 to 225 ft bgs Drilled out to clean borehole for in situ test, drilling rocky at top, less gravel and cobbles toward 225 ft bgs
BRIGHTWATER.GLB-BRIGH 	- 225 - -						Scattered shiny black and small white grains (glass and ash?), volcanics and quartz			· ·	Difficult drilling - formation choking off circulation - mainly clay and silt, few gravel Pressure meter test 225 to 230 ft bgs
T.1.1 Jan02BRIGHTWATER-	- 230 - -						Gravelly from 232 to 234 ft bgs				
Rev. 3 {Ver	235–	 M					 				

Project: King County WTD / Brightwater Conveyance System Project Location: King & Snohomish Counties, Washington Contract Number: E23007E

Log of Boring E-211

Sheet 8 of 9

			SAMPL	ES						Ĵ,	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-175				03							
	240- - -						Slightly gravelly				
-170	245	17		62			Cobbles				
HTWATER P19.GPJ 2 	- 250—										Bedding inclined to 5 degrees
	- - 255 -	18		22							
ATER.GLB-BRIGHTWATEF	- - 260- -										Sheared and brecciated
02BRIGHTWATER-BRIGHTW	- - 265— -	19		72							
Rev. 3 {Ver.1.1 Jan 571	- 270	 M -					Cobbles				Pressure meter test 269 to 280 ft bgs

Project: King County WTD / Brightwater Conveyance System Project Location: King & Snohomish Counties, Washington Contract Number: E23007E

Log of Boring E-211

Sheet 9 of 9

			SAMPL	.ES			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ŝf)	
Elevation, feet	Depth, feet	Type Number	Blows / 6 in. (N)	Recovery, %	Graphic Log	nscs	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Piezometer Schematic	Lab Tests	Pocket Penetrometer (ts	REMARKS AND OTHER TESTS
-140	- - - 275 - -										
-135	- - 280—							/ / / / · / ` / `			
GHTWATER P19.GPJ 2/4/04	- - - 285						- surface				
R.GDT) ONGINTIPROJECTIS/BRI	- - 290 -							-			
HTWATER GLB-BRIGHTWATE	- - 295 - -										
1 Jano2BRIGHTWATER-BRIGI	- 300 -						- · · ·	- - - -			
Rev. 3 {Ver.1.	305-	 M -									





ſ				FIEL	D D	ATA									
	Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Interval Recovered (in)	Blows/foot	Collected Sample	<u>Sample Name</u> Testing	Water Level	Graphic Log	Group Classification	MATI DESCF	ERIAL RIPTION	Moisture Content (%)	Fines Content (%)	REMA	ARKS
-	29		5	50/5"		<u>15</u>			SM	Gray silty fine sand (ver	/ dense, wet)	21 /			
	Not	e: See	Figure	A-0 for	explai	nation of s	symb	pols.	Log	of Boring LLE-	B06 (continued)				
e:9/23/14									LOG	or Boring LLE-)		Set E (
Redmond: Dat	Ċ	ΞEC	οEi	NG	IN	EER	S		フ	Project: Project Location: Project Number:	Sound Transit - Lyr Shoreline, Washing 4082-026-02	gton	ood I		Figure A-54 Sheet 3 of 3

Drilled	<u>(</u> 7/1	<u>Start</u> 1/2014	<u>Er</u> 7/11	<u>id</u> /2014	Total Depth	(ft)	8	1.5		Logged By Checked By	ERH CEW	Driller Holoce	ne			Drilling Method Hollow-Stem Auger
Surfac Vertica	e Elev al Datu	ation (ft m)	; Pi	317 roject				Har Dat	mmer ta	140	Autohammer (lbs) / 30 (in) Dr	ор	Drillin Equip	g ment	Diedrich D-120 Truck Rig
Easting Northin	g (X) ng (Y) ; Auto	hamme	r efficie	1375 3876 ncy = 7	800.355 58.5549 0% (mea	5 9 sure	ed 9/	30/201	Sys Dat	stem tum		Project		<u>Grour</u> Date N	ndwate Aeasur	er Depth to red Water (ft) Elevation (ft)
					T A				,							
Elevation (feet)	o Depth (feet) 	Interval Recovered (in)	Blows/foot	Collected Sample	Testing	Water Level	Graphic Log	Group Classification	Classification		M/ DES	ATERIAL SCRIPTION		Moisture Content (%)	Fines Content (%)	REMARKS
- -	-							SM	1	 <u>3 inches s</u> Brown silty occasi dense, 	y fine to m ional cobb , moist) (fi	nedium sand with g les and boulders (II) (ESU 1B)	navel, medium			Water knife/vactor to 5 feet. Soil description based on visual observation.
	5 - -	14	28		1 SA					With decre	easing col	bbles and boulder o	content	- 8 -	20	
- - -	10 - 15 35 2 MC									Gray fine f	to coarse e, moist)	sand with silt and g	gravel —	- - 5		Rough drilling
	- - 15 - -	18	36		3 %F			SM	1	Gray silty gravel till) (ES 	fine to me (dense to SU 5B)	dium sand with oc very dense, moist	casional) (glacial	- - - 8 -	26	
	 20 	18	46		4 SA					 Grades to 	with grave	el		- - 8 - - -	25	
	- 25 — -	18	57		5 MC					- Grades to -	brown			- - 8 -		
	- 30 — -	18	27		<u>6</u> SA			— <u>-</u> SM	<u></u>	Grayish bi dense,	rown silty , moist)	fine to medium sar	nd (medium	- - - 7 -	27	Rough drilling
	- 35 — te: See	Figure	A-0 for	explana	ation of s	ymt	pols.	SM	<u> </u>	Gray silty _ gravel	fine to me and trace	dium sand with oc organics (dense, v	casional wet)	_		
										Log c	of Bor	ing LLE-B	08			
	δEC	οE	NG	INE	ERS	5		J		Project: Project Project	Locatio Numbe	Sound T n: Mountlal r: 4082-02	ransit - L ke Terrac 6-02	ynnw æ, W	ood ashi	Link Extension ington Sheet 1 of 3



ſ				,	FIEL	D D/	ATA					
	vation (feet)	pth (feet)	erval	covered (in)	ws/foot	lected Sample	<u>mple Name</u> sting	ter Level	aphic Log	oup ssification	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	REMARKS
	Ele	De	Inte	Ř	Blo	Col Col	Tes	Wa	Gra	Cla		
	-	-								— — — — —	Gray silt with sand (hard, wet)	
ł	-	80 —		18	68		<u>15</u> AL				- 25	AL (non-plastic)
S2214 ParinkEDIPROJECIS4408228GINI HAV&0202 JUI-4:10/6HV UDI Emplate/LDI Emp	Not	e: See	⊧Figu	ıre A	4-0 for	explar	nation of s	symb	pols.	Log	of Boring LLE-B08 (continued)	
Date:sr∡	_		_								Project: Sound Transit - Lynnwood Link Exten	sion
Redmonu.	G	E	ЭE		IG	N	EER	S			Project Location: Mountlake Terrace, Washington Project Number: 4082-026-02	Figure A-55

4082-026-02

Figure A-55 Sheet 3 of 3

Drilled	<u>(</u> 7/1	<u>Start</u> 5/2014	<u>Er</u> 7/17	<u>nd</u> 7/2014	Total Depth	(ft)	8	1.5	Logged I Checked	By DTM By CRW	Driller Holocene				Drilling Method Hollow-Stem Auger
Surface Vertica	e Elev I Datu	ation († m	ft)	Р	331 roject			H	Hammer Data	140	Autohammer (lbs) / 30 (in) Drop	Dri Eq	lling uipn	nent	Mobile B-61 Truck Rig
Easting Northin	g (X) Ig (Y)			1375 3883	875.50 349.367	6		S	System Datum		Project	Gre	ounc		 Depth to dKater (ft)Elevation (ft)
Notes:	Auto	hamm	er efficie	ncy = 8	7% (mea	asure	ed 11	/1/2013	3)						
			FIEL	D DA	ΔTA										
levation (feet)	epth (feet)	terval ecovered (in)	lows/foot	ollected Sample	<u>ample Name</u> esting	ater Level	raphic Log	roup lassification		M DES	ATERIAL SCRIPTION	oietura	onstate ontent (%)	nes ontent (%)	REMARKS
ш _{со} о	0-	<u>د</u> م		ŭ	NF	<	υ	SM	Brown	silty fine to r	nedium sand with silt, gravel,	2	§Õ	ΪŎ	Water knife/vactor to 5 feet.
- - -	- - 5-	2	10		1 MC			SM	- occ - mc 	silty fine to r	nedium sand with gravel		9		Soil description based on visual observation.
-	-							SP-SM	(ES	fine to medicasional cobl	im sand with silt, gravel and				
-	10 —	1	32		2 %F				-				1	7	
	- - - 15-	8	41		<u>3</u> SA			SM	- - Brown - to v	silty fine sar wet) (glacial	d (dense to very dense, moist ill) (ESU 5B)	-	22	43	Rough drilling Rough drilling Groundwater observed at 15 feet during drilling
	- - 20 - -	C	50/4"					SP	- - - - -	fine to media	im sand with occasional				No recovery
	- 25 — - - -	1	3 50/4"		4 SA				(ac	inte to fricult avel and trace tvance outwa	silt (very dense, wet) sh) (ESU 6B)		16	3	
	30 — - - 35 —	— c	50/2.5					 	- Brown - (ve	fine to coars	e sand with silt and gravel t)				No recovery
Not	e: See	e Figur	e A-0 foi	explan	ation of s	symt	ools.								
									Log	of Bo	ing LLE-B09				
G	ΞEC	οE	NG	INE	ER	S		J	Proje Proje Proje	ct: ct Locatio ct Numbe	Sound Transit - L on: Shoreline, Wash er: 4082-026-02	_ynr ingt	nwc on	od I	Link Extension Figure A-56 Sheet 1 of 3



\int					FIEL	D D	ATA									
	Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Interval	Recovered (in)	Blows/foot	Collected Sample	<u>Sample Name</u> Testing	Water Level	Graphic Log	Group Classification	MATE DESCR	ERIAL	Moisture Content (%)	Fines Content (%)	REM,	ARKS
-	~			18	83/11"		<u>13</u>			— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Gray sandy silt (hard, we		26			
	~	-									-					
	Not	- <u>Sec</u>	Fig	ure 4	A-0 for	exnla	nation of	symt	nole							
			.9								·					
1076										Log	of Boring LLE-	B09 (continued)			ink Extension	
	(-	F	ЪF	- N	IG	IN	FFR	S		1	Project Location:	Shoreline, Washing	gton	JUU L		

Figure A-56 Sheet 3 of 3

GEOENGINEERS

Project Number:

4082-026-02

Redmond:

	Drilled	6/1	<u>Star</u> 9/20	<u>t</u>)14	<u>En</u> 6/19/	<u>d</u> /2014	Total Depth	(ft)	8	1.5	Logged B Checked E	y DTM By CRW	Drille	_r Holocene				Drilling Method Mud Rotary
S V	urface ertica	e Elev I Datu	atio m	n (ft)		Pi	354 roject			H	lammer Data	140	Autoh (lbs) /	ammer 30 (in) Drop	E	Drilling Equipr	nent	Mobile B-61 Truck Rig
E N	asting orthin	ı (X) g (Y)				1376 3887	055.124 77.771	4 3		S	System Datum		Pro	oject	(Groun	dwate	E Depth to
	lotes:	Auto 3 (in	ohan I) so	nmer lid we	efficier ell insta	ncy = 8 alled at a	7% (mea 80 (ft), de	isure ecor	ed 11 nmis	I/1/2013 sioned a) after seismic t	esting.					casure	
ř					FIEL	.D DA	TA											
:	(reet)	et)		d (in)	t	Sample	ame	/el	bo	tion		M	ATEF	RIAL				REMARKS
:	evation	pth (fe	erval	covere	ws/foo	llected	mple N sting	ater Lev	aphic L	oup assifica		DES	SCRI	PTION		isture ntent (%	es ntent (%	
i	Ш	o De	Int	Re	BIG	ပိ	Te	Ŵ	Ű	ซีซี AC	3 inches	s asphalt cor	ncrete			°Ωõ	Ū IJ	Water knife/vactor to 5 feet.
Ē		-								SM	- Grayish occa	brown silty asional grave	fine to r el and c	medium sand with obbles (dense to ve II) (ESU 5B)	ery _			Soil description based on visual observation.
-	Q	-									_	, molot) (g	giaolai a	.) (200 02)	-			
	5	- 5 —		8	50/2"		1				-				_	13	28	
-		-		0	5072		SĀ				-				-			
_											-				-			
_ <u></u>	×o vo	-									-				-			
ŀ		-		1	50/3"		2 MC				-				_	9		
Ē		-													_			
<u>_</u>	¢,	-									-				_			
		15 —	-	1	50/3"		3 MC				Grades	to moist to v	wet		_	8		
		_									-				_			
	şə	-								SM	Grayish _ occa	brown silty	fine to r el (very	medium sand with dense, moist)				
-		20 —		8	50/2"		4 SA				-				_	12	43	
		_									-				_			
	ç	-									-				-			
		25 —		3	50/3"		5 MC				Grades	to moist to v	wet		_	9		
		-									-				-			
-	ę٠	-									-				-			
	•	- 30		1	50/4"		6				-				_			Poor recovery
		-			00/4						-				-			
	0	-]							SP-SM	Gravish	brown siltv	fine to r	medium sand with				
<u>б</u>	8	-									_ grav outv	vel (very den vash) (ESU (nse, moi 6B)	ist to wet) (advance	-			
	Not	e: See	e Fiç	gure /	A-0 for	explan	ation of s	symt	ools.						_			
												of Por	ina l					
											Proiec		ing L	Sound Transit	- Lvr	าทพด	bod	Link Extension
	G	E	ol	E١	IG	INE	ERS	S		1	Projec	t Locatio	on: I	Mountlake Ter	race	, Wa	shir	ngton Figure A-57
Ľ								-			Projec	t Numbe	er: 4	1082-026-02				Sheet 1 of 3



ſ				FIEL	D D	ATA					
	Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Interval Recovered (in)	Blows/foot	Collected Sample	<u>Sample Name</u> Testing	Water Level	Graphic Log	Group Classification	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION Content (%) Lines Content (%)	ARKS
-	<u>16</u>	- - 80 -	17	93/11"		<u>15</u>		$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$		Gray silty fine sand (very dense, wet)	
14 PathivEEDPROJECTS4440822261GIT408202802_B01-C10.GPJ DBTemplate/DTemplate/GEOENGINEERS8.GDT/GEI8_GEOTECH_STANDARD	Not	ie: See	• Figure	A-0 for	expla	nation of s	symt	pols.		of Boring 11 E-B10S (continued)	
te:9/23/14										Droinet: Sound Transit, Lynnwrad Link Extension	
Redmond: Da	C	ΞEC	οEι	NG	IN	EER	S		7	Project Location: Mountlake Terrace, Washington Project Number: 4082-026-02	Figure A-57

Figure A-57 Sheet 3 of 3

4082-026-02





		F	IEL	D DA	ATA	-							WEL	LLOG
Elevation (feet) Depth (feet)	Interval	Kecovered (III)	Blows/foot	Collected Sample	<u>Sample Name</u> Testing	Water Level	Graphic Log	Group Classification	MATE DESCR	ERIAL IPTION	Moisture Content (%)	Fines Content (%)		
- - 80 - -	- - 1	5	59		<u>16</u> MC				-	-	27		81 5	
Note: Se	ee Figu	re A-() for	explar	nation of s	symb	ools.							
								Log	of Boring LLE-E	B11P (continued)			
GE	oE	N	GI	NI	EER	S		1	Project: Project Location: Project Number:	Sound Transit - Lyr Shoreline, Washing 4082-026-02	nwoo gton	od Lir	nk Extension	Figure A-58

Drille	ed 7/	<u>Star</u> 21/2	<u>t</u> 014	<u>En</u> 7/21	<u>nd</u> /2014	Total Depth	(ft)	8	31	Lo Ch	ogged By necked By	ERH CRW	_{Driller} Holocene				Drilling Method Hollow-Stem Auger
Surfa Vertio	ice Ele cal Dat	vatio um	n (ft)		Р	394 roject			F	Hamm Data	ner	140	Autohammer (lbs) / 30 (in) Drop		Drilling Equipr	l nent	Mobile B-61 Truck Rig
Easti North	ng (X) iing (Y)			1376 3896	263.904 82.3318	4 3		S	Syster Daturr	ท า		Project		<u>Groun</u>	dwate	Depth to Water (ft) Elevation (ft)
Note	s: Aut	ohar	nmer	efficie	ncy = 8	7% (mea	sure	ed 11	1/1/2013	3)					7/21/2	2014	38.2 355.85
				FIEL	.D DA	ΛTA	1										
n (feet)	set)		(in) be	đ	Sample	Vame	svel	Log	ation			M			(%	(%	REMARKS
levatior	epth (fe	Iterval	ecover	lows/fo	ollected	<u>ample l</u> esting	/ater Le	raphic	roup lassific			DES	CRIPTION		oisture ontent (⁹	nes ontent (⁹	
ш	0-	=	Ľ	•	U U	NH	>	Ŭ	AC		7 inches a	asphalt cor	ocrete		≥0	шO	Water knife/vactor to 5.5 feet.
_		-							CR SP-SM	v -	Brown fine cobble	e sand witles (mediun	e n silt, gravel and occas n dense, moist) (fill) (E	sional SU 1B)	_		Soli description based on visual observation
															_		
-	5 -		15	10		1			SP-SM	<u>n</u> – -	Brown with	h oxidatio	staining fine to mediu			12	
-			15	10		ŚĀ				_	sand v	with silt (m	edium dense, moist)		- ''	12	
- 		-							SP-SM	<u>n</u> — -	Brown fine	e to mediu	m sand with silt and		_		
-	10 -		13	30		2				_	cocuci	ional grave			6	8	Hard drilling
		╘				%F									_		
-		-								-					_		
-	15 -		Ιo	48						_					_		No recovery
		-								-					-		
		-							SP-SM	<u>_</u>	Gray fine	to medium	sand with silt and		_		
	20 -		10	21		3				-	occasi	ional grave	el (medium dense, mois	st)	-		
-		-	10	21		МČ				-					-		
		-						$\left \right $	SM		Grav siltv	fine to me	dium sand with occasio	onal	_		
	25 -	-								_	gravel (dense	and lense e, moist) (a	s of wood/peat and silt advance outwash) (ESI	t U 6A)	-		
	20	-	13	33		4				-					_		
		-								-					_		
-012-00 -00-00 -00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-0		-								-					_		
	30 -	_	18	31		5 SA				-					- 8	13	
		-													_		
00000		-							SM	-	Brown with sand v (dense	h oxidation with occas e, moist) (I	n staining silty fine to m onal gravel and silt inte ESU 6B)	nedium erbeds	-		
N	- ₃₅ ote: Se	∟ ee Fi	gure	ı A-0 for	ı explan	ation of s	ı Symt	nen f	I	L_						I	1
					-												
1000										Ţ	Log C	of Bor	Sound Tran	i nsit - Lv	nnw	hoc	ink Extension
	Ge	0	En	١G	INE	ERS	5		J	F	Project	Locatio	n: Mountlake	Terrac	e, Wa	ashir	igton Figure A-59
2										F	Project	Numbe	r: 4082-026-0	2			Sheet 1 of 3



	FIELD DATA]			
	Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Interval		Blows/foot	Collected Sample	<u>Sample Name</u> Testing	Water Level	Graphic Log	Group Classification	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION (%) Uniting (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)	/ARKS
	- - ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	- - 80 —	1	1 5	50/5"		<u>15</u> %F					
	-				50/5		<u>%</u> F					
רא איזאייטאייבים סבט ווטאבבאסט אווטאבראט איזערעיין סי איזערעייט												
	No	te: See	e Figur	e A-	0 for e	explar	nation of a	symt	bols.			
23/ 14 Fault										Log	of Boring LLE-B12 (continued)	
REGITIOTIO. Date.or	(ΞEO	рЕ	N	GI	N	EER	S		1	Project: Sound Transit - Lynnwood Link Extension Project Location: Mountlake Terrace, Washington Project Number: 4082-026-02	Figure A-59

Figure A-59 Sheet 3 of 3

Project Location: Mountlake Terrace, Washington Project Number: 4082-026-02

Redmond

Drille	StartEndTotal81.5Drilled6/21/20146/21/2014Depth (ft)								8	1.5		Logged By Checked By	CRW CRW	Driller H	lolocene			Drilling Method Hollow-Stem Auger	
Surface Elevation (ft)395HarrVertical DatumProjectData									Hai Dat	a 140 (lbs) / 30 (in) Drop Equipment			Mobile B-61 Truck Rig						
Easting (X) 1376317.541 Sys Northing (Y) 389984.2055 Date Notes: Autohammer efficiency = 87% (measured 11/1/2013) Autohammer efficiency = 87% (measured 11/1/2013)							stem tum	tem Groundwate United Heasure Date Measure D			C Depth to d Water (ft) Elevation (ft)								
Elevation (feet) Depth (feet) Interval Recovered (in) Blows/foot Blows/foot Blows/foot Collected Sample Collected Sample Samp							MATERIAL DESCRIPTION				Fines Content (%)	REMARKS							
%	ł	- - - 5			11		1 MC			AC	G GM	<u>4 inches a</u> <u>3 inches I</u> Gray fine (medi –	asphalt con base cours to coarse um dense,	ncrete se gravel with s , wet) (fill) (E	silt and sand SU 1A)	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		Water knife/vactor to 5 feet. Soil description based on visual observation.	
- - - - - - - -	1(- - - - - - - - - -	1	4	22		2 %F		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>_</u>	vi –	- Brown silt trace mediu -	ty fine to n roots and im dense,	nedium sand wood fragme moist)	l with gravel, ents (loose to	- - - - 10 -	15		
	1!	- - 5 - - - -	1	8	6		3 SA					- - - - -	e wood fra	gments		- - 13 -	17		
	20	- 0 - -	1	2	17		4 MC					- With occa -	asional asp	bhalt and del	bris	- - 11 -			
	23 ¹⁰ 25 18 22 5 18 22 5A						Gray fine _ (adva _ _	to coarse nce outwa	sand (mediu sh) (ESU 6A	um dense, moist) \)		4							
	30	- - 0 - -	1	8	23		<u>6</u> %F		λ	SP-5	SM	Gray fine - dense -	to mediun e, moist)	n sand with s	silt (medium	- - 16 -	8	Groundwater observed at 32 feet	
	3: Jote:	- - 5 See	Figur	e A	-0 for	explan	ation of s	symt	pols.	SP-5	SM	Gray fine - (densi	to mediun e, wet) (ES	n sand with s SU 6B)	silt and gravel	-			
												Log	of Bor	ing LL	E-B13				
GEOENGINEERS Project Project												Project: Project Project	Locatic Numbe	Sou on: Mou er: 408	nd Transit - L Intlake Terrac 2-026-02	ynnw æ, Wa	ood ashir	Link Extension ngton Sheet 1 of 3	



ſ			FIELD DATA								
	Elevation (feet)	Depth (feet)	Interval Recovered (in)	Blows/foot	Collected Sample	<u>Sample Name</u> Testing	Water Level	Graphic Log	Group Classification	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION Content (%) Content (%) Content (%)	ARKS
-			18	77		<u>16</u> %F		$\langle \cdot \rangle$	SM	Gray silty fine sand with sand interbeds (very dense, wet) 26 18	
2314 Path/IREDIPROJECTS444082026(JNT408202602_B01-C10.GPJ_DBTemplateLlbTemplate.GEOENGINEERS8.GDT/GEI8_GEOTECH_STANDARD	Not	e: See	Figure /	A-0 for	expla	nation of s	symb	pols.	Log	of Boring LLE-B13 (continued)	
Date:9/2										Project: Sound Transit - Lynnwood Link Extension	
Redmond:	C	ΞE	DE	١G	IN	EER	S			Project Location: Mountlake Terrace, Washington Project Number: 4082-026-02	Figure A-60

4082-026-02

Project Number:

Figure A-60 Sheet 3 of 3



4082-026-02

Sheet 1 of 2

\square			FIEL	D D	ATA							
Elevation (feet)	러 Depth (feet)	Interval Recovered (in)	Blows/foot	Collected Sample	<u>Sample Name</u> Testing	Water Level	Graphic Log	Group Classification	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Moisture Content (%)	Fines Content (%)	REMARKS
-		18	71		<u>7</u> %F				Grades to wet	21	6	Groundwater observed at 35 feet during drilling
	-	-						 SP	Brownish gray fine to medium sand with trace silt (very dense, wet)	-		
-	40 —	12	50/6"		8 SA					30	3	
_	_			-						•		

Note: See Figure A-0 for explanation of symbols.

Log of Boring LLE-B14 (continued)



Project: Project Location: Project Number: 4082-026-02

Sound Transit - Lynnwood Link Extension Mountlake Terrace, Washington Figure A-61 Sheet 2 of 2





Project Location: Project Number: 4082-026-02

Mountlake Terrace, Washington Figure A-62 Sheet 2 of 2







Project Location:

Project Number:

Shoreline, Washington

4082-026-02

edmond: Date:9/23

GEOENGINEERS

Figure A-63 Sheet 3 of 3





4082-026-02

Figure A-64 Sheet 2 of 2

StartEndTotalDrilled7/23/20147/24/2014TotalDepth (ft)0								(ft)	10	1.5		Logged By CRW Checked By CRW					Drilling Method Hollow-Stem Auger	
Sur Ver	face tical	Elev Datu	atior m	n (ft)		Р	392 roject				Ha Da	nmer Autohammer Drilling a 140 (lbs) / 30 (in) Drop Equipment					Mobile B-61 Truck Rig	
Eas Nor	Easting (X) 1375821.77 Syst Northing (Y) 391597.49 Date									Sy Da	stem tum Project Date Measur				<u>dwate</u> easure	C Depth to d Water (ft) Elevation (ft)		
Notes: Autohammer efficiency = 87% (measured 11/1/2013)										/1/20	13)							
\bigcap					FIEL	.D DA	ΛTA	_										
Elevation (feet)		⊳ Depth (feet)	Interval	Recovered (in)	Blows/foot	Collected Sample	<u>Sample Name</u> Testing	Water Level	Graphic Log	Group	Classification	M DES	ATERIAL SCRIPTION		Moisture Content (%)	Fines Content (%)	REMARKS	
- 		-								SP-S	SM	 4 inches sod Brown fine to media occasional grav organics (loose, 	um sand with silt and el and cobbles and trac , moist) (fill) (ESU 1A)	ce	-		Water knife/vactor to 5 feet. Soil description based on visual observation.	
- - - %		- 5 - -		18	22		1 %F			SP-S	SM	Brown fine to mediu dense to very d – outwash) (ESU - -	um sand with silt (medii ense, moist) (advance 6A)	um -	- - - -	7		
- - - -		- 10 - - -		18	33		2 SA					 Grades to with occa - - 	asional gravel	-	- - - -	9		
		- 15 — - -		18	52		3 %F					- With decreasing gr dense (ESU 6B	avel content, grades to)	very -	- - - -	9		
	:	- 20 - - -		18	71		4 %F					- - - -		-	- 6 -	11		
		- 25 - -		18	56		5 %F					- Grades to wet -		-	- 9 -	7	Groundwater observed at 25 feet during drilling	
	:	- 30 - -		16	75/10"		<u>6</u> SA			SP-S	SM -	Brown fine to mediu - occasional grav	um sand with silt and el (very dense, wet)		- - 15 -	6	6 inches of heave	
	Note	- 35 — : See	e Fig	ure /	A-0 for	explan	ation of s	symt	ools.	SW-	SM	Brown fine to media (very dense, we	um sand with silt and gr tt)	ravel	-			
												Log of Bor	ring LLE-B19)				
	Cog of Boring LLE-B19 GEOENGINEERS Project: Sound Transit - Lynnwood Link Extension Project Location: Mountlake Terrace, Washington Figure A-65																	





4082-026-02

Project Number:

C10.GPJ DBTemplate/LibTer edmond: Date:9/23

Figure A-65 Sheet 3 of 3


\bigcap			FIEL	D D	ATA							
Elevation (feet)	ና Depth (feet)	Interval Recovered (in)	Blows/foot	Collected Sample	<u>Sample Name</u> Testing	Water Level	Graphic Log	Group Classification	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Moisture Content (%)	Fines Content (%)	REMARKS
- -	35 — - - -	10	11		Z MC		H X	WOOD SP-SM	2 to 3 inch wood layer Brown with oxidation staining fine to medium sand with silt and gravel (medium dense, moist) (advance outwash) (ESU 6A) Brown silty fine to medium sand (dense, moist)	. 11		
-	40 —	18	34		8							

Note: See Figure A-0 for explanation of symbols.

Log of Boring LLE-B20 (continued)



Project:Sound TransProject Location:Mountlake TeProject Number:4082-026-02

Sound Transit - Lynnwood Link Extension Mountlake Terrace, Washington 4082-026-02

Figure A-66 Sheet 2 of 2 Appendix C

Borehole Data Summary Sheet

Appendix C, Borehole Data Summary Sheet. Expansions of the abbreviations listed in this table are as follows – Drilling Methods: Hollow Stem Auger (HAS), Mud Rotary (MR), and Becher Hammer (BH); Samplers: Standard Penetration Test (SPT), and Dames and Moore (D&M); Methods of Water Measurement: Vibrating Wire Piezometer (VWP), Observations while Drilling (drilling obs.), and electric tape (e-tape).

Boring ID	Drilling Method	Sampler	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	N- Value	Density	Water Elevation (ft)	Method and Date of Water Measurements	Percent Moisture	Percent Gravel	Percent Sand	Percent Fines
B06	MR	SPT					279	drilling obs. 7/07/2014				
			50	279	37	dense			22.0	0.0	88.5	11.5
			55	274	61	very dense			22.0			13.0
			60	269	64	very dense			22.0			10.0
			65	264	100	very dense			22.0			
			70	259	69	very dense			24.0	0.0	86.6	13.4
			75	254	100	very dense			24.0			12.0
	-	-	80	249	100	very dense			21.0			
B08	HSA	SPT					281	drilling obs. 7/11/2014				
			40	277	50	very dense			15.0	14.8	81.0	4.3
			45	272	56	very dense			21.0			
			50	267	60	very dense			23.0			15.0
			55	262	100	very dense			23.0	3.8	82.0	14.1
B09	HSA	SPT					312	drilling obs. 7/17/2014				
			25	306	100	very dense			16.0	11.7	95.6	2.7
			35	296	100	very dense			14.0	22.4	67.0	10.7
			40	291	100	very dense			22.0	1.1	89.8	9.1
			45	286	100	very dense			22.0			9.0
			50	281	100	very dense			19.0	11.7	71.6	16.7
			55	276	100	very dense			20.0			20.0
			60	271	100	very dense			12.0			28.0
			65	266	100	very dense			11.0			
			70	261	100	very dense						
B10S	MR	SPT					284	drilling obs. 6/19/2014				
			35	319	100	very dense			8.0			7.0
			36	318	100	very dense			11.0			
			37	317	100	very dense						
			40	315	100	very dense			14.0			36.0

Boring ID	Drilling Method	Sampler	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	N- Value	Density	Water Elevation (ft)	Method and Date of Water Measurements	Percent Moisture	Percent Gravel	Percent Sand	Percent Fines
B11P	MR	SPT					354.9	e-tape 8/12/2014				
			10	352.5	30	dense			20.0			6.0
			15	347.5	60	very dense			17.0			9.0
			20	342.5	38	dense			22.0			8.0
			25	337.5	58	very dense			24.0			14.0
			30	332.5	51	very dense			23.0			14.0
			35	327.5	85	very dense			21.0			
			40	322.5	58	very dense			22.0			10.0
			45	317.5	57	very dense			23.0			10.0
			50	312.5	100	very dense			23.0			
B12	HSA	SPT					355.85	e-tape 7/12/2014				
			25	369	33	dense						
			30	364	31	dense			8.0	5.4	81.8	12.9
			35	359	35	dense			17.0			14.0
			40	354	42	dense			18.0	6.4	89.7	3.9
			45	349	55	very dense			20.0			8.0
			50	344	47	dense			19.0	3.6	86.2	10.1
			55	339	75	very dense			21.0			7.0
			60	334	53	very dense			23.0	0.8	90.6	8.6
			65	329	64	very dense						
			70	324	74	very dense			18.0			
			75	319	67	very dense			21.0	0.0	90.1	9.9
			80	314	100	very dense			22.0			12.0
B13	HSA	SPT					363	drilling obs. 6/21/2014				
			25	370	22	med dense			7.0	1.5	94.5	4.0
			30	365	23	med dense			16.0			8.0
			35	360	48	dense			13.0	18.5	75.4	6.1
			40	355	44	dense			17.0			5.0
			45	350	40	dense			20.0	0.2	91.4	8.4
			50	345	55	very dense			22.0			
			55	340	48	dense			22.0			6.0
			60	335	64	very dense			23.0	3.3	84.5	12.2
			65	330	72	very dense			26.0			15.0
			70	325	67	very dense			23.0			8.0
			75	320	80	very dense			23.0			
			80	315	77	very dense			26.0			18.0

Boring ID	Drilling Method	Sampler	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	N- Value	Density	Water Elevation (ft)	Method and Date of Water Measurements	Percent Moisture	Percent Gravel	Percent Sand	Percent Fines
B14	HSA	SPT					363	drilling obs. 6/28/2014				
			12.5	391.5	30	dense			9.0			
			10	388	20	med dense			9.0			10.0
			15	383	41	dense			7.0	0.7	92.0	7.3
			20	378	54	very dense			8.0	1.0	87.3	11.7
			25	373	45	dense						
			30	368	47	dense			5.0			7.0
			35	363	71	very dense			21.0			6.0
			40	358	100	very dense			30.0	4.4	92.3	3.3
B15	HSA	SPT					365	drilling obs. 6/28/2014				
			7.5	392.5	56	very dense			7.0			
			10	390	29	med dense			7.0			8.0
			15	385	61	very dense			4.0	0.1	91.1	8.8
			20	380	100	very dense			3.0			4.0
			25	375	65	very dense			4.0	3.3	89.1	7.6
			30	370	64	very dense						
			35	365	76	very dense			12.0	9.6	83.1	7.3
			40	360	100	very dense			16.0			4.0
B17	HSA	SPT					458	drilling obs. 6/18/2014		-	-	
			10	380	14	med dense			4.0			3.0
			15	375	25	med dense			5.0	6.8	83.9	9.3
			20	370	25	med dense			4.0			7.0
			25	365	40	dense			17.0	2.2	90.5	7.3
			30	360	100	very dense			13.0	11.1	82.6	6.3
			35	355	47	dense			15.0			8.0
			40	350	100	very dense			16.0	2.7	96.0	1.3
			45	345	52	very dense			21.0	0.0	94.8	5.2
			50	340	53	very dense			23.0			
			55	335	48	dense			23.0			11.0
			60	330	40	dense			23.0	0.0	91.1	8.9
			65	325	58	very dense						
			70	320	85	very dense						
			75	315	100	very dense			24.0			9.0

Boring ID	Drilling Method	Sampler	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	N- Value	Density	Water Elevation (ft)	Method and Date of Water Measurements	Percent Moisture	Percent Gravel	Percent Sand	Percent Fines
B18	HSA	SPT					N/A	N/A				
			5	385	17	med dense			7.0			
			10	380	25	med dense			13.0			
			15	375	38	dense			9.0	1.3	88.7	10.0
			20	370	70	very dense			7.0			9.0
			25	365	68	very dense			8.0			10.0
			30	360	100	very dense			5.0			
			35	355	100	very dense			8.0	0.0	90.5	9.5
			40	350	85	very dense			4.0			
			45	345	100	very dense			7.0	3.8	87.3	8.9
			50	340	82	very dense			4.0			8.0
			55	335	100	very dense						
			60	330	100	very dense			6.0	0.3	90.8	8.9
B19	HSA	SPT					367	drilling obs. 7/23/2014				
			5	387	22	med dense			8.0		93.0	7.0
			10	382	33	dense			7.0	1.9	88.9	9.2
			15	377	52	very dense			6.0			9.0
			20	372	71	very dense			6.0			11.0
			25	367	56	very dense			9.0			7.0
			30	362	100	very dense			15.0	3.4	91.0	5.6
			35	357	76	very dense			13.0	19.6	72.6	7.8
			40	352	43	dense			21.0			7.0
			45	347	43	dense			25.0	0.0	88.9	11.1
			50	342	63	very dense			21.0			
			55	337	77	very dense			20.0			12.0
			60	332	100	very dense			23.0			9.0
			65	327	100	very dense			22.0	0.0	92.0	8.0
			70	322	100	very dense			21.0			7.0
B20	HSA	SPT					N/A	N/A				
			40	392	34	dense						

Boring ID	Drilling Method	Sampler	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	N- Value	Density	Water Elevation (ft)	Method and Date of Water Measurements	Percent Moisture	Percent Gravel	Percent Sand	Percent Fines
MW-3	BH	D&M					N/A	N/A				
			10	321	26	med dense			10.2			
			20	311	26	med dense			13.3			
			30	301	27	med dense			9.4	1.1	93.7	5.1
			40	291	50	very dense			5.8			
			50	281	11	med dense			4.7			
			60	271	39	dense			3.9	6.3	89.0	4.7
			70	261	48	dense			19.9			
			80	251	N/A	N/A			11.2			
			90	241	N/A	N/A			21.3	8.3	86.7	5.1
			100	231	N/A	N/A			25.8			
			120	211	N/A	N/A			27.8	7.6	89.3	3.1
MW-4	BH	D&M					280.2	VWP 3/27/2002				
			10	377	40	dense			9.1			7.8
			20	367	45	dense			6.0	33.4	59.6	7.0
			30	357	34	dense			10.5			7.1
			40	347	47	dense			5.1	16.4	79.3	4.3
			50	337	72	very dense			5.3			12.4
			60	327	47	dense			13.3	4.3	81.2	14.6
			70	317	63	very dense			4.8			3.6
			80	307	21	med dense			5.2	2.6	91.1	6.3
			90	297	43	dense			4.9			5.3
			100	287	100	very dense			6.6	5.6	88.6	5.9
			110	277	100	very dense			16.8			5.2
			120	267	100	very dense			17.3	2.4	95.2	2.4
			130	257	N/A	N/A			35.4			7.2
			135	252	N/A	N/A			26.1			3.6
			155	232	N/A	N/A			23.6	0.6	95.9	3.6
			175	212	N/A	N/A			22.9	4.8	91.6	3.6
MW-5	BH	D&M					N/A	N/A				
			10	295	16	med dense			8.2			
			20	285	16	med dense			4.8			
			30	275	29	med dense			17.7	2.9	93.9	3.2
			40	265	27	med dense			21.4			
			41	264	27	med dense			22.7			
			50	255	11	med dense			21.1	0.0	97.6	2.4

Boring ID	Drilling Method	Sampler	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	N- Value	Density	Water Elevation (ft)	Method and Date of Water Measurements	Percent Moisture	Percent Gravel	Percent Sand	Percent Fines
MW-6	BH	D&M					N/A	N/A				
			30	284	6	loose			24.0			
			40	274	33	dense			25.5			
			50	264	10	med dense			31.2			
BW-4	MR	D&M					280.63	VWP 2/26/2002				
			10	358	100	very dense						
			20	348	100	very dense			5.7			
			30	338	100	very dense			11.9	16.4	76.7	6.8
			40	328	100	very dense			11.8			
			50	318	100	very dense			9.6	1.7	92.0	6.2
			60	308	100	very dense			18.1			
			70	298	100	very dense						
			80	288	100	very dense			15.1			
			90	278	100	very dense						
			100	268	100	very dense			18.0			
			110	258	100	very dense			23.0			
			120	248	100	very dense			23.1			
			130	238	100	very dense			20.7			
			140	228	100	very dense			23.7			13.7
			150	218	100	very dense			27.1			
			160	208	100	very dense			27.9			
			170	198	100	very dense			23.0			
			180	188	100	very dense			25.6			
BW-5	MR	D&M				•	364.2	VWP 2/26/2002			•	
			10	390	60	very dense			13.0			
			20	380	65	very dense			9.0			
			30	370	100	very dense			11.3	0.1	89.6	10.2
			40	360	100	very dense			13.6			
			50	350	100	very dense			18.9			
			60	340	100	very dense			21.4			
BW-6	MR	D&M					N/A	N/A				
			50	400	100	very dense			9.2	48.2	40.9	10.7
			70	380	100	very dense			20.0			
			80	370	100	very dense			21.5			

Boring ID	Drilling Method	Sampler	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	N- Value	Density	Water Elevation (ft)	Method and Date of Water Measurements	Percent Moisture	Percent Gravel	Percent Sand	Percent Fines
E-105	Sonic	D&M					N/A	N/A				
					N/A	N/A						
E-106	Wireline	D&M					N/A	N/A				
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
E-107	Wireline and MR	D&M		_		_	231.92	VWP, unknown date			_	
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						
					100	very dense						

Boring ID	Drilling Method	Sampler	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)	N- Value	Density	Water Elevation (ft)	Method and Date of Water Measurements	Percent Moisture	Percent Gravel	Percent Sand	Percent Fines
E-108	Wireline	D&M					N/A	N/A				
					N/A	N/A						
E-109	Wireline	D&M					N/A	N/A				
					N/A	N/A						
E-110	Wireline	D&M					N/A	N/A				
					100	very dense						
E-211	Wireline	D&M					287.12	OW, unknown date				
					N/A	N/A						

Appendix D

Unified Soil Classification Guide (Adapted from Zhou, 2006)

GROUP SYMBOL





Figure 4-1: Flow chart to determine the group symbol and group name for coarse-grained soils (ASTM D 2487).





FHWA NHI-06-088 Soils and Foundations – Volume I 4 – Engineering Characteristics December 2006

GROUP SYMBOL

GROUP NAME



